


Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government Recovery Plan Performance Report

American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA)

State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (SLFRF)

2022 Report

Reporting Period: August 1st, 2021 – June 30th, 2022



LEXINGTON

Table of Contents

General Overview

1. Executive Summary ***(Pages 1-2)***
2. Recipient Profile ***(3)***
3. Commitment to Title VI Compliance ***(4)***
4. Uses of Funds by Expenditure Category (EC) ***(5)***
5. Allocations and Expenses by EC ***(6-8)***
6. Use of Non-State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Funding ***(9-11)***
7. Promoting Equitable Outcomes ***(12-19)***
8. Community Engagement ***(20-23)***
9. Labor Practices ***(24)***
10. Use of Evidence ***(25)***
11. Revenue Loss Calculation ***(26)***

Project Inventory

EC 1: Public Health

1. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for LFUCG ***(29-30)***
2. Safety Net ***(31-32)***
3. It Takes a Village Mentoring Program Personnel Expense ***(33-36)***
4. National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) – Mental Health Court Funding Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 ***(37-39)***
5. National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) - Mental Health Court Funding FY 2023 ***(40-42)***
6. National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) – Mental Health Court Funding FY 2024 ***(43-45)***

EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts

7. Emergency Financial Assistance (EFA) FY 2022 ***(47-49)***
8. Emergency Financial Assistance (EFA) FY 2023 ***(50-52)***
9. Recovery Supportive Living Assistance (RSLA) FY 2022 ***(53-56)***
10. Recovery Supportive Living Assistance (RSLA) FY 2023 ***(57-60)***
11. Workforce Development Activities FY 2024 ***(61-63)***
12. Summer Youth Work Readiness Program ***(64-67)***
13. Shropshire Affordable Housing Project Site Improvements ***(68-69)***
14. Affordable Housing Trust Fund ***(70-72)***
15. Office of Homelessness Prevention and Intervention (OHPI) FY 2022 ***(73-76)***
16. Office of Homelessness Prevention and Intervention (OHPI) FY 2023 ***(77-80)***
17. Office of Homelessness Prevention and Intervention (OHPI) FY 2024 ***(81-84)***
18. Homelessness Contract – Transitional Housing Pilot Program ***(85-88)***
19. Homelessness Contract – Non-Shelter Eligible Families/Individuals with Pets ***(89-91)***
20. COVID-19 Alternative Shelter for Winter Warming ***(92-94)***
21. Domestic Violence Sheltering - Greenhouse17 ***(95-98)***
22. Village Branch Library Construction – Lexington Public Library ***(99-100)***
23. Black and Williams Center Improvements (Gymnasium) ***(101-104)***
24. Bluegrass Community and Technical College (BCTC) Dental Hygiene Clinic ***(105-106)***

25. Commerce Lexington Minority Business Accelerator Program **(107-109)**
26. Explorium of Lexington – Children’s Museum Assistance **(110-111)**
27. LexArts Nonprofit Services Contract FY 2022 **(112-113)**
28. Lyric Theatre Assistance FY 2022 **(114-116)**
29. RADIOLEX **(117-118)**
30. VisitLEX/Hospitality Industry Recovery **(119-120)**

EC 3: Public – Health – Negative Economic Impact: Public Sector Capacity

31. Human Services Salaries **(122)**

EC 4: Premium Pay

32. Premium Pay for High Exposure LFUCG Staff **(124-125)**
33. Premium Pay for Fayette County Sheriff’s Office **(126)**

EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services

34. Economic Development Grants to Service Partners **(128-129)**
35. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Berry Hill Park Basketball Court **(130-131)**
36. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Buckhorn Park Phase II Improvements **(132-134)**
37. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Dogwood Park Basketball Court **(135-136)**
38. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Gardenside Park Playground **(137-139)**
39. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Ecton Park Restrooms and Concessions **(140-141)**
40. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Lakeside Irrigation Replacement **(142-143)**
41. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Masterson Station Park Playground **(144-145)**
42. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Meadowthorpe Park Community Center Roof Repair **(146)**
43. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Raven Run Park Prather House Roof **(147)**
44. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Shillito Park Access Improvement Parking **(148-149)**
45. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Southland Park Access Improvement Parking **(150)**
46. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Woodland Park Restroom and Therapeutic Recreation Facility **(151-152)**
47. ADA Improvements in Parks **(153)**
48. Cardinal Run North Park Development **(154)**
49. Access to Quality Greenspace in a Qualified Census Tract (QCT) – Douglass Park Pool Improvements **(155-157)**
50. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Mary Todd Park Basketball Court **(158-160)**
51. Access to Quality Greenspace in a QCT – Northeastern Park Playground **(161-163)**
52. Access to Quality Greenspace in a QCT – Phoenix Park Inclusive Design **(164)**
53. Access to Quality Greenspace in a QCT – Pine Meadows Park Playground **(165-167)**
54. Access to Quality Greenspace in a QCT – River Hill Park Sports Courts **(168-170)**
55. General Parks and Recreation Improvements Phase II **(171-173)**
56. Parks Masterplan Phase II – QCT Areas **(174-176)**
57. Parks Masterplan Phase II – Maintenance **(177-178)**
58. Parks Masterplan Phase II – Aquatics **(179-181)**
59. Pam Miller Downtown Arts Center (PMDAC) Renovation **(182-184)**
60. Government Employee Pay Supplements **(185)**

61. Critical Government Needs – Broadband **(186-189)**
62. Coldstream Industrial Park Campus Phases I and II Design, Phase I Infrastructure **(190-191)**
63. Bike and Pedestrian Design – Town Branch Trail **(192-194)**
64. Bike and Pedestrian Design – Brighton Trail **(195-197)**
65. Bike and Pedestrian Design – Harrodsburg Road Trail **(198-200)**
66. Fire Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) **(201-202)**
67. Public Safety Fleet **(203)**
68. Code Enforcement Grants for Residents with Low Income FY 2022 **(204-207)**
69. Code Enforcement Grants for Residents with Low Income FY 2023 **(208-211)**
70. Code Enforcement Grants for Residents with Low Income FY 2024 **(212-215)**
71. LexArts FY 2024 **(216)**
72. Non-Profit Capital Grants **(217)**
73. Farmers Market Construction **(218-220)**
74. Housing Stabilization – Salvation Army **(221)**
75. Housing Stabilization – HOPE Center **(222)**
76. Workforce Development Grants to Services Partners FY 2022 **(223-225)**
77. Workforce Development Grants to Service Partners FY 2023 **(226-228)**

EC 7: Administrative Services

78. American Rescue Plan Act Administrative Services **(230)**

Contact Information

ARPA Project Manager **(231)**

GENERAL OVERVIEW

Executive Summary

On March 11th, 2021, the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) of 2021 was signed into [law](#), providing the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government (LFUCG) funding in the amount of \$121,178,058 as part of the State and Local Fiscal Recovery Fund (SLFRF). This funding was awarded to states and local governments to curb the varying impacts created by the economic decline and other effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic.

The LFUCG was committed to investing ARPA SLFRF funds in a manner to ensure growth and equitable recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic for those residents affected. To date, the LFUCG has apportioned \$119,801,280 of its allocation and has completed seven projects.

LFUCG Priorities and Principles

Highest Priority

- Projects that have a direct impact on vulnerable populations and those most impacted by the pandemic

Budget Related Principles

- One-time projects that entail no ongoing costs
- Projects that produce savings or increased revenue in the future

Additional Principles

- Projects that lead to economic opportunity
- Projects that make an impact on the physical infrastructure
- Projects that make an impact on the social infrastructure



LFUCG Funding Categories and Types of Allowable Projects

Funding requests were considered in the following categories:

- Community health, wellness and quality of life
- Critical city services, employee retention and facilities
- Economic recovery and growth
- Equity-focused services and partnerships
- Growing successful neighborhoods
- Harm prevention and public safety



Recognizing the need for expedited service delivery of ARPA funds to residents, the LFUCG partnered with various community organizations by allocating ARPA funding through subrecipient agreements. Community partnerships are providing vital programs and services to residents in the community in a targeted way, including but not limited to transitional emergency housing, workforce development opportunities, mental health services, community violence interventions, exposure to the arts, access to educational opportunities and business acceleration.

All subrecipients participated in mandatory ARPA training developed by the LFUCG ARPA team, which included an overview of ARPA SLFRF, project management and reporting, procurement, invoicing, single audit requirements, and a link to the Department of Treasury's website.

Recipient Profile

Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government (LFUCG)

200 East Main Street
Lexington, Kentucky 40507

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/>



LEXINGTON

Tier 1 – States, U.S. Territories,
Metropolitan Cities and Counties with a population that exceeds 250,000 residents

UEI – VM1GLHWZXA96

TIN – 610858140



LFUCG's Commitment to TITLE VI Compliance

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin in any program or activity that receives Federal funds or other Federal financial assistance.



GLOBAL LEX

The LFUCG required Title VI training for all APRA subrecipients and project managers internal to the LFUCG. The training was developed and presented by the Director of [Global Lex](#), a multilingual, multidisciplinary center where residents and the foreign-born population obtain information, access programs and services, meet to celebrate cultural events and visit LFUCG's international art gallery.



The ARPA subrecipients and LFUCG project managers also received a copy of the LFUCG Title VI 2022 Languages Report, an annual analysis of languages spoken in Lexington, Kentucky.

All subrecipient agreements include *Assurances of Compliance with Title VI.*

The training materials are available [here](#).



LFUCG Use of Funds by Expenditure Category (EC)

Expenditure Category (EC) 1 – Public Health

1.05	Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)
1.11	Community Violence Interventions
1.12	Mental Health Services

Expenditure Category (EC) 2 – Negative Economic Impacts

2.02	Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Aid
2.10	Assistance to Unemployed or Underemployed Workers
2.15	Long-Term Housing Security: Affordable Housing
2.16	Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Persons
2.22	Strong Healthy Communities: Neighborhood Features that Promote Health and Safety
2.30	Technical Assistance, Counseling, or Business Planning
2.34	Aid to Nonprofit Organizations
2.35	Aid to Tourism, Travel or Hospitality

Expenditure Category (EC) 3 – Negative Economic Impact: Public Sector Capacity

3.01	Public Sector Workforce
------	-------------------------

Expenditure Category (EC) 4 – Premium Pay

4.01	Premium Pay
------	-------------

Expenditure Category (EC) 6 – Revenue Replacement/Government Services


6.01	Provision of Government Services
------	----------------------------------

Expenditure Category (EC) 7 – Administrative

7.01	Administrative Expenses
------	-------------------------

In determining funding priorities, LFUCG incorporated data received through the public engagement process, reviewed deferred and planned future municipal projects, and sought proposals from external organizations and agencies. LFUCG is committed to supporting a strong and equitable recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic as illustrated in the *Promoting Equitable Outcomes* section of this Annual Recovery Plan Performance Report.

ARPA Allocations by Expenditure Category (EC) Through June 30th, 2022



- EC 1: Public Health
- EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts
- EC 3: Public Sector Capacity
- EC 4: Premium Pay
- EC 6: Revenue Replacement Government Services
- EC 7: Administrative

ARPA Expenses by Expenditure Category (EC) Through June 30th, 2022




Table of Expenses by Expenditure Category (EC)

Expenditure Category Number	Expenditure Category	Cumulative Expenditures to Date	Amount Spent Since Last Recovery Plan
1	EC: Public Health	\$152,694	\$152,694
1.05	Personal Protective Equipment	\$4,972	\$4,972
1.11	Community Violence Interventions	\$12,679	\$12,679
1.12	Mental Health Services	\$135,043	\$135,043
2	Negative Economic Impacts	\$3,691,294	\$3,634,933
2.02	Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Aid	\$409,586	\$390,191
2.10	Assistance to Unemployed or Underemployed Workers	0	0
2.15	Long-Term Housing Security: Affordable Housing	\$825,350	\$825,350
2.16	Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Persons	\$1,501,732	\$1,464,826
2.22	Strong Health Communities: Neighborhood Features that Promote Health and Safety	\$111,594	\$111,594
2.30	Technical Assistance, Counseling, or Business Planning	0	0
2.34	Aid to Nonprofit Organizations	\$556,283	\$556,283
2.35	Aid to Tourism, Travel or Hospitality	\$286,749	\$286,749
3	Public Health – Negative Economic Impacts – Public Sector Capacity	0	0
3.01	Public Sector Workforce	0	0
4	Premium Pay	\$12,954,007	\$12,954,007
4.01	Premium Pay	\$12,954,007	\$12,954,007
5	Infrastructure	0	0

6	Revenue Replacement/Government Services	\$6,894,489	\$6,894,489
6.01	Provision of Government Services	\$6,894,489	\$6,894,489
7	Administrative	\$135,854	\$135,854
7.01	Administrative Expenses	\$135,854	\$135,854

Use of Non-SLFRF American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Funding

Housing Stabilization/Emergency Rental Assistance

LFUCG is providing emergency rental assistance to the residents of Fayette County that are unable to pay rent or utilities. To date the program has assisted over 4,000 households and over 800 unique landlords with an average payment of \$6,400. To expedite payments, the LFUCG partnered with the Community Action Council for *Lexington-Fayette, Bourbon, Harrison and Nicholas Counties* in the fall of 2021.


<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/news/12-14-2021/national-low-income-housing-advocates-praise-lexingtons-rent-assistance-program>

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/renthelp>

<https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program>



\$40,710,173.50 – U.S. Department of the Treasury



Lexington Senior Center

The LFUCG will install *Enhanced Listening* technology at the Lexington Senior Center in two classrooms and two multi-purpose rooms. This Wi-Fi and Radio Frequency product will enhance the listening experience for the Senior Adults who use Hearing Aids. The technology will interface with the existing sound system and will communicate directly with the user's hearing aid, thus cancelling out background noise.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/lexington-senior-center>

\$7,552.62 - Bluegrass Area Development District, Inc.



Parks and Recreation Extended School Program (ESP)

The LFUCG is supporting childcare expenses in the ESP with these funds.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/esp-and-real-program>

\$216,382.16 - KY Cabinet for Health and Family Services

\$116,221.30 - KY Cabinet for Health and Family Services



Community Corrections

Reimbursement of eligible costs associated with operating the jail facility that houses state inmates. Eligible costs may include those related to both county and state inmates and include PPE, particularly gloves, and disinfectant cleaning products to prevent or stop the spread of the virus.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/community-corrections>

\$193,911.39 - KY Justice and Public Safety Cabinet



Water Quality

Replacement of chemical disinfection equipment at Town Branch and West Hickman Wastewater Treatment Plants.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/water-quality>

\$11,868,095 - KY Infrastructure Authority



Family Care Center

The LFUCG is using the funding for operating supplies such as PPE, cleaning products, food, equipment related to COVID protocols, and social and emotional curriculum. Funds are received monthly and may be used for staff training and development in the future.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/family-care-center>

\$1,168,164 (anticipated) - KY Cabinet for Health and Family Services



Grants and Special Programs

The LFUCG has used a very strategic decision-making process to leverage and combine as many federal recovery funds as possible which includes the partnership of the Continuum of Care (CoC) and allocations of HOME-ARP. The LFUCG, as part of its application and program implementation, is requiring all developers requesting HOME-ARP funds to utilize only Coordinated Entry processes for assisted units. This includes leveraging partnerships with providers in the community to provide supportive services for those households referred. This will allow the continued quick movement from homelessness to permanent housing reducing COVID-19 impact strain on existing resources in the community. The LFUCG has planned the funding of 25 units with HOME-ARP funds.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/grants-and-special-programs>

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/homelessness-prevention-and-intervention>

\$4,865,246 - US Department of Housing and Urban Development

Promoting Equitable Outcomes

LFUCG is committed to promoting equitable outcomes in all government services, not only those provided through the use of ARPA funds. In June 2020, LFUCG Mayor Linda Gorton created the [Mayor's Commission for Racial Justice & Equality](#). This group was tasked with a determined and defined purpose: *To assemble diverse community members to listen, discuss, and create empowering solutions that dismantle systemic racism in Fayette County.* This commission, through the work of five subcommittees, issued a [report](#) in October 2020 that outlined recommendations in five broad categories: Education and Economic Opportunity; Housing and Gentrification; Health Disparities; Law Enforcement, Justice, and Accountability; and Racial Equity.



In July 2021 an ordinance was adopted to establish the permanent Racial Justice and Equality Commission. February 2022, 15 members were appointed to the permanent Racial Justice and Equality Commission and will serve staggering two or four year terms. The Racial Justice and Equality Commission is made up of eight African American, three Caucasian, two Hispanic and one Pacific Islander.

An 18-month [progress report](#) was released on March 1st, 2022, outlining action taken by LFUCG towards meeting the recommendations of the report. The report notes that the Mayor and Council approved a \$10 million allocation from ARPA for Affordable Housing initiatives.

Several Mayor's Commission for Racial Justice and Equality Report projects are currently underway, with funding assigned through the FY2021 Operating Budget, FY2022 Operating Budget, FY 2023 Operating Budget and ARPA funding allocations.

While current funding and that allocated in the previous budget provided a head start towards meeting the goals and recommendations of the report, the award of ARPA is providing an opportunity to address these recommendations in a manner that is potentially transformative for the community.

Utilizing various funding sources, including its General Fund, the LFUCG is committed to improving equity and outcomes throughout the community. Several initiatives are complete, underway or forthcoming, including:

- [Eviction Assistance Program](#)
- Disparity and Availability Study
- Purchase of body-worn cameras for all officers
- Purchase of automatic recording mechanisms for police body-worn cameras
- Addition of five Neighborhood Resource Officers and one Sergeant in the Division of Police



- Adoption of formal [Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Statement](#)
- [Diversity and Inclusion](#) training for all LFUCG employees
- Increased funding for minority recruiting efforts
- Increased allocation to [Affordable Housing Trust Fund](#) by \$1.5 million in FY 2022 (Historical annual allocation - \$2 million)
- Creation of [Department of Housing Advocacy and Community Development](#) including the creation of a Housing Advocate position
- Addition of *Code Enforcement Grant Program* for low-income homeowners
- Creation of [WORK-Lexington Workforce Resource Center](#) located at Charles Young Center
- Increased funding for the [ONE Lexington program](#) to address violent crime among youth and young adults
- Completed a new, expanded playground at [Charles Young Park](#) with an aquatic feature that will be complete in 2023
- *Community Summer Teen Retreat* through Parks and Recreation in FY 2023
- Development of *Summer Playground Days* through Parks and Recreation in FY 2023
- Hosted [Clean Slate Lexington](#), an expungement clinic and job fair attended by over 300 residents
- Allocated \$260,000 to purchase a mobile Food Truck to serve neighborhoods with little or no access to fresh food via a community partner
- Creation of *Lexington Neighborhood Investment Fund* (zero interest loans to rehab blighted and abandoned properties, turning them into affordable housing)
- [Police Disciplinary Review Board](#) augmented to include two Citizen-members.
- *Website Translation Software* for residents to convert the LFUCG's website into 94 different languages
- Translation of LFUCG's [One-Stop Business Shop](#) into Spanish, Swahili, Arabic and Nepali
- Return of the PRIDE event downtown Lexington, after two years of cancelations due to the COVID-19 pandemic



- Declared [Juneteenth](#) an official LFUCG holiday. LFUCG set aside funds in the budget to support Juneteenth holiday events including Souleventh, Juneteenth Jubilee, Lyric Theater events, and a Juneteenth event held in Douglas Park. LFUCG commemorated the holiday by displaying the Juneteenth flag and blazes.




- Established the BTheChange Scholarship program that awarded \$30,000 to two Fayette County rising seniors of color at Bryan Station High School pursuing Criminal Justice degrees. The scholarship opportunity was made possible by two community partners.



Mayor Linda Gorton appointed the Municipal Equality Index (MEI) Workgroup to improve LFUCG's MEI. The full report is available [here](#).

The Human Rights Campaign MEI for 2021 awarded a score of 106 to the City of Lexington. The LFUCG has doubled its MEI score from 53 in 2013. More information is available [here](#).

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/lexproud>



Lexington receives best equality score in Kentucky

Lexington today received a perfect score from a civil rights organization that each year ranks cities based on how inclusive municipal laws, policies, and services are of their gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender communities, Mayor Linda Gorton said. The score, 106, is the highest received by any city in Kentucky.




Goals: Are there particular historically underserved, marginalized, or adversely affected groups that you intend to serve within your jurisdiction?

Yes. The LFUCG allocated funding toward numerous projects that are assisting affected groups. Examples include funding for affordable housing, homelessness prevention and intervention, residents experiencing increased gun violence, a home repair program for homeowners with low-income, public space improvements in QCTs across our community, assistance to non-profit agencies operating in QCTs and non-profit agencies assisting impacted and disproportionately impacted communities. The LFUCG also allocated funding to improve mental health and to assist unemployed and underemployed workers through both a historic investment in its Workforce Development and its summer youth employment programs.

The LFUCG is partnering with its local community college to fund a dental hygiene training program to provide free or low-cost dental services to residents in adjacent QCTs. The LFUCG is also working with its public library system to fund a brand-new state of the art public library in a QCT that will provide internet access, educational opportunities, physical and mental health improvement opportunities and more.

The LFUCG recognized the impact of small businesses in the community and is partnering with its Chamber of Commerce to fund a minority business accelerator program.



Project Inventory and Population Demographics – Allocations to Programs and Projects in QCTs


Project Name	Address	QCT	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	Some Other Race alone	Population of two or more races:	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
BCTC Dental Hygiene	500 Newtown Pike	13	1,951	959	494	14	6	1	297	180	450	1,501
Village Branch Library	1801 Alexandria Drive	20.01	5,587	2,390	922	74	42	0	1,409	750	2,294	3,293
Farmers Market	Davis Bottom OLW Between McKinley and Scott	9	6,074	4,124	946	14	435	1	202	352	420	5,654
LexArts	161 North Mill Street	1.01	3,000	1,970	615	18	70	2	79	246	187	2,813
Lyric Theatre	300 E Third Street	1.01	3,000	1,970	615	18	70	2	79	246	187	2,813
RadioLex	101 W Loudon Avenue	13	1,951	959	494	14	6	1	297	180	450	1,501
Explorium	440 W Short Street	1.02	1,447	1,062	267	6	15	0	25	72	43	1,404
Black and Williams Gymnasium	498 Georgetown Street	11	5,150	1,094	2,206	59	20	4	1,263	504	1,788	3,362
HOPE Center Expansion	360 W Loudon Avenue	13	1,951	959	494	14	6	1	297	180	450	1,501
Douglass Park Pool	726 Georgetown Street	11	5,150	1,094	2,206	59	20	4	1,263	504	1,788	3,362
Northeastern Park Playground	140 Eastern Avenue	1.01	3,000	1,970	615	18	70	2	79	246	187	2,813
Phoenix Park Design	100 E Main Street	1.01	3,000	1,970	615	18	70	2	79	246	187	2,813
Pine Meadows Park Playground	1631 Tazwell Drive	19	4,794	2,491	1,125	33	185	11	520	429	828	3,966
River Hill Park Pickleball Courts	3800 Crosby Drive	40.01	6,633	3,838	1,608	23	194	1	312	657	627	6,006
Pam Miller Downtown Arts Center	141 E Main Street	1.01	3,000	1,970	615	18	70	2	79	246	187	2,813
Gainesway Park	3460 Campus Way	34.04	4,676	2,488	1,563	14	74	4	202	331	360	4,316
Whitney Young Parks	1033 St. Martins Avenue	11	5,150	1,094	2,206	59	20	4	1,263	504	1,788	3,362
Picadome Park	469 Parkway Drive	19	4,794	2,491	1,125	33	185	11	520	429	828	3,966
Mount Tabor Park	550 Elk Lake Drive	34.02	4,805	2,947	1,029	46	165	2	236	380	443	4,362
Pine Meadows Park	1631 Tazwell Drive	19	4,794	2,491	1,125	33	185	11	520	429	828	3,966
Elizabeth Street Park	1521 Elizabeth Street	25	3,345	2,919	87	2	60	2	71	204	173	3,172
Dunbar Community Center	545 N. Upper Street	2	3,181	1,951	931	5	28	2	93	171	173	3,008
Duncan Park	530 N. Limestone Street	3	2,822	1,118	1,302	16	6	0	118	262	255	2,567
Valley Neighborhood Center Expansion	2077 Cambridge Dr. (split census tract)	20.01	5,587	2,390	922	74	42	0	1,409	750	2,294	3,293
Castlewood Pool	201 Castlewood Drive	14	2,373	1,505	404	13	16	0	209	226	342	2,031
Douglass Pool	726 Georgetown Street	11	5,150	1,094	2,206	59	20	4	1,263	504	1,788	3,362
Picadome Roof	469 Parkway Drive (also in Parks Masterplan QCT)	19	4,794	2,491	1,125	33	185	11	520	429	828	3,966
Lou Johnson Park Center HVAC	190 Prall St	9	6,074	4,124	946	14	435	1	202	352	420	5,654
Dunbar Center Windows	545 N. Upper St. (also in Parks Masterplan QCT)	2	3,181	1,951	931	5	28	2	93	171	173	3,008
Carver Center Windows	522 Patterson St	9	6,074	4,124	946	14	435	1	202	352	420	5,654
Shropshire Affordable Housing Project	Shropshire and Pemberton Streets (Equestrian View)	4	1,847	644	925	4	9	1	114	150	202	1,645

Detailed demographic data for QCT projects is available [here](#). The infographics provide population trends and key indicators provided by the [American Community Survey \(ACS\)](#).

Awareness: How equal and practical is the ability for residents or businesses to become aware of the services funded by the SLFRF?

The LFUCG and its external partners have been very intentional regarding efforts to promote and communicate services and programs funded by ARPA.

LFUCG’s social media and public relations efforts have been robust. LFUCG’s public information office, elected officials, and department-level staff have created content that has been posted and shared across numerous forums. The LFUCG’s efforts have included newsletters, door hangers, posts on Facebook and Instagram, press releases to local news media outlets, press conferences that were both live-streamed and covered by media, and public meetings. Early in the ARPA process the LFUCG developed a website that is continuously updated.



Access and Distribution: Are there differences in levels of access to benefits and services across groups? Are there administrative requirements that result in disparities in ability to complete applications or meet eligibility criteria?

No.

Outcomes: Are intended outcomes focused on closing gaps, reaching universal levels of service, or disaggregating progress by race, ethnicity, and other equity dimensions where relevant for the policy objective?

Yes. The LFUCG's commitment to closing gaps, reaching universal levels of service and disaggregating progress by race, ethnicity and other equity dimensions where relevant is evident by the diversity of projects funded by the ARPA.

As noted above, the LFUCG's emphasis on workforce development, mental health improvements, community violence reduction, improvements to neighborhoods in QCTs, rapid rehousing programs with supportive services, an expanded mentoring program for at-risk youth and LFUCG's largest commitment in its history to affordable housing, are all intended to improve quality of life for those in our community most impacted by COVID-19.

The programs are intended to assist residents with the development of job skills, provide safe and accessible housing and improve mental and physical health for equitable recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond.

Community Engagement

ARPA is allowing LFUCG to invest an unprecedented amount of funding into the community. It was of the utmost importance that the priorities of the community are considered in the allocation of the funds. To ensure that citizens could make its priorities known, LFUCG embarked upon a three-prong approach to capturing community needs:

Community Meetings

- Community meetings were held across the city from July 8th, 2021, through the month of August 2021. These meetings were hosted by the Mayor, Council Members, and grassroots community groups to offer opportunities for comment and questions about ARPA funding. To ensure access during the rise of the COVID Delta variant, some meetings were held virtually.

Community Survey

- A survey was made available online and in print to gather rankings and preferred project types within the Mayor and Council's Priorities and Principles. These surveys were available in English, Arabic, Spanish, French, Japanese, Mandarin, Swahili, Nepali, and Kinyarwanda to ensure access to members of our community in their preferred language.




Project Idea Forms

- Project ideas were solicited from the public on Project Idea Forms. These forms were made available online and in print to allow community members the opportunity to describe their ideal use of ARPA funds. Like the community survey, this form was made available in multiple languages.


Through this process, LFUCG received more than 3,200 survey responses, 970 project ideas, and heard from numerous citizens at community meetings. Community meetings concluded on Friday, August 27th, 2021, and all data received from this intensive community engagement phase was reviewed. As data was analyzed, these responses were key points of consideration during the assignment of all remaining ARPA funds.



Overall Funding Category Ranking



Funding Subcategories



Additionally, the LFUCG created a standard email address for residents to submit questions, comments and suggestions. The account arpa@lexingtonky.gov will be monitored throughout the funding process.

By May 26th, 2022, the LFUCG had committed \$119,801,280 of its ARPA allocation.

The public can access all LFUCG ARPA information at <https://www.lexingtonky.gov/arpa>.



Home / Government / Mayor's office / American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA)

American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA)

What is the American Rescue Plan Act?

ARPA will deliver \$120,000,000 in federal funding to the City of Lexington to aid in economic recovery to ensure growth and equitable recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic.

To learn more about ARPA, visit the US Department of Treasury.

[ARPA approved projects list >](#)

Contact

American Rescue Plan
200 E. Main. St.
Lexington, KY 40507

[✉ Email us](#)

Community engagement

The community survey and project proposal form are now closed. Below you can find the results and data gathered during the survey.

- [ARPA framework >](#)
- [ARPA survey data >](#)
- [ARPA resident priorities data >](#)
- [ARPA community proposal results >](#)

Category breakdown

This document has each proposal separated into the eleven categories listed in the raw data. These categories include Parks, Infrastructure, Capital Investment, Environment, Social Services, City Services, Grants to Outside Agencies, Arts, Worker Bonuses, Economic Recovery and Housing. Each category has its own tab at the bottom of the document. This is just for reference and to help councilmembers and the community sort through the information.

- [View category breakdown >](#)
- [ARPA Investments in parks and greenspace >](#)

Labor Practices

The LFUCG did not allocate funding to projects categorized as Expenditure Category (EC) 5: Infrastructure.

Use of Evidence

ARPA encourages leaders to invest in evidence-based programs and practices.

LFUCG's ARPA project team referenced various evidence clearinghouses including [Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration](#) (SAMHSA), [PEW Results First Clearinghouse](#), [What Works Clearinghouse](#), [County Health Rankings](#), and [CLEAR](#).

All applicable evidence-based interventions are referenced in the *Project Inventory* section of the Recovery Plan Performance Report.

Revenue Loss Calculation

The LFUCG's revenue loss exceeded the standard allowance of up to \$10 million.

LFUCG determined its Base Revenue, defined as the general revenues collected in the most recent full fiscal year prior to the public health emergency, to be \$522,893,699.66 based on revenues collected in the fiscal year ending June 30th, 2019.

In 2020, the LFUCG Counterfactual Revenue was \$564,205,114.60 for the calendar year ending December 31st, 2020. The Growth Adjustment used was 5.200%. The Actual General Revenue was \$520,921,809.42. The calculated revenue loss due to the COVID-19 health emergency for 2020 was \$43,283,305.18.

In 2021, the LFUCG Counterfactual Revenue was \$593,543,780.56 for the calendar year ending December 31st, 2021. The Growth Adjustment used was 5.200%. The Actual General Revenue was \$566,287,704.46. The calculated revenue loss due to the Covid-19 health emergency for 2021 was \$27,256,076.10.

The LFUCG used revenue replacement funds to pay for government services that were not previously funded due to loss of revenue from the COVID-19 pandemic. Examples include enhancements to public spaces, grants to economic development and workforce development partners, government employee pay supplements, grants to homeowners with low-income, public safety enhancements, bike and pedestrian design connections, broadband, city infrastructure, and a non-profit capital grant program.

LFUCG's total revenue loss calculation for 2020 and 2021 was \$70,539,381.28

An aerial photograph of a city, likely Columbus, Ohio, showing a mix of historic brick buildings and modern skyscrapers. The text 'ARPA PROJECT INVENTORY' is overlaid in large, white, sans-serif font in the center of the image. The sky is blue with scattered white clouds. The city extends to the horizon, showing a dense urban landscape with many trees and buildings.

ARPA PROJECT INVENTORY

EC 1: Public Health

**Programs and Projects that respond to the
Public Health Emergency**

1. Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for LFUCG

LFUCG Project ID: AR_PPE_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_PPE_2022

Project Budget: \$225,000

Project Status: Completed less than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$4,972

Cumulative Expenditure: \$4,972

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 1: Public Health, 1.05 PPE*



LEXINGTON

Project Overview

These funds are being used to purchase Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), including but not limited to masks and gloves, for use in LFUCG facilities, during LFUCG functions, or by LFUCG employees. These items are provided to the public, as needed, during public meetings, and are available to public safety employees or those within public safety facilities, including police, fire protection, emergency services, and community corrections functions.

Prior to the pandemic, a limited number of LFUCG employees used PPE while providing public services. The need for PPE increased drastically during 2020 and 2021, as items like masks and gloves were commonplace. As the need for these items increased, the cost increased likewise.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/central-purchasing>



Project Demographic Distribution

General public

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

There are multiple sources of evidence concerning the effectiveness of mask wearing and other PPE use against COVID-19.



Reported data, including the Fitted Filtration Efficiency (FFE) of various masking devices, including the surgical mask used most often by LFUCG, has been published by the EPA and can be found at <https://www.epa.gov/sciencematters/epa-researchers-test-effectiveness-face-masks-disinfection-methods-against-COVID-19>

Performance Report

Additional Performance Measures

Number of masks purchased	6,000
Number of gloves purchased	0

2. Safety Net

LFUCG Project ID: AR_LEXRM_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: SafeNet

Project Budget: \$350,000

Project Status: Completed less than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$350,000

Cumulative Expenditure: \$12,679

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 1: Public Health, 1.11
Community Violence Interventions*

Subrecipient

Lexington Rescue Mission

Project Overview

Safety Net provides crisis care, case management and support to prevent high-risk individuals from engaging in violent crime. The program targets individuals and families who are identified and referred by key partners, including the Lexington Police Department, Fayette County Public Schools, Fayette County Drug Court, Court Designated Workers Office, County Attorney's Office, and UK Healthcare Trauma Center.

Our program staff meets with participants at their homes or at their hospital bedside, builds rapport with them, and assesses their needs within 24-48 hours of a referral. They then identify resources that are available to help participants, complete a case plan for the participant, and identify community partners to help assist the individuals or families. Partners provide mentoring and assist with meeting urgent needs such as getting the participants into emergency housing, offering behavioral health care, connecting them to jobs, providing financial assistance, and providing food and clothing. Staff continue to provide ongoing case management and coordinate care with the partners.

We will measure our success by whether we prevent retaliation and re-injury with survivors of gunshots and stabbings. For those individuals and households who are at high-risk of violence but have not yet been involved in an incident, we will measure success by whether we prevent participation in a violent crime or being injured by gunshot or stabbings.

In Lexington, the population that has been most severely affected by the surge of violent crime and homicides during the pandemic has been households that are of limited means and are predominately African American. This project focuses directly on serving this population to ensure these residents have



more social support and resources - a better Safety Net - to give them more opportunities to disengage from violent situations.

The number of homicides in the United States spiked almost 30% during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic, marking the largest single year increase since at least 1905. The proliferation of guns, the stress of the pandemic, loss of economic stability, and social unrest all contributed to this public health emergency nationwide.

In Kentucky, the number of violent crimes committed rose to their highest levels in more than a decade during the pandemic, with 9,820 incidents reported statewide in 2020. Kentucky's rate of homicide offenses in 2020 was the highest since 1995.

Following this trend, Lexington experienced a surge in homicides, with a record 34 homicides in 2020 and then setting another record with 37 homicides in 2021. Of Lexington's 37 homicides last year, all but one were shootings. Eight victims were 18 or younger. Two were as young as 14 years old.

In 2021, at least 170 people were shot, with 36 of those shootings were deadly. In 2020, 167 people were shot and 27 of those people died. The number was even lower in 2019, 24 people were killed in 134 shootings.

In 2022, Lexington has had 23 homicides, 18 of which were from shootings. From January 1st, 2022 through June 30th, 2022, 60 people have been shot.

These funds will be used to address this public health emergency for the population that has been hit hardest: individuals between the ages of 12 and 26 who are believed to be at high risk of engaging in gun violence or are the target of potential gun violence as well as high-risk households that are believed to have at least one person living there who is at risk of engaging in gun violence or is the target of potential gun violence. These individuals may either be victims or perpetrators of a violent crime, or they could be involved in a dispute that could escalate into a violent crime. By focusing these funds directly on intervening in situations where violence is poised to escalate, we will be able to make the greatest impact.

<https://lexingtonrescue.org/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Low-or-moderate income households or populations

Capital Expenditure

No

Performance Report

Additional Performance Measures

Number of Safety Net participants	11
-----------------------------------	----

3. It Takes a Village Youth Mentoring Program Personnel Expense

LFUCG Project ID: AR_ITAVM_2023

Treasury Portal Project ID: Village

Project Budget: \$350,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 1: Public Health, 1.11 Community Violence Interventions*



LEXINGTON
ONE Lexington

Project Overview

The Director of the One Lexington Program will administer the It Takes a Village Program. This allocation will fund the Director position for up to three years beginning July 1st, 2022.

It takes a Village Mentoring Programming is a weekly youth summer mentoring program designed to provide educational and workforce preparation opportunities coupled with recreational activities for Lexington youth ages 12 - 17. Several community partners collaborate in engaging under-served Lexington youth with emotional and social skill building workshops led by various community partners, regional college/career trade visits, and fun activities where participants can bond with each other in a safe space.



It Takes a Village continues beyond the summer, providing crucial in-school mentoring as well.

The It Takes a Village program is open to youth ages 12-17. They must have been on free or reduced lunch the prior school year. The program targets youth living in single parent homes, previously incarcerated, dealing with truancy issues or impacted by gun violence.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/one-lexington>

Project Demographic Distribution


Low-income or disproportionately impacted households or populations

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Mentoring

Clearinghouse: 

Mentoring is designed to promote healthy development and functioning. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: CrimeSolutions.gov	Settings: Other Community Setting School
Clearinghouse rating: Effective	Ages: 6 - 18
Outcomes: Multiple crime/offense types Multiple substances Multiple education outcomes Psychological functioning	Target populations: Not specified

Results First Clearinghouse Database

Program Background/Target Populations

This practice provides at-risk youth with positive and consistent adult or older peer contact to promote healthy development and functioning by reducing risk factors. The practice is rated Effective in reducing delinquency outcomes; and promising in reducing the use of alcohol and drugs; improving school attendance, grades, academic achievement test scores, social skills and peer relationships.

Mentoring is designed to promote healthy development and functioning. Although the exact nature of the mentor/mentee relationship varies from program to program and over time, it is generally defined as follows:

A relationship over a prolonged period between two or more people where an older, caring, more experienced individual provides help to the younger person as [he or she] goes through life (CSAP 2000, 2).

The use of mentoring to address the needs of at-risk populations has grown dramatically since early research found that mentored youth were less likely to skip school or engage in drinking, drugs, and violence (Werner 1995; Tierney, Grossman, and Resch 1995). Since then, 5,000 mentoring programs, or programs with mentoring as a component, have been developed and implemented to serve approximately 3 million youth (DuBois et al. 2011).



Mentoring programs can have a prevention or intervention focus and be designed to serve different at-risk populations, such as children living in high-poverty neighborhoods, children of incarcerated parents, children in foster care, abused and neglected youths, youths who have disabilities, pregnant and

parenting adolescents, academically at-risk students, and adolescents involved in the juvenile justice system (Ahrens et al. 2008; Britner et al. 2006; Goode and Smith 2005).

Program Theory

The goal of mentoring programs is to provide a youth with positive adult or older peer contact and, thereby, reduce risk factors (e.g., early antisocial behavior, alienation, family management problems, lack of commitment to school) by enhancing protective factors (e.g., healthy beliefs, opportunities for involvement, and social and material reinforcement for appropriate behavior).

Key Personnel

Although some mentors are paid, most often mentors are volunteers who are matched with a mentee. Occasionally a mentor is matched with a group of mentees. Mentors are typically adults but may also be older peers.

Program Settings and Models

Various mentoring settings and models are in use today:

Informal mentoring occurs whenever a youth has an ongoing relationship with an older person (e.g., a teacher, coach, or family friend) who provides guidance to the young person. These informal mentoring relationships result from frequent, unstructured contacts with the adult or older peer. Informal mentoring has been an important force in the life of young people for centuries.



Formal mentoring occurs when programs provide volunteer mentors for at-risk youth. The formal mentoring relationship between one or more youths and the volunteer is fostered through a structured program operated by community agencies, faith-based programs, schools, afterschool programs, and other youth-serving organizations. The organizations or agencies usually have a structured program that includes recruitment of youth and volunteers, training of volunteers, guidelines for matching volunteers and youth, and ongoing monitoring and training. Once a volunteer is matched with a youth, the pair agrees to meet over time to engage in various activities.

Community-based mentoring (CBM) matches a carefully screened volunteer with an at-risk youth. The pair agrees to meet regularly, usually for at least 4 hours per month. In many cases, the mentoring relationship endures beyond a year. The pair engages in a variety of activities (e.g., sports, games, movies, visiting a library or museum) within the community. Because of a dramatic increase in other formal mentoring programs, CBM programs now account for only about 50 percent of all structured mentoring programs (DuBois and Rhodes 2006).

School-based mentoring (SBM) has become a popular alternative to CBM. SBM also involves the pairing of a young person with a positive role model. In the case of SBM, however, the role model may be an adult or an older student. This model is sometimes called site-based mentoring, because unlike CBM,

the mentor and mentee meet at a specific location rather than various places within the community (DuBois and Rhodes 2006). The SBM pair usually meets at school in a supervised setting for about 1 hour, once a week, during or after school. In a few cases, SBM is provided through a community agency, and the youth meets with his or her mentor at a community center. The mentoring activities tend to be concentrated on academics, along with social activities. The relationship usually lasts only about 9 months during 1 school year. In a few cases, the pair meets during the summer or even in the next school year (Herrera et al. 2007). Thus, youths in SBM programs meet with their mentors for considerably less time per month and for a shorter duration than do youths in CBM programs.

Other mentoring models include group mentoring, wherein one mentor meets with a group of youths; e-mentoring, in which the two individuals communicate over the Internet; and peer mentoring, wherein students are used as mentors (DuBois and Rhodes 2006).

<https://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/data-visualizations/2015/results-first-clearinghouse-database>

Performance Report

Additional Performance Measures

Number of It Takes a Village participants	350
---	-----

The 2022 summer program has 50 participants: 30 male and 20 female. 90% African American and 100% of the participants are on free and reduce lunch.

The 2021-2022 school-year program had 300 participants: 200 male and 100 female. 85% African American and 90% of the participants were on free and reduced lunch.

4. National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) – Mental Health Court Funding FY 2022

LFUCG Project ID: AR_NAMI_2022



Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_NAMI_2022

Project Budget: \$170,000

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$170,000

Cumulative Expenditure: \$135,043

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 1: Public Health, 1.12 Mental Health Services*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$170,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$170,000

Subrecipient

National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI)

Project overview

NAMI Lexington is a 501(c)3 non-profit organization that aids families, caregivers and individuals whose life experience includes living with a serious and persistent mental illness. NAMI Lexington directly serves central Kentucky and partners to serve communities across the Commonwealth of Kentucky. They offer free advocacy, education, outreach and support programs and special events designed to raise community awareness and reduce stigma around mental health issues. Guests of NAMI encounter passionate “lived experience” wisdom in a welcoming environment. ARPA funding supported the Mental Health Court overseen by NAMI.

The Fayette Mental Health Court has been in operation since November 2014. It was developed and designed as a diversion from jail to treatment and services and to address the alarming rate of incarceration among individuals with mental illness. The intent of the program is to interrupt the cycle of recidivism by wrapping the individual in services such as recovery skills training, peer support services and treatment services including treatment for co-occurring substance use disorders, while being continually supported by the court and the court team.

<https://www.namilexington.org/signature-programs/fmhc/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Low or moderate income households or populations

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

NAMI National programs and presentations are developed by experienced professionals using the best available scientific and clinical information and teaching models. Many of their programs and presentations have been offered for decades in communities across the country.

NAMI actively works with researchers to conduct studies on programs and presentations to measure their effectiveness. Based on the results of these studies, they can designate a program as an Evidence-Based Practice (EBP). On this page, you can find results from these studies as well as information about ongoing studies of their programs.

NAMI's Evidence-Based Programs

A NAMI program or presentation is designated as an Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) when it has been studied by an external researcher and the results of the study are published in a peer-reviewed journal. Four of NAMI's programs are currently designated as EBPs – three of which are offered by NAMI Lexington.

NAMI Basics, the education program for parents and other caregivers of children and adolescents with mental health conditions, was shown to support improvement in self-care, empowerment and family communication in a study led by Dr. Barbara Burns and Dr. Kimberly Hoagwood. An additional study is underway at the University of Texas at Austin using a randomized control trial. The study is being led by Dr. Sarah Kate Bearman and Dr. Molly Lopez.

NAMI Family-to-Family is a class for families, significant others and friends of people with mental health conditions. A control group study led by the University of Maryland's Dr. Lisa Dixon and Dr. Alicia Lucksted found that family members who completed the program demonstrated improvements in coping skills, problem-solving skills and feelings of empowerment.

NAMI Ending the Silence, a presentation for middle and high school students, was shown to improve student knowledge and attitudes about mental health conditions in a study conducted by Dr. Otto Wahl of the University of Hartford in 2018. A further study by Joseph DeLuca at CUNY showed significant improvement in student knowledge and a reduction in negative stereotypes, as well as an increased willingness to seek help for mental health concerns.

Further information regarding the studies cited by NAMI can be found on their website:

<https://nami.org/About-Mental-Illness/Research/Research-on-NAMI-Programs>

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) Family-to-Family Education Program (FTF) ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

The National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) Family-to-Family Education Program (FTF) is a group education course for family caregivers of people with mental illness. The curriculum-based course is designed to facilitate a better understanding of mental illness, increase coping skills, and empower participants to become advocates for their family members. Through a variety of information and skill-building exercises conducted over 12 sessions, participants are expected to gain empathy by understanding the experiences of a person with mental illness; learn new techniques for problem solving, listening, and communicating; acquire strategies for handling crises and relapses; learn methods for coping with worry and anxiety; and receive guidance on locating appropriate supports and services within the community. The sessions cover a range of topics, including participants' emotional responses to the impact of mental illness on their lives, current information about many of the major mental illnesses, current research related to the biology of brain disorders, and information on the evidence-based treatments that are most effective in promoting recovery. In addition, there are special workshops on communication and problem solving that provide caregivers with skills in handling the most common concerns that arise in caring for people with these conditions; these are delivered throughout the course. Each of the 12 sessions is led by a two-person team of volunteer teachers, who themselves are family caregivers of a person with mental illness. Sessions are 2.5 hours each and can be delivered by the teachers on a weekly or biweekly basis. [Read less](#)

<p>Clearinghouse: NREPP</p> <p>Clearinghouse rating: Promising</p> <p>Outcomes: Family Cohesion Coping Anxiety Disorders and Symptoms Knowledge, Attitudes, and Beliefs About Mental Health General Functioning and Well-Being Social Competence Depression and Depressive Symptoms Internalizing Problems</p>	<p>Settings: Other</p> <p>Ages: 18-25 (Young adult) 26-55 (Adult) 55+ (Older adult)</p> <p>Target populations: Not specified</p>
---	---

Performance Report

Additional Performance Measures

Number of staff positions retained	26
Number of individuals supported	37

5. National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) – Mental Health Court Funding FY 2023

LFUCG Project ID: AR_NAMI_2023



Treasury Portal Project ID: NAMIFY23

Project Budget: \$170,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 1: Public Health, 1.12 Mental Health Services*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$170,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$170,000

Subrecipient

National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI)

Project overview

NAMI Lexington is a 501(c)3 non-profit organization that aids families, caregivers and individuals whose life experience includes living with a serious and persistent mental illness. NAMI Lexington directly serves central Kentucky and partners to serve communities across the Commonwealth of Kentucky. They offer free advocacy, education, outreach and support programs and special events designed to raise community awareness and reduce stigma around mental health issues. Guests of NAMI encounter passionate “lived experience” wisdom in a welcoming environment. ARPA funding will support the Mental Health Court overseen by NAMI.

The Fayette Mental Health Court has been in operation since November 2014. It was developed and designed as a diversion from jail to treatment and services and to address the alarming rate of incarceration among individuals with mental illness. The intent of the program is to interrupt the cycle of recidivism by wrapping the individual in services such as recovery skills training, peer support services and treatment services including treatment for co-occurring substance use disorders, while being continually supported by the court and the court team.

<https://www.namilexington.org/signature-programs/fmhc/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Low or moderate income households or populations

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

NAMI National programs and presentations are developed by experienced professionals using the best available scientific and clinical information and teaching models. Many of their programs and presentations have been offered for decades in communities across the country.

NAMI actively works with researchers to conduct studies on programs and presentations to measure their effectiveness. Based on the results of these studies, they can designate a program as an Evidence-Based Practice (EBP). On this page, you can find results from these studies as well as information about ongoing studies of their programs.

NAMI's Evidence-Based Programs

A NAMI program or presentation is designated as an Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) when it has been studied by an external researcher and the results of the study are published in a peer-reviewed journal. Four of NAMI's programs are currently designated as EBPs – three of which are offered by NAMI Lexington.

NAMI Basics, the education program for parents and other caregivers of children and adolescents with mental health conditions, was shown to support improvement in self-care, empowerment and family communication in a study led by Dr. Barbara Burns and Dr. Kimberly Hoagwood. An additional study is underway at the University of Texas at Austin using a randomized control trial. The study is being led by Dr. Sarah Kate Bearman and Dr. Molly Lopez.

NAMI Family-to-Family is a class for families, significant others and friends of people with mental health conditions. A control group study led by the University of Maryland's Dr. Lisa Dixon and Dr. Alicia Lucksted found that family members who completed the program demonstrated improvements in coping skills, problem-solving skills and feelings of empowerment.

NAMI Ending the Silence, a presentation for middle and high school students, was shown to improve student knowledge and attitudes about mental health conditions in a study conducted by Dr. Otto Wahl of the University of Hartford in 2018. A further study by Joseph DeLuca at CUNY showed significant improvement in student knowledge and a reduction in negative stereotypes, as well as an increased willingness to seek help for mental health concerns.

Further information regarding the studies cited by NAMI can be found on their website:

<https://nami.org/About-Mental-Illness/Research/Research-on-NAMI-Programs>

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) Family-to-Family Education Program (FTF) ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

The National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) Family-to-Family Education Program (FTF) is a group education course for family caregivers of people with mental illness. The curriculum-based course is designed to facilitate a better understanding of mental illness, increase coping skills, and empower participants to become advocates for their family members. Through a variety of information and skill-building exercises conducted over 12 sessions, participants are expected to gain empathy by understanding the experiences of a person with mental illness; learn new techniques for problem solving, listening, and communicating; acquire strategies for handling crises and relapses; learn methods for coping with worry and anxiety; and receive guidance on locating appropriate supports and services within the community. The sessions cover a range of topics, including participants' emotional responses to the impact of mental illness on their lives, current information about many of the major mental illnesses, current research related to the biology of brain disorders, and information on the evidence-based treatments that are most effective in promoting recovery. In addition, there are special workshops on communication and problem solving that provide caregivers with skills in handling the most common concerns that arise in caring for people with these conditions; these are delivered throughout the course. Each of the 12 sessions is led by a two-person team of volunteer teachers, who themselves are family caregivers of a person with mental illness. Sessions are 2.5 hours each and can be delivered by the teachers on a weekly or biweekly basis. [Read less](#)

<p>Clearinghouse: NREPP</p> <p>Clearinghouse rating: Promising</p> <p>Outcomes: Family Cohesion Coping Anxiety Disorders and Symptoms Knowledge, Attitudes, and Beliefs About Mental Health General Functioning and Well-Being Social Competence Depression and Depressive Symptoms Internalizing Problems</p>	<p>Settings: Other</p> <p>Ages: 18-25 (Young adult) 26-55 (Adult) 55+ (Older adult)</p> <p>Target populations: Not specified</p>
---	---

Performance Report

Additional Performance Measures

Number of staff positions retained	0
Number of individuals supported	0

6. National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) – Mental Health Court Funding FY 2024

LFUCG Project ID: TBD



Treasury Portal Project ID: NAMIFY24

Project Budget: \$170,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 1: Public Health, 1.12 Mental Health Services*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$170,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$170,000

Subrecipient

National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI)

Project overview

NAMI Lexington is a 501(c)3 non-profit organization that aids families, caregivers and individuals whose life experience includes living with a serious and persistent mental illness. NAMI Lexington directly serves central Kentucky and partners to serve communities across the Commonwealth of Kentucky. They offer free advocacy, education, outreach and support programs and special events designed to raise community awareness and reduce stigma around mental health issues. Guests of NAMI encounter passionate “lived experience” wisdom in a welcoming environment. ARPA funding will support the Mental Health Court overseen by NAMI.

The Fayette Mental Health Court has been in operation since November 2014. It was developed and designed as a diversion from jail to treatment and services and to address the alarming rate of incarceration among individuals with mental illness. The intent of the program is to interrupt the cycle of recidivism by wrapping the individual in services such as recovery skills training, peer support services and treatment services including treatment for co-occurring substance use disorders, while being continually supported by the court and the court team.

<https://www.namilexington.org/signature-programs/fmhc/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Low or moderate income households or populations

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

NAMI National programs and presentations are developed by experienced professionals using the best available scientific and clinical information and teaching models. Many of their programs and presentations have been offered for decades in communities across the country.

NAMI actively works with researchers to conduct studies on programs and presentations to measure their effectiveness. Based on the results of these studies, they can designate a program as an Evidence-Based Practice (EBP). On this page, you can find results from these studies as well as information about ongoing studies of their programs.

NAMI's Evidence-Based Programs

A NAMI program or presentation is designated as an Evidence-Based Practice (EBP) when it has been studied by an external researcher and the results of the study are published in a peer-reviewed journal. Four of NAMI's programs are currently designated as EBPs – three of which are offered by NAMI Lexington.

NAMI Basics, the education program for parents and other caregivers of children and adolescents with mental health conditions, was shown to support improvement in self-care, empowerment and family communication in a study led by Dr. Barbara Burns and Dr. Kimberly Hoagwood. An additional study is underway at the University of Texas at Austin using a randomized control trial. The study is being led by Dr. Sarah Kate Bearman and Dr. Molly Lopez.

NAMI Family-to-Family is a class for families, significant others and friends of people with mental health conditions. A control group study led by the University of Maryland's Dr. Lisa Dixon and Dr. Alicia Lucksted found that family members who completed the program demonstrated improvements in coping skills, problem-solving skills and feelings of empowerment.

NAMI Ending the Silence, a presentation for middle and high school students, was shown to improve student knowledge and attitudes about mental health conditions in a study conducted by Dr. Otto Wahl of the University of Hartford in 2018. A further study by Joseph DeLuca at CUNY showed significant improvement in student knowledge and a reduction in negative stereotypes, as well as an increased willingness to seek help for mental health concerns.

Further information regarding the studies cited by NAMI can be found on their website:

<https://nami.org/About-Mental-Illness/Research/Research-on-NAMI-Programs>

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) Family-to-Family Education Program (FTF) ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

The National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) Family-to-Family Education Program (FTF) is a group education course for family caregivers of people with mental illness. The curriculum-based course is designed to facilitate a better understanding of mental illness, increase coping skills, and empower participants to become advocates for their family members. Through a variety of information and skill-building exercises conducted over 12 sessions, participants are expected to gain empathy by understanding the experiences of a person with mental illness; learn new techniques for problem solving, listening, and communicating; acquire strategies for handling crises and relapses; learn methods for coping with worry and anxiety; and receive guidance on locating appropriate supports and services within the community. The sessions cover a range of topics, including participants' emotional responses to the impact of mental illness on their lives, current information about many of the major mental illnesses, current research related to the biology of brain disorders, and information on the evidence-based treatments that are most effective in promoting recovery. In addition, there are special workshops on communication and problem solving that provide caregivers with skills in handling the most common concerns that arise in caring for people with these conditions; these are delivered throughout the course. Each of the 12 sessions is led by a two-person team of volunteer teachers, who themselves are family caregivers of a person with mental illness. Sessions are 2.5 hours each and can be delivered by the teachers on a weekly or biweekly basis. [Read less](#)

<p>Clearinghouse: NREPP</p> <p>Clearinghouse rating: Promising</p> <p>Outcomes: Family Cohesion Coping Anxiety Disorders and Symptoms Knowledge, Attitudes, and Beliefs About Mental Health General Functioning and Well-Being Social Competence Depression and Depressive Symptoms Internalizing Problems</p>	<p>Settings: Other</p> <p>Ages: 18-25 (Young adult) 26-55 (Adult) 55+ (Older adult)</p> <p>Target populations: Not specified</p>
---	---

Performance Report

Additional Performance Measures

Number of staff positions retained	0
Number of individuals supported	0

EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts

**Programs and Projects that respond to the
Negative Economic Impacts of the COVID-
19 Pandemic**

7. Emergency Financial Assistance (EFA) FY 2022

LFUCG Project ID: AR_EFA_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_EFA_2022

Project Budget: \$240,846

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$210,986

Cumulative Expenditure: \$210,986

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.02 Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, Utility Aid*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$240,846

Equity Based Funding Total: \$240,846

Project overview

The Emergency Financial Assistance (EFA) program is designed to mitigate the acute threat of housing insecurity posed by a resident's imminent eviction and/or utility service interruption due to a short-term financial crisis. The assistance can be used for rent, mortgage and/or utilities. EFA is an on-going assistance program for residents of Fayette County that can be utilized every three years. Eligibility counselors process referrals to maintain housing stability for residents. This program is partnered through the LFUCG.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/emergency-financial-assistance>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Impacted low- or moderate-income households or populations

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence



LEXINGTON
*Housing, Advocacy &
Community Development*



Rapid re-housing programs

Rapid re-housing programs provide support services to move families or individuals experiencing homelessness into permanent housing, usually within 30 days. Support services vary; core components often include help finding permanent housing, case management, social services, and short-term financial assistance for move-in costs and rent. Program staff may also assist with landlord negotiations. Assistance typically lasts four-six months but may extend up to 18 months. Rapid re-housing programs are available to anyone experiencing homelessness, and often serve military veterans and their families, but may not be appropriate for individuals who are chronically homeless or need permanent supportive housing. Individuals typically connect with rapid re-housing programs through emergency shelters, food pantries, and other social service programs^{1, 2, 3}. Programs sometimes focus on specific groups, such as families with school-aged children⁴ or survivors of domestic violence⁵.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Reduced homelessness
Improved access to social services

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased housing stability
Increased food security
Improved health outcomes
Improved mental health
Increased income
Increased employment
Reduced drug and alcohol use
Reduced absenteeism
Improved child behavior

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that rapid re-housing programs decrease the length of time individuals and families remain homeless^{2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10} and increase access to social services^{2, 7, 8}. Rapid re-housing programs may also increase housing stability^{6, 7, 9, 10}, particularly for families who are newly homeless⁷ or those who need only short-term support¹¹. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Rapid re-housing efforts can decrease rates of homelessness^{2, 12} and increase shelter turnover rates, enabling shelters to assist more people^{2, 13}. Evaluations of programs that serve military veterans and their families indicate approximately 75% of participants have permanent housing without assistance after exiting rapid re-housing programs^{10, 14, 15}; other family-focused rapid re-housing programs yield similar results⁷. Only a small portion of rapid re-housing participants return to homelessness after program completion^{8, 12, 16}; those at higher risk include blacks, veterans, and individuals whose incomes do not increase following housing placement¹⁰. Studies suggest single mothers, particularly survivors of trauma and abuse, may require added support services to ensure stable housing^{13, 17}.

Program participation can increase family income^{6,13} and employment⁶, and improve financial stability⁹. Rapid re-housing programs can increase food security more than usual care and transitional housing^{13,18} and improve well-being for adults and children⁶. Programs may also improve mental and short-term physical health for heads of households^{6,18} and reduce drug and alcohol use more than transitional housing¹⁸. Rapid re-housing also appears to reduce school absences and child behavior issues¹⁸.

Program evaluations suggest three core components of successful rapid re-housing programs: housing identification, often in partnership with landlords; rent and move-in assistance; and case management and services that connect with other providers¹⁶. Partnerships between shelters, agencies, funding organizations, and landlords can increase efficiency of implementation and reduce redundancy in procedures^{7,19}.

Requirements to secure housing and employment in a short time period and to regularly recertify can be barriers to participation in rapid re-housing programs. After leaving the program, some families may have difficulty paying their full rent without subsidies^{7,12,20}.

Researchers suggest that rapid re-housing programs are a scalable and cost-effective homelessness crisis response intervention^{11,16}. Rapid re-housing programs are more cost-effective than transitional housing programs and shelters^{3,13,16,18}. Rapid re-housing costs about \$900 per month/per-family; transitional housing ranges from \$1,260 to \$6,300 per family¹⁸; costs for rapid re-housing differ based on local rental rates^{12,18}. Financial support to cover move-in costs such as first and last month's rent, security deposits, and utility payments are the largest program costs^{2,7}.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/rapid-re-housing-programs>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	305
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0

8. Emergency Financial Assistance (EFA) FY 2023

LFUCG Project ID: AR_EFA_2023

Treasury Portal Project ID: EFAFY23

Project Budget: \$200,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.02 Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, Utility Aid*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$200,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$200,000

Project overview

The Emergency Financial Assistance (EFA) program is designed to mitigate the acute threat of housing insecurity posed by a resident's imminent eviction and/or utility service interruption due to a short-term financial crisis. The assistance can be used for rent, mortgage and/or utilities. EFA is an on-going assistance program for residents of Fayette County that can be utilized every three years. Eligibility counselors process referrals to maintain housing stability for residents. This program is partnered through the LFUCG.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/emergency-financial-assistance>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Impacted low- or moderate-income households or populations


Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence



LEXINGTON
*Housing, Advocacy &
Community Development*



Rapid re-housing programs

Rapid re-housing programs provide support services to move families or individuals experiencing homelessness into permanent housing, usually within 30 days. Support services vary; core components often include help finding permanent housing, case management, social services, and short-term financial assistance for move-in costs and rent. Program staff may also assist with landlord negotiations. Assistance typically lasts four-six months but may extend up to 18 months. Rapid re-housing programs are available to anyone experiencing homelessness, and often serve military veterans and their families, but may not be appropriate for individuals who are chronically homeless or need permanent supportive housing. Individuals typically connect with rapid re-housing programs through emergency shelters, food pantries, and other social service programs^{1, 2, 3}. Programs sometimes focus on specific groups, such as families with school-aged children⁴ or survivors of domestic violence⁵.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Reduced homelessness
Improved access to social services

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased housing stability
Increased food security
Improved health outcomes
Improved mental health
Increased income
Increased employment
Reduced drug and alcohol use
Reduced absenteeism
Improved child behavior

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that rapid re-housing programs decrease the length of time individuals and families remain homeless^{2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10} and increase access to social services^{2, 7, 8}. Rapid re-housing programs may also increase housing stability^{6, 7, 9, 10}, particularly for families who are newly homeless⁷ or those who need only short-term support¹¹. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Rapid re-housing efforts can decrease rates of homelessness^{2, 12} and increase shelter turnover rates, enabling shelters to assist more people^{2, 13}. Evaluations of programs that serve military veterans and their families indicate approximately 75% of participants have permanent housing without assistance after exiting rapid re-housing programs^{10, 14, 15}; other family-focused rapid re-housing programs yield similar results⁷. Only a small portion of rapid re-housing participants return to homelessness after program completion^{8, 12, 16}; those at higher risk include blacks, veterans, and individuals whose incomes do not increase following housing placement¹⁰. Studies suggest single mothers, particularly survivors of trauma and abuse, may require added support services to ensure stable housing^{13, 17}.

Program participation can increase family income^{6,13} and employment⁶, and improve financial stability⁹. Rapid re-housing programs can increase food security more than usual care and transitional housing^{13,18} and improve well-being for adults and children⁶. Programs may also improve mental and short-term physical health for heads of households^{6,18} and reduce drug and alcohol use more than transitional housing¹⁸. Rapid re-housing also appears to reduce school absences and child behavior issues¹⁸.

Program evaluations suggest three core components of successful rapid re-housing programs: housing identification, often in partnership with landlords; rent and move-in assistance; and case management and services that connect with other providers¹⁶. Partnerships between shelters, agencies, funding organizations, and landlords can increase efficiency of implementation and reduce redundancy in procedures^{7,19}.

Requirements to secure housing and employment in a short time period and to regularly recertify can be barriers to participation in rapid re-housing programs. After leaving the program, some families may have difficulty paying their full rent without subsidies^{7,12,20}.

Researchers suggest that rapid re-housing programs are a scalable and cost-effective homelessness crisis response intervention^{11,16}. Rapid re-housing programs are more cost-effective than transitional housing programs and shelters^{3,13,16,18}. Rapid re-housing costs about \$900 per month/per-family; transitional housing ranges from \$1,260 to \$6,300 per family¹⁸; costs for rapid re-housing differ based on local rental rates^{12,18}. Financial support to cover move-in costs such as first and last month's rent, security deposits, and utility payments are the largest program costs^{2,7}.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/rapid-re-housing-programs>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0

9. Recovery Supportive Living Assistance (RSLA) FY 2022

LFUCG Project ID: AR_RSLA_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_RSLA_2022

Project Budget: \$200,000

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$198,600

Cumulative Expenditure: \$198,600

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.02 Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, Utility Aid*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$200,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$200,000

Project overview

LFUCG developed the Recovery Supportive Living Assistance (RSLA) Program in October 2020 in response to the growing number of individuals in early recovery from substance use disorder who were experiencing a financial barrier to entering or continuing in a recovery housing program. The COVID-19 pandemic was hitting the individuals with substance use disorder or in early recovery from substance use disorder very hard. Many individuals in early recovery obtain employment in the hospitality industry, an industry that was devastated by COVID-19.

Jobs were lost temporarily or permanently with very little warning. Hospitality jobs that were traditionally relatively easy to obtain for an individual in early recovery were scarce. Although it typically took two weeks or less to secure employment upon admission to a recovery residence, in many cases it was taking much longer to secure initial employment or find another job if the first was lost due to the pandemic. It was not uncommon for everyone living in a recovery residence to miss weeks of work due to COVID-19 infection or exposure.

Entering a recovery residence provides the support and structure crucial to recover from substance use disorder. It provides a balance of drug-free support, accountability to peers and an opportunity to live in a safe, affordable, sober environment at a critical time in a person's recovery journey. RSLA provides up to \$400 in assistance to individuals continuing in or entering a recovery residence. Typically, recovery residences charge between \$100 and \$125 per week. This fee includes a bedroom, shared access to common areas (kitchen, bathroom, family room, etc.) and utilities. While each residence has its own guidelines, most include a minimum number of mutual support meetings per week, random drug testing, house meetings and alternating chores. These funds help cover expenses while the



LEXINGTON
Social Services

individual is seeking employment, which has created a challenge for many during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Untreated substance use disorder, overdoses and overdose fatalities are public health concerns that have devastating impacts on the community, families and individuals. When overdose rates decline and individuals have access to recovery support, the financial costs to a community (public safety, medical, social services, etc.) decrease and the devastating psychosocial impacts on families decrease, as well (incarceration, absent parent, parent death, poverty, underemployment, exploitation, housing instability, etc.). RSLA addresses a gap in services in the substance use disorder service continuum at a crucial time as the community processes the devastating impact of COVID-19 on individuals with substance use disorder and their families.

- Individuals entering or continuing in a recovery residence who are experiencing a financial barrier are eligible to apply for assistance from the RSLA Program;
- A completed RSLA application and a program agreement signed by the applicant and a representative from the recovery residence must be submitted in order to be considered for assistance;
- An individual is eligible to receive up to \$400 in assistance. This typically covers three to four weeks in a recovery residence. During this time, an individual must seek employment in order to continue in the recovery residence, and;
- Payment is made to the owner/operator of the residence (the owner/operator must register as a vendor with LFUCG and acknowledge that it may take up to 30 days to receive payment).

More than two dozen recovery residences throughout the community have partnered with LFUCG to help ensure individuals have the chance to enter or continue in a recovery residence and gain the tools necessary.

Referrals to the program come from LFUCG partners including:

New Vista
Chrysalis House
Shepherd's House
Primary Purpose Recovery Residences
Walker House
Oxford House
Roaring Brook
Bay Harbor
Isaiah House
Victory House
Rachel House
Marne House
Optimal Living Services

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/substance-use-disorder-intervention>

Project Demographic Distribution

Other households or populations that experienced a disproportionate negative economic impact of the pandemic

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Recovery Housing: Best Practices and Suggested Guidelines, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

<https://www.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/housing-best-practices-100819.pdf>

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA)

“SAMHSA strongly believes in the use of recovery housing as a key strategy to assist individuals living with substance use disorder in achieving and maintaining recovery. Providing individuals with a safe and stable place to live can potentially be the foundation for a lifetime of recovery. It is critical that these houses function with sound operating procedures which center on a safe, sober living environment in which individuals can gain access to community supports and therapeutic services to advance their recovery”.

Recovery Residence Quality Standards

<https://narronline.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/National-Recovery-Residence-Quality-Standards-Oct-7-2015.pdf>

National Alliance for Recovery Residences

“Recovery residences provide a spectrum of living environments that are free from alcohol and illicit drug use with a focus on peer support and connection to other recovery services and supports. All recovery residences are founded on Social Model Recovery Philosophy and have existed in the United States since at least the mid-1800s”.

Recovery: The Many Paths to Wellness, The Surgeon General’s Report on Alcohol, Drugs and Health (Chapter 5) <https://addiction.surgeongeneral.gov/sites/default/files/chapter-5-recovery.pdf>

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

“Taken together, these studies provide promising evidence to suggest that recovery-supportive housing can be both cost-effective and effective in supporting recovery.”

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
--	---

Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0
---	---

Additional Performance Measures

Number of individuals entering or continuing recovery housing	497
---	-----

10. Recovery Supportive Living Assistance (RSLA) FY 2023

LFUCG Project ID: AR_RSLA_2023

Treasury Portal Project ID: RSLAFY23

Project Budget: \$200,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.02 Household Assistance: Rent, Mortgage, Utility Aid*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$200,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$200,000

Project overview

LFUCG developed the Recovery Supportive Living Assistance (RSLA) Program in October 2020 in response to the growing number of individuals in early recovery from substance use disorder who were experiencing a financial barrier to entering or continuing in a recovery housing program. The COVID-19 pandemic was hitting the individuals with substance use disorder or in early recovery from substance use disorder very hard. Many individuals in early recovery obtain employment in the hospitality industry, an industry that was devastated by COVID-19.

Jobs were lost temporarily or permanently with very little warning. Hospitality jobs that were traditionally relatively easy to obtain for an individual in early recovery were scarce. Although it typically took two weeks or less to secure employment upon admission to a recovery residence, in many cases it was taking much longer to secure initial employment or find another job if the first was lost due to the pandemic. It was not uncommon for everyone living in a recovery residence to miss weeks of work due to COVID-19 infection or exposure.

Entering a recovery residence provides the support and structure crucial to recover from substance use disorder. It provides a balance of drug-free support, accountability to peers and an opportunity to live in a safe, affordable, sober environment at a critical time in a person's recovery journey. RSLA provides up to \$400 in assistance to individuals continuing in or entering a recovery residence. Typically, recovery residences charge between \$100 and \$125 per week. This fee includes a bedroom, shared access to common areas (kitchen, bathroom, family room, etc.) and utilities. While each residence has its own guidelines, most include a minimum number of mutual support meetings per week, random drug testing, house meetings and alternating chores. These funds help cover expenses while the



LEXINGTON
Social Services

individual is seeking employment, which has created a challenge for many during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Untreated substance use disorder, overdoses and overdose fatalities are public health concerns that have devastating impacts on the community, families, and individuals. When overdose rates decline and individuals have access to recovery support, the financial costs to a community (public safety, medical, social services, etc.) decrease and the devastating psychosocial impacts on families decrease, as well (incarceration, absent parent, parent death, poverty, underemployment, exploitation, housing instability, etc.). RSLA addresses a gap in services in the substance use disorder service continuum at a crucial time as the community processes the devastating impact of COVID-19 on individuals with substance use disorder and their families.

- Individuals entering or continuing in a recovery residence who are experiencing a financial barrier are eligible to apply for assistance from the RSLA Program;
- A completed RSLA application and a program agreement signed by the applicant and a representative from the recovery residence must be submitted in order to be considered for assistance;
- An individual is eligible to receive up to \$400 in assistance. This typically covers three to four weeks in a recovery residence. During this time, an individual must seek employment in order to continue in the recovery residence, and;
- Payment is made to the owner/operator of the residence (the owner/operator must register as a vendor with LFUCG and acknowledge that it may take up to 30 days to receive payment).

More than two dozen recovery residences throughout the community have partnered with LFUCG to help ensure individuals have the chance to enter or continue in a recovery residence and gain the tools necessary.

Referrals to the program come from LFUCG partners including:

New Vista
Chrysalis House
Shepherd's House
Primary Purpose Recovery Residences
Walker House
Oxford House
Roaring Brook
Bay Harbor
Isaiah House
Victory House
Rachel House
Marne House
Optimal Living Services

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/substance-use-disorder-intervention>

Project Demographic Distribution

Other households or populations that experienced a disproportionate negative economic impact of the pandemic

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Recovery Housing: Best Practices and Suggested Guidelines, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

<https://www.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/housing-best-practices-100819.pdf>

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA)

“SAMHSA strongly believes in the use of recovery housing as a key strategy to assist individuals living with substance use disorder in achieving and maintaining recovery. Providing individuals with a safe and stable place to live can potentially be the foundation for a lifetime of recovery. It is critical that these houses function with sound operating procedures which center on a safe, sober living environment in which individuals can gain access to community supports and therapeutic services to advance their recovery”.

Recovery Residence Quality Standards

<https://narronline.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/National-Recovery-Residence-Quality-Standards-Oct-7-2015.pdf>

National Alliance for Recovery Residences

“Recovery residences provide a spectrum of living environments that are free from alcohol and illicit drug use with a focus on peer support and connection to other recovery services and supports. All recovery residences are founded on Social Model Recovery Philosophy and have existed in the United States since at least the mid-1800s”.

Recovery: The Many Paths to Wellness, The Surgeon General’s Report on Alcohol, Drugs and Health (Chapter 5)

<https://addiction.surgeongeneral.gov/sites/default/files/chapter-5-recovery.pdf>

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

“Taken together, these studies provide promising evidence to suggest that recovery-supportive housing can be both cost-effective and effective in supporting recovery.”

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
--	---

Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0
---	---

Additional Performance Measures

Number of individuals entering or continuing recovery housing	0
---	---

11. Workforce Development Activities FY 2024

LFUCG Project ID: TBD

Treasury Portal Project ID: WorkForceFY24

Project Budget: \$150,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.10 Assistance to Unemployed or Underemployed Workers*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$150,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$150,000

Project overview

\$150,000 in ARPA dollars were made available for Workforce Development activities in Lexington. Specific project details are to be determined by the Workforce Development Office at LFUCG.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/workforcedevelopment>

Project Demographic Distribution

TBD

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Sector-based workforce initiatives

Sector-based workforce initiatives offer industry-focused education and job training based on the needs of regional employers within specific industry sectors. Such initiatives identify common skills within the sector, work with local training providers such as community colleges to create standardized training curriculums, and train workers for job opportunities with high quality benefits, advancement opportunities, and higher wages. Initiatives provide training at multiple skill levels and may leverage career pathways and bridge programs to provide opportunities for worker advancement. Sector-based workforce initiatives are generally driven by employer needs but implemented by workforce



LEXINGTON
Economic Partnership



intermediaries such as nonprofit agencies or workforce development boards who coordinate partnerships between education and training providers, businesses, community organizations, and state agencies¹.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased employment
Increased earnings

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased academic achievement

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that sector-based workforce initiatives increase employment and earnings^{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9}. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Participation in sector-based workforce initiatives can increase employment and earnings more than traditional workforce development programs for low-income adults, disadvantaged workers^{5, 9}, and the long-term unemployed⁶. Participation can also increase earnings for urban young adults aged 18 to 24 who have high school diplomas or GEDs^{2, 7}. In some cases, program effects persist up to 7.5 years after enrollment¹⁰. For example, an evaluation of Project Quest indicates participants increase earnings progressively over time, earning \$5,080 more than similar peers six years after study enrollment³; Capital IDEA participants increased earnings and employment gains over 4 years after training⁸.

Gains in earnings appear to be greater for participants in the health care industry than participants in manufacturing or transportation-focused programs; gains may also be less for participants who are at the greatest disadvantage⁵. Gains in earnings and employment vary by approach and provider^{6, 9}. Economic conditions may also affect earnings⁴. Difficulty developing necessary partnerships, lack of basic skills among some participants, and a dynamic labor market that may eliminate occupations can be challenges to establishing programs¹¹. Attempts to replicate previously successful programs may not be successful¹².

Participation in sector-based workforce initiatives can increase vocational credential receipt¹³, particularly for the participants who were over 25 and had a GED at program start³ or those with other barriers to employment, such as criminal convictions⁶.

Successful sector-based workforce initiatives include collaboration with agencies, industry, and employers; alignment with strategies such as career pathways; work credentialing; provision of incentive and planning funds; and leveraging diverse funding sources¹⁴. Successful initiatives generally serve low-income workers with strong basic skills, rather than hard-to-employ adults¹⁵.

Costs vary widely. WorkAdvance demonstration site costs range from \$5,200 to \$6,700 per participant, for example⁶. The Year Up program, an intensive sector-based workforce initiative, spends around \$28,000 per participant, partially offset by payments from corporate partners who employ Year Up interns².

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/sector-based-workforce-initiatives>

Performance Report

Performance Measures

Number of workers enrolled in sectoral job training programs	0
Number of workers completing sectoral job training programs	0
Number of people participating in summer youth employment programs	0

12. Summer Youth Work Readiness Program

LFUCG Project ID: AR_SYJTP_2023

Treasury Portal Project ID: SumYouth

Project Budget: \$960,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.10 Assistance to Unemployed or Underemployed Workers*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$960,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$960,000

Project Overview

The Summer Youth Job Readiness Program provides workforce training opportunities for 300 Lexington youth, who are rising 10th, 11th and 12th-grade high school students. They will work part-time, up to 20 hours per week, and earn \$15 per hour. Youth workers will be compensated by the city government, while local businesses and community-based partners offer valuable work assignments and experience.

COVID-19 negatively impacted the Summer Youth Job Training Program by causing it to be canceled for two summers in a row: 2020 and 2021. Youth, who relied on this income to help their families financially, were not able to do so, which added additional stressors in a pandemic. These funds will help reestablish the program and help youth earn wages and gain job skills.

The program's priority is based on educational, social, and economic factors to support youth and their families who rely on these additional funds during the summer months. It prepares youth for the



LEXINGTON
Social Services

WHAT ARE YOUR CAREER INTERESTS?

Advertising & Media	Home & Garden
Agriculture, Fishing & Forestry	Industrial & Manufacturing
Arts, Culture & Entertainment	Lodging, Travel & Tourism
Automotive, Aviation & Marine	Personal Services & Care
Business & Professional Services	Pets & Veterinary
Communications	Public Utilities & Environment
Computers, IT & Technology	Real Estate & Construction
Employment & Staffing	Religious Organizations
Family, Community & Non-Profit	Restaurants, Food & Beverages
Finance & Insurance	Shopping & Specialty Retail
Government & Education	Sports & Recreation
Health Care	

What is SYJTP? The Summer Youth Job Training Program provides workforce training opportunities for youth, by teaming with Lexington's business & community-based partners.

Why SYJTP is Valuable? SYJTP offers Lexington youth the opportunity to gain work experience, to develop interpersonal skills, to learn and explore career interests, all while earning income during the summer.

What's New in 2022? Partners for Youth and Fayette County Public Schools are collaborating to facilitate meaningful employment experiences.

When does SYJTP Begin? The 2022 SYJTP will run for 6 full weeks, beginning on June 13 and ending on July 22. Youth workers will be assigned to worksites by May 15.

Where will the Work Occur? Youth Workers will report to the assigned operational management of local businesses, government agencies, or community organizations.

Who Coordinates SYJTP? The Summer Youth Job Training Program is locally funded, and is administered by Partners for Youth under the Department of Social Services. Youth Workers are compensated by the city of Lexington.

LEXINGTON Social Services

workforce, who traditionally may not have an opportunity. The LFUCG General Fund portion of the program began in June 2022. The ARPA funded portion of the program began July 1st, 2022.

FY 2022-2023 Enrolled Summer Youth Employment Participant Demographics

Gender	Asian	African American	Hispanic	Two or More Races	White	Not Reported	Total
Female	3	90	5	2	28	1	129
Male	5	59	2	4	22		92
Grand Total	8	149	7	6	50	1	*221

**221 represents the number of participants at the start of the program in June 2022*

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/summer-youth-job-training-program>

Project Demographic Distribution

Low-or-moderate income households or populations

Households that experienced unemployment

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Summer youth employment programs (SYEPs) provide short-term jobs for youth, usually 14-24 years old. Placements usually last six to eight weeks and participants typically work 15-30 hours per week. Programs are generally run by government agencies or non-profits, and positions are usually subsidized by program organizers; private sector employers may offer more competitive placements and may pay participants without using a subsidy. Programs usually focus on creating opportunities for disadvantaged youth and may include additional supports such as a work-readiness curriculum¹.



Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

- Decreased crime
- Decreased violence
- Increased employment

Increased earnings

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased job skills

Increased social emotional skills

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that summer youth employment programs (SYEP) decrease arrests for violent crime^{2,3,4}. Programs also increase employment and earnings for youth during the year that they participate^{5,6,7}, especially disadvantaged youth⁶. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Program participation may decrease risky and violent behaviors⁸. Evaluations of Chicago-based One Summer Plus suggest summer jobs can reduce arrests for violent crime among black youth with low incomes one year after program participation^{3,4}. An evaluation of the Boston SYEP suggests participation can also reduce arraignments for violent crimes in the 17 months post intervention, particularly among black and Hispanic males². An evaluation of New York City's SYEP suggests participation in such programs may decrease the risk of incarceration and mortality for up to three years, especially among disadvantaged youth⁶. Effects on property crime vary; in Boston, property crimes declined² while in Chicago property crime arrests increased 2-3 years after participation³.

Although employment and earnings increase in the year of program participation, summer work experience programs do not appear to increase employment rates in following years^{3,5,7}, perhaps due to the short length of the intervention⁷ or because participants might have found longer term job opportunities without program support⁶. However, in some cases, participation slightly increases the likelihood of having a job in the long-term^{3,6}. The largest positive employment effects do not appear to be in the disconnected youth usually targeted by summer jobs programs³.

Evaluations of both local and federally supported, state-implemented programs suggest summer youth employment may increase soft skills⁹ and job readiness skills^{2,10,11}, and provide personal and professional development opportunities for at-risk youth¹². Such programs may also increase community engagement^{2,10}.

Evaluations of summer jobs provided through New York City's SYEP suggest such programs may increase the likelihood of taking and passing statewide exams^{13,14}, particularly among high-risk students¹⁴. However, they do not appear to increase school attendance, high school graduation⁵, or college enrollment^{5,6}. Conversely, an evaluation of Grow Detroit's Young Talent suggests participants in SYEPs are more likely to remain in school, take the SAT, and graduate high school, and less likely to be chronically absent than non-participating peers; effects are strongest for those who entered high school with the weakest academic skills¹⁵. Boston's SYEP increased academic aspirations for participants^{2,10}, while Chicago's program had no significant impact on attendance, GPA, or persistence in school³.

Experts suggest that ideal candidates for SYEPs are disadvantaged youth and young adults who are still in school and are reasonable candidates for employment¹⁶. Experts also suggest high performing summer jobs programs recruit employers and worksites, match participants with age- and skill-

appropriate opportunities, provide training and professional development on work readiness, provide support to both youth and supervisors, and connect the summer program with other community resources¹⁷.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/summer-youth-employment-programs>

Performance Report

Performance Measures

Number of workers enrolled in sectoral job training programs	0
Number of workers completing sectoral job training programs	0
Number of people participating in summer youth employment programs	0

13. Shropshire Affordable Housing Project Site Improvements

LFUCG Project ID: AR_SHPSHR_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_SHPSHR_2022

Project Budget: \$750,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.15: Long-Term Housing Security: Affordable Housing*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$0

Equity Based Funding Total: \$750,000

Subrecipient

Lexington-Fayette Urban County Housing Authority

Project overview

LFUCG will partner with the Lexington Housing Authority to make public improvements in support of a residential development to be located at Shropshire Avenue and Pemberton Street. The Lexington Housing Authority will develop a vacant three-acre strip of land in the Equestrian View development. This development currently holds more than 100 homes and 270 apartment homes. Additional single-family homes and rental units will be constructed on this land, and additional public services may be co-located in the area. LFUCG will complete initial site work in the area, including grading, curb and gutter, street surfacing, water, sewer, stormwater, and gas line installation.

<https://www.lexha.org/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Households and populations residing in QCTs

Households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Low-income households and populations

Capital Expenditure



Lexington Housing Authority

Yes – Other, public infrastructure for an affordable housing development and a low-income childcare center.

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0

14. Affordable Housing Trust Fund

LFUCG Project ID: AR_AHT_2022

LFUCG Activity IDs: MAIN ST BAPTIST;
OAKDALE APT

Treasury Portal Project IDs: AHX; MsBap;
OakdaleApt

Project Budget: \$10,000,000

Project Status: Completed less than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$2,213,350

Cumulative Expenditure: \$825,350

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.15: Long-Term Housing Security: Affordable Housing*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$10,000,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$10,000,000

Subrecipients

- [Main Street Baptist Church Manor, Inc.](#) - \$1,413,350
- Oakdale Apartments, LLC - \$800,000

Project Overview

ARPA funds are being allocated for the new construction and preservation of affordable housing units in Fayette County, Kentucky. The funds will be distributed across multiple affordable housing projects. These units will serve lower income households whose household income is less than 80% of Fayette County's area median income.

The purpose of the program is to provide grants to developers/owners for the new construction and preservation of affordable housing units. These grants will reduce the debt service paid by the developers/owners and in turn will result in lower rents being charged to low-income tenants.



LEXINGTON
*Housing, Advocacy &
Community Development*



The funds will provide safe, quality affordable housing for households whose incomes are at or below 80% of area median income in Fayette County, Kentucky. Lower income households were disproportionately impacted by COVID-19.

Treasury has determined that the development of affordable housing to increase supply of affordable and high-quality living units are responsive to the needs of impacted populations, not only disproportionately impacted populations. The final rule reflects this clarification and builds on the objectives state in the interim final rule to improve access to stable, affordable housing, including through interventions that increase the supply of affordable and high-quality living units, improve housing security and support durable and sustainable homeownership.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/office-affordable-housing>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Impacted households that qualify for certain programs

Impacted low or moderate income households or populations

Capital Expenditure

Yes – Affordable Housing

Use of Evidence

County Health Rankings

Housing trust funds

Housing trust funds (HTFs) work to facilitate affordable, quality housing by creating or maintaining housing for families with low incomes; subsidizing rental housing; and supporting non-profit housing developers. Trust funds may also assist homebuyers with low incomes through down payment support, counseling, or interest subsidies, and may provide gap financing. HTFs exist at federal, state, county, and city levels.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased access to affordable housing

Increased access to quality housing

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Reduced energy expenditures

Reduced energy use

Evidence of Effectiveness

Housing trust funds (HTFs) are a suggested strategy to increase affordable, quality housing options^{1,2} and minimize the displacement of residents with low incomes that can follow such neighborhood improvements³. Housing improvements have been shown to positively affect health outcomes, especially when improvements address warmth and energy efficiency⁴. HTFs may help meet the housing needs of families with low incomes, including the needs of those with the lowest incomes⁵; program funds are typically designated for these families⁶. In a Florida-based study, HTFs appear to increase affordable housing initiatives across the state, from rural counties to large urban centers⁷. A Washington, DC-based study suggests that HTFs in conjunction with other programs and policies can support affordable housing options⁸. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

HTFs can prioritize energy efficiency improvements for affordable housing units, which can reduce energy use and expenditures^{9,10}. Many households with low incomes spend 20% or more of their income on energy costs⁹; heating is usually the largest household energy expense, often comprising 35% to 50% of annual energy bills¹⁰. Experts suggest HTFs that help households reduce energy use for heating can substantially reduce household greenhouse gas pollution that contributes to climate change, improve rent stability, and improve residents' quality of life^{9,10}.

Households experiencing energy insecurity usually include children, racial or ethnic minorities, and long-term residents of neighborhoods with homes in poor condition¹¹. Black households experience the most severe energy insecurity which experts suggest may be a product of residential segregation and housing discrimination^{11,12}. HTFs that are part of multi-component initiatives that include weatherization assistance and energy efficient home improvements may improve the quality and value of homes, which supports potential wealth accumulation, addresses the disproportionate burden of energy insecurity on Black households, and helps reduce the racial wealth divide¹². HTFs that increase paths to homeownership and support homeowners with low incomes may help reduce the racial wealth divide, since a significant part of the racial wealth divide at all income levels relates to lower homeownership rates and lower home values for people of color^{13,14,15}.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/housing-trust-funds>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	144

Additional Performance Measures

Number of affordable housing units undergoing rehabilitation	63
--	----

15. Office of Homelessness Prevention and Intervention (OHPI) FY 2022

LFUCG Project ID: AR_OHPI_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_OHPI_2022

Project Budget: \$750,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$705,787

Cumulative Expenditure: \$185,970

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.16 Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Persons*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$750,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$750,000

Subrecipients

[Welcome House, Inc.](#)

[Mountain Comprehensive Care Center Inc.](#)

[Community Action Council for Lexington-Fayette, Bourbon, Harrison and Nicholas Counties](#)

[Cloudburst Consulting Group](#)

[Bluegrass Care Navigators](#)

Project Overview

The Office of Homelessness Prevention and Intervention (OHPI) was created in 2014 in response to the Report of the Mayor's Commission on Homelessness. The OHPI is the collaborative applicant and lead organization for the city's CoC. The Lexington CoC is the planning body in the community that coordinates the policies, strategies and activities to prevent and reduce homelessness. The city's two-person team coordinates activities and planning for providers, stakeholders, and affected citizens to ensure an efficient and effective system offering everyone access to shelter, food, employment, housing, and other basic needs and opportunities. The office serves as an information source and assists with problem-solving and communications for difficult situations requiring



LEXINGTON
*Housing, Advocacy &
Community Development*



multiple resources and organizations. Funding includes awards to non-profit partners directly serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

Funding spent prior to July 31st, 2021, was related to costs associated with the Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS), a centralized electronic information database of client information. This database permits sharing of client data between different social service and healthcare organizations, allowing providers to see portions or all the client's records from all agencies combined. This allows for a clear picture of a client's history and current status. Each year, over 4,000 clients are entered into this database from the local community. This allows the community the ability to "talk" to one another and provide the most effective and efficient services to clients.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/office-of-homelessness-prevention-and-intervention>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Disproportionately impacted low-income households and populations


Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Electronic health information exchange

Clearinghouse: 

Electronic health information exchange (HIE) is the electronic sharing of patient data between different health care organizations, allowing providers to see portions of a patient's medical record (e.g., test results, immunization histories, imaging studies, etc.) outside the patient's usual clinic. Systems must be interoperable, i.e., capable of "talking to each other," to support health information exchange. Electronic health records (EHRs) designed to exchange information with other EHRs, health information technology systems interfacing with EHRs, patient portals for physicians without EHRs, and regional health information organizations (RHIO) that pool data from different organizations in a centralized database are all examples of health information exchange. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Hospital/treatment center
Clearinghouse rating: Some Evidence	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Improved quality of care	Target populations: Not specified

Housing First

Housing First programs address chronic homelessness by providing rapid access to permanent housing, without a pre-condition of treatment, along with ongoing support services such as crisis intervention, needs assessment, and case management. A form of permanent supportive housing, the program usually serves individuals who are chronically homeless and have persistent mental illness or problems with substance abuse and addiction. Clients can be placed in apartments throughout a community¹ or a centralized housing location with on-site support for those requiring more intensive services; clients receive housing regardless of substance use². Unlike standard rapid re-housing programs, there are no time limits for Housing First program participation³.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

- Reduced homelessness
- Increased housing stability
- Reduced hospital utilization

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

- Improved mental health
- Improved well-being
- Increased substance use disorder treatment

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that Housing First programs reduce homelessness^{4, 5, 6, 7}, increase housing stability^{4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11}, and reduce hospital utilization^{11, 12, 13, 14}.

Housing First programs improve housing stability for people with mental disorders^{5, 7, 10}, including homeless youth⁹, particularly when programs include strong case management⁴. Programs can also increase housing stability for veterans¹¹, chronically homeless individuals¹⁵, and survivors of domestic violence and their families¹⁶. Housing First programs reduce hospital utilization among veterans¹¹ and individuals with persistent mental illness or problems with substance abuse and addiction^{12, 13, 14}, and decrease utilization of psychiatric hospitals for formerly homeless individuals with mental illness⁶.

Housing First programs can improve mental health and well-being for participants^{14, 17}, and may also increase treatment for substance abuse and addiction^{7, 14}. Programs with strong case management components can improve participants' functioning in the community¹². Program participants report significantly higher quality of life than non-participants, as well as greater safety and comfort in their new dwellings².

An Alaska-based study of a Housing First program for chronically homeless individuals indicates such programs can reduce homelessness, improve physical and mental health, and increase social engagement among participants¹⁵. Programs for domestic violence survivors and their families appear to

increase housing stability, safety, and well-being; participants often do not require substantial financial assistance at program completion¹⁶.

Housing First programs may reduce substance misuse and severe alcohol problems^{8, 18}; however, in some instances substance use-related outcomes do not differ significantly between participants and non-participants¹⁹. Additional evidence is needed to confirm the effects of program participation on substance abuse⁸.

Strong partnerships between Housing First program staff and landlord associations can support gains in housing stability. Connecting program staff with clients of the same ethnic or racial background often results in the most successful working relationships¹. Using video calling to connect program participants and staff can increase program reach²⁰. Programs which encourage community integration and supportive social networks can contribute to long-term housing stability⁸.

Housing First programs decrease costs to shelters^{10, 21} and emergency departments²¹. The rapid re-housing component of Housing First can reduce costs associated with hospitalizations and treatment for individuals with persistent mental illness and substance abuse problems^{22, 23}. Early studies suggest Housing First programs generally also cost less than programs that require sobriety or treatment prior to providing housing⁶.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/housing-first>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0

Additional Performance Measures

Number of HMIS licenses provided to social service and health agencies	89
Number of agencies provided access to HMIS	21
Number of individuals receiving services or shelter	426
Number of households receiving services or shelter	296

16. Office of Homelessness Prevention and Intervention (OHPI) FY 2023

LFUCG Project ID: AR_OHPI_2023

Treasury Portal Project ID: OHPI23

Project Budget: \$750,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.16 Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Persons*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$750,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$750,000

Project Overview

The Office of Homelessness Prevention and Intervention was created in 2014 in response to the Report of the Mayor's Commission on Homelessness. The OHPI is the collaborative applicant and lead organization for the city's Continuum of Care (CoC).

The Lexington CoC is the planning body in the community that coordinates the policies, strategies and activities to prevent and reduce homelessness. The city's two-person team coordinates activities and planning for providers, stakeholders, and affected citizens to ensure an efficient and effective system offering everyone access to shelter, food, employment, housing, and other basic needs and opportunities. The office serves as an information source and assists with problem-solving and communications for difficult situations requiring multiple resources and organizations. Funding includes awards to non-profit partners directly serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

The Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS) is a centralized electronic information database of client information. This database permits sharing of client data between different social service and healthcare organizations, allowing providers to see portions or all the client's records from all agencies combined. This allows for a clear picture of a client's history and current status. Each year, over 4,000 clients are entered into this database from the local community. This allows the community the ability to "talk" to one another and provide the most effective and efficient services to clients.



LEXINGTON
*Housing, Advocacy &
Community Development*



<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/office-of-homelessness-prevention-and-intervention>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Disproportionately impacted low-income households and populations

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Electronic health information exchange

Clearinghouse:

Electronic health information exchange (HIE) is the electronic sharing of patient data between different health care organizations, allowing providers to see portions of a patient's medical record (e.g., test results, immunization histories, imaging studies, etc.) outside the patient's usual clinic. Systems must be interoperable, i.e., capable of 'talking to each other,' to support health information exchange. Electronic health records (EHRs) designed to exchange information with other EHRs, health information technology systems interfacing with EHRs, patient portals for physicians without EHRs, and regional health information organizations (RHIO) that pool data from different organizations in a centralized database are all examples of health information exchange. Read less

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Hospital/treatment center
Clearinghouse rating: Some Evidence	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Improved quality of care	Target populations: Not specified

Housing First

Housing First programs address chronic homelessness by providing rapid access to permanent housing, without a pre-condition of treatment, along with ongoing support services such as crisis intervention, needs assessment, and case management. A form of permanent supportive housing, the program usually serves individuals who are chronically homeless and have persistent mental illness or problems with substance abuse and addiction. Clients can be placed in apartments throughout a community¹ or a centralized housing location with on-site support for those requiring more intensive services; clients receive housing regardless of substance use². Unlike standard rapid re-housing programs, there are no time limits for Housing First program participation³.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

- Reduced homelessness
- Increased housing stability
- Reduced hospital utilization

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

- Improved mental health
- Improved well-being
- Increased substance use disorder treatment

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that Housing First programs reduce homelessness^{4, 5, 6, 7}, increase housing stability^{4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11}, and reduce hospital utilization^{11, 12, 13, 14}.

Housing First programs improve housing stability for people with mental disorders^{5, 7, 10}, including homeless youth⁹, particularly when programs include strong case management⁴. Programs can also increase housing stability for veterans¹¹, chronically homeless individuals¹⁵, and survivors of domestic violence and their families¹⁶. Housing First programs reduce hospital utilization among veterans¹¹ and individuals with persistent mental illness or problems with substance abuse and addiction^{12, 13, 14}, and decrease utilization of psychiatric hospitals for formerly homeless individuals with mental illness⁶.

Housing First programs can improve mental health and well-being for participants^{14, 17}, and may also increase treatment for substance abuse and addiction^{7, 14}. Programs with strong case management components can improve participants' functioning in the community¹². Program participants report significantly higher quality of life than non-participants, as well as greater safety and comfort in their new dwellings².

An Alaska-based study of a Housing First program for chronically homeless individuals indicates such programs can reduce homelessness, improve physical and mental health, and increase social engagement among participants¹⁵. Programs for domestic violence survivors and their families appear to increase housing stability, safety, and well-being; participants often do not require substantial financial assistance at program completion¹⁶.

Housing First programs may reduce substance misuse and severe alcohol problems^{8, 18}; however, in some instances substance use-related outcomes do not differ significantly between participants and non-participants¹⁹. Additional evidence is needed to confirm the effects of program participation on substance abuse⁸.

Strong partnerships between Housing First program staff and landlord associations can support gains in housing stability. Connecting program staff with clients of the same ethnic or racial background often results in the most successful working relationships¹. Using video calling to connect program participants and staff can increase program reach²⁰. Programs which encourage community integration and supportive social networks can contribute to long-term housing stability⁸.

Housing First programs decrease costs to shelters^{10, 21} and emergency departments²¹. The rapid re-housing component of Housing First can reduce costs associated with hospitalizations and treatment for individuals with persistent mental illness and substance abuse problems^{22, 23}. Early studies suggest Housing First programs generally also cost less than programs that require sobriety or treatment prior to providing housing⁶.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/housing-first>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0

Additional Performance Measures

Number of HMIS licenses provided to social service and health agencies	0
Number of agencies provided access to HMIS	0

17. Office of Homelessness Prevention and Intervention (OHPI) FY 2024

LFUCG Project ID: TBD

Treasury Portal Project ID: OHPI24

Project Budget: \$750,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.16 Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Persons*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$750,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$750,000

Project Overview

The Office of Homelessness Prevention and Intervention was created in 2014 in response to the Report of the Mayor’s Commission on Homelessness. The OHPI is the collaborative applicant and lead organization for the city’s Continuum of Care. The Lexington CoC is the planning body in the community that coordinates the policies, strategies and activities to prevent and reduce homelessness. The city’s two-person team coordinates activities and planning for providers, stakeholders, and affected citizens to ensure an efficient and effective system offering everyone access to shelter, food, employment, housing, and other basic needs and opportunities. The office serves as an information source and assists with problem-solving and communications for difficult situations requiring multiple resources and organizations. Funding includes awards to non-profit partners directly serving individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

The Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS) is a centralized electronic information database of client information. This database permits sharing of client data between different social service and healthcare organizations, allowing providers to see portions or all the client’s records from all agencies combined. This allows for a clear picture of a client’s history and current status. Each year, over 4,000 clients are entered into this database from the local community. This allows the community the ability to “talk” to one another and provide the most effective and efficient services to clients.



LEXINGTON
*Housing, Advocacy &
Community Development*



<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/office-of-homelessness-prevention-and-intervention>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Disproportionately impacted low-income households and populations


Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Electronic health information exchange

Clearinghouse: 

Electronic health information exchange (HIE) is the electronic sharing of patient data between different health care organizations, allowing providers to see portions of a patient's medical record (e.g., test results, immunization histories, imaging studies, etc.) outside the patient's usual clinic. Systems must be interoperable, i.e., capable of 'talking to each other,' to support health information exchange. Electronic health records (EHRs) designed to exchange information with other EHRs, health information technology systems interfacing with EHRs, patient portals for physicians without EHRs, and regional health information organizations (RHIO) that pool data from different organizations in a centralized database are all examples of health information exchange. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Hospital/treatment center
Clearinghouse rating: Some Evidence	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Improved quality of care	Target populations: Not specified

Housing First

Housing First programs address chronic homelessness by providing rapid access to permanent housing, without a pre-condition of treatment, along with ongoing support services such as crisis intervention, needs assessment, and case management. A form of permanent supportive housing, the program usually serves individuals who are chronically homeless and have persistent mental illness or problems with substance abuse and addiction. Clients can be placed in apartments throughout a community¹ or a centralized housing location with on-site support for those requiring more intensive services; clients receive housing regardless of substance use². Unlike standard rapid re-housing programs, there are no time limits for Housing First program participation³.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

- Reduced homelessness
- Increased housing stability
- Reduced hospital utilization

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

- Improved mental health
- Improved well-being
- Increased substance use disorder treatment

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that Housing First programs reduce homelessness^{4, 5, 6, 7}, increase housing stability^{4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11}, and reduce hospital utilization^{11, 12, 13, 14}.

Housing First programs improve housing stability for people with mental disorders^{5, 7, 10}, including homeless youth⁹, particularly when programs include strong case management⁴. Programs can also increase housing stability for veterans¹¹, chronically homeless individuals¹⁵, and survivors of domestic violence and their families¹⁶. Housing First programs reduce hospital utilization among veterans¹¹ and individuals with persistent mental illness or problems with substance abuse and addiction^{12, 13, 14}, and decrease utilization of psychiatric hospitals for formerly homeless individuals with mental illness⁶.

Housing First programs can improve mental health and well-being for participants^{14, 17}, and may also increase treatment for substance abuse and addiction^{7, 14}. Programs with strong case management components can improve participants' functioning in the community¹². Program participants report significantly higher quality of life than non-participants, as well as greater safety and comfort in their new dwellings².

An Alaska-based study of a Housing First program for chronically homeless individuals indicates such programs can reduce homelessness, improve physical and mental health, and increase social engagement among participants¹⁵. Programs for domestic violence survivors and their families appear to increase housing stability, safety, and well-being; participants often do not require substantial financial assistance at program completion¹⁶.

Housing First programs may reduce substance misuse and severe alcohol problems^{8, 18}; however, in some instances substance use-related outcomes do not differ significantly between participants and non-participants¹⁹. Additional evidence is needed to confirm the effects of program participation on substance abuse⁸.

Strong partnerships between Housing First program staff and landlord associations can support gains in housing stability. Connecting program staff with clients of the same ethnic or racial background often results in the most successful working relationships¹. Using video calling to connect program participants and staff can increase program reach²⁰. Programs which encourage community integration and supportive social networks can contribute to long-term housing stability⁸.

Housing First programs decrease costs to shelters^{10, 21} and emergency departments²¹. The rapid re-housing component of Housing First can reduce costs associated with hospitalizations and treatment for individuals with persistent mental illness and substance abuse problems^{22, 23}. Early studies suggest Housing First programs generally also cost less than programs that require sobriety or treatment prior to providing housing⁶.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/housing-first>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0

Additional Performance Measures

Number of HMIS licenses provided to social service and health agencies	0
Number of agencies provided access to HMIS	0

18. Homelessness Contract – Transitional Housing Pilot Program

LFUCG Project ID: AR_THSNG_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: TRADHOUSPIL

Project Budget: \$4,000,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.16 Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Persons*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$4,000,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$4,000,000

Subrecipient

Community Action Council for *Lexington-Fayette, Bourbon, Harrison and Nicholas Counties*

Project Overview

The Transitional Housing Pilot Program (THPP) will serve up to 170 individuals experiencing homelessness through time-limited transitional housing utilizing a scattered-site program model, intensive case management services, housing navigation services, and facilitation of coordinated entry. Case managers will help the participants secure basic household and personal needs, including accessing medical, physical and mental health providers; overcoming barriers that led to housing loss; and providing an array of services to help them achieve individual goals in areas such as education. The program's stated goal is to move households to permanent housing within 90 days. The program began on July 1st, 2022.



<https://www.capky.org/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Disproportionately impacted low-income households and populations

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Rapid re-housing programs

Rapid re-housing programs provide support services to move families or individuals experiencing homelessness into permanent housing, usually within 30 days. Support services vary; core components often include help finding permanent housing, case management, social services, and short-term financial assistance for move-in costs and rent. Program staff may also assist with landlord negotiations. Assistance typically lasts four-six months but may extend up to 18 months. Rapid re-housing programs are available to anyone experiencing homelessness, and often serve military veterans and their families, but may not be appropriate for individuals who are chronically homeless or need permanent supportive housing. Individuals typically connect with rapid re-housing programs through emergency shelters, food pantries, and other social service programs^{1, 2, 3}. Programs sometimes focus on specific groups, such as families with school-aged children⁴ or survivors of domestic violence⁵.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Reduced homelessness
Improved access to social services

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased housing stability
Increased food security
Improved health outcomes
Improved mental health
Increased income
Increased employment
Reduced drug and alcohol use
Reduced absenteeism
Improved child behavior

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that rapid re-housing programs decrease the length of time individuals and families remain homeless^{2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10} and increase access to social services^{2, 7, 8}. Rapid re-housing programs may also increase housing stability^{6, 7, 9, 10}, particularly for families who are newly homeless⁷ or those who need only short-term support¹¹. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Rapid re-housing efforts can decrease rates of homelessness^{2, 12} and increase shelter turnover rates, enabling shelters to assist more people^{2, 13}. Evaluations of programs that serve military veterans and their families indicate approximately 75% of participants have permanent housing without assistance

after exiting rapid re-housing programs^{10, 14, 15}; other family-focused rapid re-housing programs yield similar results⁷. Only a small portion of rapid re-housing participants return to homelessness after program completion^{8, 12, 16}; those at higher risk include blacks, veterans, and individuals whose incomes do not increase following housing placement¹⁰. Studies suggest single mothers, particularly survivors of trauma and abuse, may require added support services to ensure stable housing^{13, 17}.

Program participation can increase family income^{6, 13} and employment⁶, and improve financial stability⁹. Rapid re-housing programs can increase food security more than usual care and transitional housing^{13, 18} and improve well-being for adults and children⁶. Programs may also improve mental and short-term physical health for heads of households^{6, 18} and reduce drug and alcohol use more than transitional housing¹⁸. Rapid re-housing also appears to reduce school absences and child behavior issues¹⁸.

Program evaluations suggest three core components of successful rapid re-housing programs: housing identification, often in partnership with landlords; rent and move-in assistance; and case management and services that connect with other providers¹⁶. Partnerships between shelters, agencies, funding organizations, and landlords can increase efficiency of implementation and reduce redundancy in procedures^{7, 19}.

Requirements to secure housing and employment in a short time period and to regularly recertify can be barriers to participation in rapid re-housing programs. After leaving the program, some families may have difficulty paying their full rent without subsidies^{7, 12, 20}.

Researchers suggest that rapid re-housing programs are a scalable and cost-effective homelessness crisis response intervention^{11, 16}. Rapid re-housing programs are more cost-effective than transitional housing programs and shelters^{3, 13, 16, 18}. Rapid re-housing costs about \$900 per month/per-family; transitional housing ranges from \$1,260 to \$6,300 per family¹⁸; costs for rapid re-housing differ based on local rental rates^{12, 18}. Financial support to cover move-in costs such as first and last month's rent, security deposits, and utility payments are the largest program costs^{2, 7}.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/rapid-re-housing-programs>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0

Additional Performance Measures

Number of people placed in transitional housing

0

19. Homelessness Contract – Non-Shelter Eligible Families/Individuals with Pets

LFUCG Project ID: AR_OHPITIP_2023

Treasury Portal Project ID: NonShelterElig

Project Budget: \$1,000,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.16 Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Persons*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$1,000,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$1,000,000

Subrecipient

Mountain Comprehensive Care Center



Project Overview

This program will focus on unsheltered individuals and families with pets. It will include rapid rehousing and transition in place housing assistance and supportive services. The Office of Homelessness Prevention and Intervention (OHPI) will partner with Mountain Comprehensive Care Center to serve up to 75 households over a three-year period with 15 leases across the community. The program began on July 1st, 2022.

<https://www.mtcomp.org/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Rapid re-housing programs

Rapid re-housing programs provide support services to move families or individuals experiencing homelessness into permanent housing, usually within 30 days. Support services vary; core components often include help finding permanent housing, case management, social services, and short-term financial assistance for move-in costs and rent. Program staff may also assist with landlord negotiations. Assistance typically lasts four-six months but may extend up to 18 months. Rapid re-housing programs are available to anyone experiencing homelessness, and often serve military veterans and their families, but may not be appropriate for individuals who are chronically homeless or need permanent supportive housing. Individuals typically connect with rapid re-housing programs through emergency shelters, food pantries, and other social service programs^{1, 2, 3}. Programs sometimes focus on specific groups, such as families with school-aged children⁴ or survivors of domestic violence⁵.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Reduced homelessness
Improved access to social services

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased housing stability
Increased food security
Improved health outcomes
Improved mental health
Increased income
Increased employment
Reduced drug and alcohol use
Reduced absenteeism
Improved child behavior



Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that rapid re-housing programs decrease the length of time individuals and families remain homeless^{2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10} and increase access to social services^{2, 7, 8}. Rapid re-housing programs may also increase housing stability^{6, 7, 9, 10}, particularly for families who are newly homeless⁷ or those who need only short-term support¹¹. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Rapid re-housing efforts can decrease rates of homelessness^{2, 12} and increase shelter turnover rates, enabling shelters to assist more people^{2, 13}. Evaluations of programs that serve military veterans and their families indicate approximately 75% of participants have permanent housing without assistance after exiting rapid re-housing programs^{10, 14, 15}; other family-focused rapid re-housing programs yield similar results⁷. Only a small portion of rapid re-housing participants return to homelessness after program completion^{8, 12, 16}; those at higher risk include blacks, veterans, and individuals whose incomes do not increase following housing placement¹⁰. Studies suggest single mothers, particularly survivors of trauma and abuse, may require added support services to ensure stable housing^{13, 17}.

Program participation can increase family income^{6, 13} and employment⁶, and improve financial stability⁹. Rapid re-housing programs can increase food security more than usual care and transitional housing^{13, 18} and improve well-being for adults and children⁶. Programs may also improve mental and

short-term physical health for heads of households^{6, 18} and reduce drug and alcohol use more than transitional housing¹⁸. Rapid re-housing also appears to reduce school absences and child behavior issues¹⁸.

Program evaluations suggest three core components of successful rapid re-housing programs: housing identification, often in partnership with landlords; rent and move-in assistance; and case management and services that connect with other providers¹⁶. Partnerships between shelters, agencies, funding organizations, and landlords can increase efficiency of implementation and reduce redundancy in procedures^{7, 19}.

Requirements to secure housing and employment in a short time period and to regularly recertify can be barriers to participation in rapid re-housing programs. After leaving the program, some families may have difficulty paying their full rent without subsidies^{7, 12, 20}.

Researchers suggest that rapid re-housing programs are a scalable and cost-effective homelessness crisis response intervention^{11, 16}. Rapid re-housing programs are more cost-effective than transitional housing programs and shelters^{3, 13, 16, 18}. Rapid re-housing costs about \$900 per month/per-family; transitional housing ranges from \$1,260 to \$6,300 per family¹⁸; costs for rapid re-housing differ based on local rental rates^{12, 18}. Financial support to cover move-in costs such as first and last month’s rent, security deposits, and utility payments are the largest program costs^{2, 7}.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/rapid-re-housing-programs>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0

Additional Performance Measures

Number of people placed into transitional housing	0
---	---

20. COVID-19 Alternative Shelter for Winter Warming

LFUCG Project ID: AR_SHLTR_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_SHLTR_2022

Project Budget: \$1,400,000

Project Status: **Completed**

Cumulative Obligation: \$1,400,000

Cumulative Expenditure: \$1,315,762

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.16 Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Persons*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$1,400,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$1,400,000

Subrecipient

Community Action Council for *Lexington-Fayette, Bourbon, Harrison and Nicholas Counties*

Project Overview:

An overnight shelter project for those experiencing homelessness provided spatial relief to congregate shelters. Participants were provided overnight emergency shelter as well as basic needs and wrap-around case management services to quickly re-house and stabilize unsheltered households.

<https://www.capky.org/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Low or moderate income households and populations

Households that qualify for certain federal programs

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Rapid re-housing programs



Rapid re-housing programs provide support services to move families or individuals experiencing homelessness into permanent housing, usually within 30 days. Support services vary; core components often include help finding permanent housing, case management, social services, and short-term financial assistance for move-in costs and rent. Program staff may also assist with landlord negotiations. Assistance typically lasts four-six months but may extend up to 18 months. Rapid re-housing programs are available to anyone experiencing homelessness, and often serve military veterans and their families, but may not be appropriate for individuals who are chronically homeless or need permanent supportive housing. Individuals typically connect with rapid re-housing programs through emergency shelters, food pantries, and other social service programs^{1, 2, 3}. Programs sometimes focus on specific groups, such as families with school-aged children⁴ or survivors of domestic violence⁵.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Reduced homelessness
Improved access to social services

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased housing stability
Increased food security
Improved health outcomes
Improved mental health
Increased income
Increased employment
Reduced drug and alcohol use
Reduced absenteeism
Improved child behavior



Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that rapid re-housing programs decrease the length of time individuals and families remain homeless^{2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10} and increase access to social services^{2, 7, 8}. Rapid re-housing programs may also increase housing stability^{6, 7, 9, 10}, particularly for families who are newly homeless⁷ or those who need only short-term support¹¹. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Rapid re-housing efforts can decrease rates of homelessness^{2, 12} and increase shelter turnover rates, enabling shelters to assist more people^{2, 13}. Evaluations of programs that serve military veterans and their families indicate approximately 75% of participants have permanent housing without assistance after exiting rapid re-housing programs^{10, 14, 15}; other family-focused rapid re-housing programs yield similar results⁷. Only a small portion of rapid re-housing participants return to homelessness after program completion^{8, 12, 16}; those at higher risk include blacks, veterans, and individuals whose incomes do not increase following housing placement¹⁰. Studies suggest single mothers, particularly survivors of trauma and abuse, may require added support services to ensure stable housing^{13, 17}.

Program participation can increase family income^{6, 13} and employment⁶, and improve financial stability⁹. Rapid re-housing programs can increase food security more than usual care and transitional housing^{13, 18} and improve well-being for adults and children⁶. Programs may also improve mental and

short-term physical health for heads of households^{6, 18} and reduce drug and alcohol use more than transitional housing¹⁸. Rapid re-housing also appears to reduce school absences and child behavior issues¹⁸.

Program evaluations suggest three core components of successful rapid re-housing programs: housing identification, often in partnership with landlords; rent and move-in assistance; and case management and services that connect with other providers¹⁶. Partnerships between shelters, agencies, funding organizations, and landlords can increase efficiency of implementation and reduce redundancy in procedures^{7, 19}.

Requirements to secure housing and employment in a short time period and to regularly recertify can be barriers to participation in rapid re-housing programs. After leaving the program, some families may have difficulty paying their full rent without subsidies^{7, 12, 20}.

Researchers suggest that rapid re-housing programs are a scalable and cost-effective homelessness crisis response intervention^{11, 16}. Rapid re-housing programs are more cost-effective than transitional housing programs and shelters^{3, 13, 16, 18}. Rapid re-housing costs about \$900 per month/per-family; transitional housing ranges from \$1,260 to \$6,300 per family¹⁸; costs for rapid re-housing differ based on local rental rates^{12, 18}. Financial support to cover move-in costs such as first and last month’s rent, security deposits, and utility payments are the largest program costs^{2, 7}.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/rapid-re-housing-programs>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0

Additional Performance Measures

Number of people placed into transitional housing	209
---	-----

Male	125
Female	84
Caucasian	84%
Black/African American	14%
Multiple Races	3%

21. Domestic Violence Sheltering - Greenhouse17

LFUCG Project ID: AR_GH17_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: GNHOUSE

Project Budget: \$400,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.16 Long-Term Housing Security: Services for Unhoused Persons*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$400,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$400,000

Subrecipient

Greenhouse17

Project Overview

Greenhouse17 is a shelter in rural Fayette County with 42 secure beds that is fully staffed 24 hours a day. They offer support, counseling, advocacy, shelter, education, and prevention for victims of domestic violence. Greenhouse17 believes that people have a right to a life free of violence.

Lexington-Fayette ARPA funding will sustain critical services for homeless victims of domestic violence crimes during the pandemic response and recovery. Examples of essential and allowable activities related to operation of the 42-bed emergency shelter include crisis intervention, safety planning, basic needs, support groups, personal advocacy, and housing services.

Domestic violence has been described as a “pandemic within a pandemic.” A recent study published by the National Commission on COVID-19 and Criminal Justice found that domestic violence in the U.S. has increased by 8.1% since the pandemic response began. Local practice indicates more complex and severe abuse during the pandemic emergency. Specifically, the organization has noted increased disclosure of strangulation and technology-based violence upon intake to emergency shelter. GreenHouse17 operates the only emergency shelter in Lexington-Fayette, Kentucky that provides homeless victims of domestic violence with comprehensive best-practice services meeting standards of the Kentucky Coalition Against Domestic Violence. The shelter is operated 24-hours a day, every day of the year.



<https://greenhouse17.org/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted households that experienced increased food or housing insecurity

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Rapid re-housing programs

Rapid re-housing programs provide support services to move families or individuals experiencing homelessness into permanent housing, usually within 30 days. Support services vary; core components often include help finding permanent housing, case management, social services, and short-term financial assistance for move-in costs and rent. Program staff may also assist with landlord negotiations. Assistance typically lasts 4-6 months but may extend up to 18 months. Rapid re-housing programs are available to anyone experiencing homelessness, and often serve military veterans and their families, but may not be appropriate for individuals who are chronically homeless or need permanent supportive housing. Individuals typically connect with rapid re-housing programs through emergency shelters, food pantries, and other social service programs^{1,2,3}. Programs sometimes focus on specific groups, such as families with school-aged children⁴ or survivors of domestic violence⁵.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Reduced homelessness
Improved access to social services

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased housing stability
Increased food security
Improved health outcomes
Improved mental health
Increased income
Increased employment
Reduced drug and alcohol use
Reduced absenteeism
Improved child behavior

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that rapid re-housing programs decrease the length of time individuals and families remain homeless^{2,6,7,8,9,10} and increase access to social services^{2,7,8}. Rapid re-housing programs may also increase housing stability^{6,7,9,10}, particularly for families who are newly homeless⁷ or those who need only short-term support¹¹. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Rapid re-housing efforts can decrease rates of homelessness^{2, 12} and increase shelter turnover rates, enabling shelters to assist more people^{2, 13}. Evaluations of programs that serve military veterans and their families indicate approximately 75% of participants have permanent housing without assistance after exiting rapid re-housing programs^{10, 14, 15}; other family-focused rapid re-housing programs yield similar results⁷. Only a small portion of rapid re-housing participants return to homelessness after program completion^{8, 12, 16}; those at higher risk include blacks, veterans, and individuals whose incomes do not increase following housing placement¹⁰. Studies suggest single mothers, particularly survivors of trauma and abuse, may require added support services to ensure stable housing^{13, 17}.

Program participation can increase family income^{6, 13} and employment⁶, and improve financial stability⁹. Rapid re-housing programs can increase food security more than usual care and transitional housing^{13, 18} and improve well-being for adults and children⁶. Programs may also improve mental and short-term physical health for heads of households^{6, 18} and reduce drug and alcohol use more than transitional housing¹⁸. Rapid re-housing also appears to reduce school absences and child behavior issues¹⁸.

Program evaluations suggest three core components of successful rapid re-housing programs: housing identification, often in partnership with landlords; rent and move-in assistance; and case management and services that connect with other providers¹⁶. Partnerships between shelters, agencies, funding organizations, and landlords can increase efficiency of implementation and reduce redundancy in procedures^{7, 19}.

Requirements to secure housing and employment in a short time period and to regularly recertify can be barriers to participation in rapid re-housing programs. After leaving the program, some families may have difficulty paying their full rent without subsidies^{7, 12, 20}.

Researchers suggest that rapid re-housing programs are a scalable and cost-effective homelessness crisis response intervention^{11, 16}. Rapid re-housing programs are more cost-effective than transitional housing programs and shelters^{3, 13, 16, 18}. Rapid re-housing costs about \$900 per month/per-family; transitional housing ranges from \$1,260 to \$6,300 per family¹⁸; costs for rapid re-housing differ based on local rental rates^{12, 18}. Financial support to cover move-in costs such as first and last month's rent, security deposits, and utility payments are the largest program costs^{2, 7}.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/rapid-re-housing-programs>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of people or households receiving eviction prevention services (including legal representation)	0
Number of affordable housing units preserved or developed	0

Additional Performance Measures

Operational days supported	0
Staff retention supported (# employees)	0

22. Village Branch Library Construction – Lexington Public Library

LFUCG Project ID: AR_VERSLIB_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: LEXPUBLIB

Project Budget: \$1,000,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.22: Neighborhood Features that Promote Health and Safety*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$0

Equity Based Funding Total: \$1,000,000

Subrecipient

Lexington Public Library Board of Trustees

Project Overview

The \$1 million allocation will partially fund a new 30,000SF public library facility along a major corridor in Lexington. Plans call for a dedicated classroom and hands-on learning space both of which will support school-aged and adult learning. Computers, internet, technology, and reading materials all support economic development by providing library customers with information and resources they need for long-term success.

This new library facility is in a QCT. When opened in summer 2024, the library will provide educational and economic opportunities for individuals who were disproportionately impacted by COVID-19 by providing free access to library materials, public computers/internet, technologies, and a plethora of other resources. It will help physically revitalize the area by providing safe publicly accessible place for all citizens to enjoy, including an outdoor green space in a neighborhood which has limited access to nature. The new facility will include resources for small businesses such as meeting rooms, videoconferencing software, GED and English as a Second Language (ESL) classes, and professional publications. The library will improve mental and physical health by providing education and



Lexington Public Library



entertainment as well as gathering spaces where individuals can come together safely as we emerge from the pandemic.

The neighborhood surrounding the new library is approximately 40% Latinx, 30% Black American, and 30% White (according to US Census), making it one of Lexington's most racially and culturally diverse areas. Lexington Public Library is committed to equity in all areas, offering free resources to everyone who lives or works in Fayette County. In developing the new library facility here, we will deliver additional resources for residents within this QCT. Plans call for a dedicated classroom and hands-on learning space both of which will support school-aged and adult learning. Computers, internet, technology, and reading materials all support economic development by providing library customers with information and resources they need to succeed. While all Lexington residents will benefit from this new facility, it will especially benefit those within the Cardinal Valley/Versailles Road area.



The project is in a QCT and provides a majority-minority population with educational resources to lead more fulfilling and economically secure lives. This will provide resources for mental and physical health, community violence prevention, and future economic growth.

<https://www.lexpublib.org/>

Capital Expenditure

Yes – Other, public library

Project Demographic Distribution

Households and populations residing in QCTs

General public

Applicable Research

How Americans Value Public Libraries in Their Communities:

<https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2013/12/11/libraries-in-communities/>

23. Black and Williams Center Improvements (Gymnasium)

LFUCG Project ID: AR_BNWTGYM_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_BNWTGYM_2022

Project Budget: \$1,040,000

Project Status: Completed Less than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$111,954

Cumulative Expenditure: \$111,594

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.22: Neighborhood Features that Promote Health and Safety*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$1,040,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$1,040,000

Project Overview

The supporting 5,000sf gymnasium facility is located immediately adjacent to the main Black and Williams Neighborhood Center at 498 Georgetown Street, in a QCT. This project will return the currently shuttered facility to an accessible, environmentally safe condition, with modernized utility infrastructure. The scope will include upgrades to the mechanical, electrical, lighting, and plumbing systems. New storage, stage platform, warming kitchenette and ADA-accessible restrooms will be created to support the main renovated community room for nearby residents and social services-based organizations serving multi-generational populations.

Funds are being used to improve health and wellness of residents of Fayette County by returning a shuttered facility to a usable, accessible, and safe gymnasium that will provide physical fitness opportunities and programs. This facility is intended to be used by all ages to promote social interaction, education, volunteerism, civic pride, cultural diversity, and inclusion.

The rehabilitated facility will provide services and programs supporting multi-generational populations in a disproportionately impacted community. The project will provide physical activity opportunities in an underserved, predominantly minority community. While the programming model for multi-purpose activity is not yet fully defined, we do have concepts for how the facility can be utilized. For example,



LEXINGTON
Social Services



the main building (next door) currently houses programs for seniors and youth. The seniors' aerobics and youth "play" time are both currently programmed in smaller classroom spaces, and could easily be expanded to the gymnasium. In addition, other community-based physical activities (dance, fitness, athletics, etc.) will easily be accommodated by the renovated gym. Lastly, no other indoor public facility of this scale (5,000 square feet) is present or available in the West End / Georgetown Street area neighborhood. This facility is meant for all ages to promote social interaction, education, volunteerism, civic pride, cultural diversity, and inclusion.

According to NRPA's 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, "Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety." The report also stated, "Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities."



<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/community-centers>

Project Demographic Distribution

Disproportionately impacted households and populations residing in QCTs

Low or moderate income households and populations

Capital Expenditure

Yes – Parks, green spaces, recreational facilities, sidewalks

Use of Evidence

Places for physical activity

Enhancing access to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing access to existing nearby facilities). Increased access is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased physical activity
Improved physical fitness

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Reduced obesity rates
Reduced emissions
Reduced vehicle miles traveled

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that improving access to places for physical activity increases physical activity and improves physical fitness in urban, rural, and suburban areas^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7}. Access itself is also strongly associated with high levels of physical activity⁸. Among adolescents, access is associated with increased time spent in vigorous physical activity⁹, and lower obesity rates¹⁰.

A study of adolescents in military families associates moving to a neighborhood with more opportunities for physical activity with increased physical activity⁹. Temporary street closures to create safe spaces for physical activity can increase physical activity for participants both during the event and afterwards¹¹. Individuals who meet physical activity recommendations and report vigorous exercise are more likely to use recreational facilities, compared to those who report light-to-moderate exercise¹².

Increasing access to places for physical activity in conjunction with efforts to address quality, cleanliness, and any safety or security concerns of such facilities may be more effective over the long-term at increasing physical activity levels than increasing access alone⁵. Research suggests that considering all types of weather such as freezing temperatures and rain when implementing a plan to increase access to places for physical activity can improve the effectiveness of the plan, particularly in cold weather states¹³. Studies suggest adolescents may perceive a lack of age-appropriate features, and sports fields, adventurous playgrounds, trails, and walking paths may encourage visitation of public open spaces and physical activity across several age groups¹⁴. To increase the appeal and perception of safety in places for physical activity, experts recommend spaces be well-maintained, appeal to males and females¹⁴, and include lighting, vegetation that facilitates open views, areas with street access and higher pedestrian activity, amenities like seating and drinking fountains, and features such as sculptures and food vendors¹⁵.

Overall, individuals with higher socio-economic status have been shown to have greater access to physical activity centers than those with lower socio-economic status^{16, 17}. One study suggests physical activity is generally higher in neighborhoods with more recreational facilities, and highest among those with facility memberships; experts suggest efforts to reduce financial and social barriers to membership can increase access to places for physical activity for minorities and those with low incomes¹⁸. Among North Carolina middle school students, living in socioeconomically disadvantaged rural areas is also associated with fewer places for physical activity and higher rates of obesity¹⁹. One study of military veterans living in a metropolitan area suggests that access to parks and fitness facilities may not be enough to increase physical activity and weight status outcomes; some populations may need more than access alone to improve physical activity²⁰.

Places for physical activity that increase opportunities for active transportation such as walking trails, bike paths, or rails to trails projects may replace automotive trips with biking and walking, which may reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to climate change²¹.

Impact on Disparities

No impact on disparities likely

24. Bluegrass Community and Technical College (BCTC) Dental Hygiene Clinic

LFUCG Project ID: AR_BCTC_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: BCTC

Project Budget: \$2,000,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.22: Neighborhood Features that Promote Health and Safety*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$0

Equity Based Funding Total: \$2,000,000

Subrecipient

Bluegrass Community and Technical College (BCTC)

Project Overview

Bluegrass Community and Technical College (BCTC) is part of the Kentucky Community and Technical College System (KCTCS). The mission of KCTCS is to improve the quality of life and employability of the citizens of the Commonwealth of Kentucky by serving as the primary provider of College and Workforce Readiness, Transfer Education, and Workforce Education and Training.

BCTC offers a Dental Hygiene curriculum for students and in addition, offers dental hygiene services to residents in Lexington.

This project will lead to economic opportunity by increasing job readiness, job access, financial empowerment, and employment of Fayette County residents. The ADA Health Policy Institute COVID-19 Economic Impact study published May 2021 found that 89% of dentists said finding credentialed dental hygienists was currently extremely challenging. The pay for dental hygienists is premium and increasing; according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), dental hygienists earned a median pay of \$77,090 with a \$37.06 hourly wage last year. That's an increase over 2019's \$76,220 and \$36.65 totals.



With this vital expansion, BCTC can help struggling Lexingtonians by giving them the skills they need to fill these high wage, high demand positions.

This project would not only address an essential component of economic recovery and growth, but it would have a large indirect public health impact as we train health care professionals to serve this area. Dentists need trained hygienists, and Bluegrass Community and Technical College is accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges to award degrees, diplomas, and certificates. BCTC also has the necessary processes and systems in place to comply with the reporting requirements of the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Pub. Law 109-282, as amended by section 6202 of Pub. Law 110-252).

This project will not only provide indirect public health benefit but would have a significant DIRECT public health impact as well. The expanded BCTC Dental hygiene program will provide discounted and free dental services for the community. The neighborhoods around Georgetown Street, Whitney Avenue, Loudon Avenue, and Ash Street around 690 Newtown Pike includes vulnerable populations impacted severely by the pandemic. This project would provide an important equity-focused service that would help grow successful neighborhoods and have a direct impact on community health, wellness, and quality of life.

The new facility is in a QCT. We know that the neighborhoods that people reside in have a major impact on their health and wellbeing (CDC). Social determinants of health (SDOH) consist of healthcare access and quality, education access and quality, economic stability and social and community context (CDC). This project addresses all these SDOH.

<https://bluegrass.kctcs.edu/education-training/program-finder/dental-hygiene.aspx>

Project Demographic Distribution

Households and populations residing in QCTs

General public

Capital Expenditure

Yes – Schools and other educational facilities; other: public health facility

Applicable Research

Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) – Addressing Oral Health Inequities, Access to Care, Knowledge, and Behaviors https://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2021/21_0060.htm

Department of Labor: <https://www.dol.gov/agencies/oasp/evaluation/topic-areas/community-college>

25. Commerce Lexington – Minority Business Accelerator Program

LFUCG Project ID: AR_COMMLEX_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: COMMLEX

Project Budget: \$991,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$991,000

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.30: Technical Assistance, Counseling, or Business Planning*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$0

Equity Based Funding Total: \$991,000


Subrecipient

Commerce Lexington Inc.

Project Overview

Commerce Lexington Inc. was formed in January 2004 with the merger of the Greater Lexington Chamber of Commerce, Lexington United, and the Lexington Partnership for Workforce Development. Commerce Lexington Inc. seeks to promote economic development, job creation, and overall business growth in Lexington and its neighboring communities, while strengthening its existing businesses through the many programs and services that the organization offers. It was created to provide more continuity and enhanced focus in the areas of business, economic development, and workforce development.

The Accelerator II program will build on continued minority growth and spend trends to encourage minority business growth in two ways. First, it will prepare minority business owners to meet the needs of large customers and sizable business opportunities, thus increasing the likelihood that businesses will win substantial contracts with key local organizations. Second, the accelerator can connect businesses to the operating capital needed to execute against these larger opportunities. The overall objectives of Accelerator II are to:



- Identify the minority companies that are best suited to meet expectations immediately and provide the platform for them to excel.
- Create a coalition of Champions that are positioned to change the current mindset.
- Identify out-of-market minority owned companies that are willing to establish a local presence and provide infrastructure and scalability for existing local minority owned companies via joint venture agreements, operating agreements or strategic alliances.
- Implement a proven tracking and reporting system to measure progress and provide checks and balances.
- Acknowledge and reward the public and private leaders who champion this initiative.

Specific goals of Accelerator II will be, over a three-year period, to:

- Add a minimum of 15 new minority-owned businesses to the program (five/year).
- Grow the new sales of those businesses by \$15,000,000 (\$5,000,000/year).

According to various reports researching the economic impact of COVID-19 it is well documented that ethnic minority owned businesses (EMOB) were among the most negatively impacted. Many of these businesses were undercapitalized and unable to access the funding necessary to withstand a pandemic. Many small businesses endured some of the same challenges, however, EMOBs were disproportionately forced to close their businesses. As many ethnic minorities are employed in the service, hospitality, and manufacturing industries, which were among the hardest hit during the pandemic, they found themselves laid off or reduced hours. Research shows that EMOBs are more likely to hire other ethnic minorities. That being the case it can be concluded that ethnic minorities were faced with a more difficult economic reality due to the pandemic. As more companies were impacted negatively so were their employees. The Expanded Minority Business Accelerator will work to combat these issues in the Central KY Region by providing the relationships, training, tools and support necessary to ensure that EMOBs have the infrastructure, revenues and funding necessary to thrive and sustain during difficult times. As these companies progress, we will track and report the results of their sustainability and growth. Focusing on the sustainability and growth of EMOBs will help to ensure that Fayette County and Central Kentucky continue to have a thriving businesses community inclusive of all ethnic minorities.

While businesses everywhere faced significant challenges during the pandemic, minority-owned and very small businesses have faced additional obstacles. Between February and April 2020, the number of actively self-employed Black business owners decreased by 41 percent. During that same time period, Asian and Latino business owners decreased by 26 and 32 percent, respectively, compared to a 17 percent decrease in white business owners. Female business owners also saw significant impacts, with businesses owned by women falling by 25 percent. Many of the disparities in how minority business owners experienced the pandemic are rooted in systemic issues present even before the pandemic. For example, before the economic downturn, only 12 percent of Black-owned businesses and 19 percent of Hispanic-owned businesses had annual earnings of over \$1,000,000 compared to 31 percent of white-owned businesses. Minority-owned businesses were also overrepresented in industries hit hardest.

<https://www.commercelexington.com/>

<https://locateinlexington.com/minority-business-services/minority-business-accelerator/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Classes of small businesses designated as negatively economically impacted

Capital Expense

No

Applicable Research

https://smallbusiness.house.gov/uploadedfiles/bob_report_final.pdf

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7461311/>

Performance Report

Required Performance Measures

Number of small businesses supported	5
--------------------------------------	---

26. Explorium of Lexington – Children’s Museum Assistance

LFUCG Project ID: AR_EXPLOR_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_EXPLOR_2022

Project Budget: \$125,000

Project Status: **Completed**

Cumulative Obligation: \$125,000

Cumulative Expenditure: \$125,000

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.34: Aid to Nonprofit Organizations*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$0

Equity Based Funding Total: \$125,000

Subrecipient

Explorium of Lexington

Project Overview

Explorium of Lexington is a non-profit children’s museum with hand-on exhibits that are fun and educational. It is the only children’s museum located in Fayette County. The museum strives to be a warm, friendly place where families come to learn and play together and is a dedicated partner and resource for teachers. Their programs vary from toddler and preschool programs to guided art experiences to special programs exploring key subject areas. The Explorium relied on earned and contributed revenue sources for funding. During COVID, the museum experienced significant revenue loss due to museum closures as well as lost revenue from parties, field trips, off-site programming, on-site store, and rental loss. For the 12 months from April 2020-March 2021, the Explorium’s earned income was reduced by 80%.



Recognizing the importance of this non-profit to the goals of LFUCG, and with the understanding that that COVID-19 created significant financial hardships within the non-profit community, ARPA funding was obligated to pay benefit costs, costs to retain employees, rent, utility costs, and operating costs of the organization.

The Explorium Children’s Museum is located within a QCT. The Explorium also serves as a resource for elementary teachers at Fayette County Public Schools, where 26 of 37 elementary schools receive Title I funds for school-wide programs.

<https://explorium.com/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Disproportionately impacted nonprofits operating in QCTs

Capital Expenditure

No

Applicable Research



National Endowment for the ARTS: <https://www.arts.gov/stories/blog/2014/importance-taking-children-museums>

Performance Report

Performance Measures

Number of non-profits served	0
------------------------------	---

Additional Performance Measures

Operational days supported	300
Staff retention supported (# employees)	5

27. LexArts Nonprofit Services Contract FY 2022

LFUCG Project ID: AR_LEXARTS_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_LEXARTS_2022

Project Budget: \$325,000

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$325,000

Cumulative Expenditure: 298,299

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.34: Aid to Nonprofit Organizations*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$0

Equity Based Funding Total: \$125,000

Subrecipient

Lexarts, Inc.

Project Overview

LexArts is a nonprofit in Lexington, Kentucky that focuses on cultural development and advocacy. The organization's premier program is an annual arts grant that helps over 50 regional artists and organizations build infrastructure to provide artistic and cultural programming throughout the Lexington community.

Recognizing the importance of this non-profit to the goals of LFUCG, and with the understanding that that COVID-19 created significant financial hardships within the non-profit community, ARPA funding was obligated to pay benefit costs, costs to retain employees, rent, utility costs, and operating costs of the organization.

<https://lexarts.org/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Disproportionately impacted nonprofits operating in QCTs

Capital Expenditure

No

Performance Report

Performance Measures



Number of non-profits served	0
------------------------------	---

Additional Performance Measures

Operational days supported	56
Staff retention supported (# employees)	3

28. Lyric Theater Assistance FY 2022

LFUCG Project ID: AR_LYRIC_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_LYRIC_2022

Project Budget: \$127,500

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$127,500

Cumulative Expenditure: \$122,953

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.34: Aid to Nonprofit Organizations*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$127,500

Equity Based Funding Total: \$127,500

Subrecipient

Lyric Theatre and Cultural Arts Center Corporation

Project Overview

The Lyric is a theater of historical significance, originally built as a movie house in 1948. The theater was a thriving entertainment centerpiece for Lexington's African American families through 1963, but after closing was dormant for nearly 50 years. Today, it serves as a non-profit theater and cultural arts center dedicated to preserving, promoting, presenting, and celebrating diverse cultures through artistic presentations of the highest quality, educational programming and outreach, film, and opportunities for community inclusion. The Lyric relies on income from rentals, ticket sales, and concessions as earned income. Large events were not permitted under pandemic safety measures, leaving the Lyric Theater closed during much of 2020 and creating a significant revenue loss.

Recognizing the importance of this non-profit to the goals of LFUCG, and with the understanding that that COVID-19 created significant financial hardships within the non-profit community, ARPA funding was obligated to pay benefit costs, costs to retain employees, utility costs, and operating costs of the organization.

The Lyric Theater is located within a QCT.



<https://www.lexingtonlyric.com/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Disproportionately impacted nonprofits operating in QCTs

Capital Expenditure

No

Use of Evidence

Community arts programs

Community arts programs, also called participatory arts programs, include visual, media, and performing arts activities open to interested community members. Community-based organizations or art centers offer programs for community members to create artwork through collaboration and interactions with others. Programs can focus on building community, increasing awareness of the value of the arts, developing creativity, or addressing common issues within a community.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Improved social networks
Increased social capital
Increased social cohesion
Increased community involvement

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Improved mental health
Reduced stigma
Increased self-confidence



Evidence of Effectiveness

Community arts programs are a suggested strategy to increase social support^{1, 2, 3} and develop social capital and social cohesion throughout communities^{1, 4, 5, 6, 7}. Such programs may also promote community involvement^{4, 5, 7, 8}. Available evidence suggests community arts programs and creative activities can improve mental health for participants^{2, 9, 10}, including delinquent youth¹¹. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

UK- and Canada-based studies demonstrate that group-based community visual arts and music programs may improve physical and mental health outcomes and increase community connections among older adults^{10, 12, 13}. A study of Porch Light, a Philadelphia-based mural art project, suggests that residents are more likely to perceive high levels of neighborhood cohesion and trust, and less likely to stigmatize individuals with mental illness in neighborhoods with participatory art projects⁶.

Creative extracurricular activities such as music, dance, drama, and visual arts, frequently part of community arts programs, can improve self-confidence and self-esteem, and increase positive behaviors

among participating children and adolescents¹⁴. Community-centered arts and culture efforts are recommended to increase social cohesion and cultural assets for communities of color and with low incomes¹⁵. New York City-based studies suggest that neighborhoods with low incomes and high levels of racial diversity can experience the greatest social well-being and health benefits from arts programs and cultural resources; however, neighborhoods with low incomes have relatively fewer resources available than those with higher incomes^{16, 17}.

A case study suggests community arts activities can be incorporated in reentry services to improve the well-being of individuals formerly incarcerated¹⁸. Arts-based programming in the public safety sector can promote empathy, understanding, and well-being, and increase quality of place in communities; such community arts programming can be designed to support prevention efforts (e.g., engaging law enforcement and community members in community theatre) or rehabilitation and reintegration efforts (e.g., horticultural programs in correctional facilities and fine arts career training for people formerly incarcerated)¹⁹.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/community-arts-programs>

Performance Report

Performance Measures

Number of non-profits served	0
------------------------------	---

Additional Performance Measures

Operational days supported	260
Staff retention supported (# employees)	6

29. RADIOLEX

LFUCG Project ID: AR_RADLEX_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: RADIOLex

Project Budget: \$78,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$78,000

Cumulative Expenditure: \$10,031

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.34: Aid to Nonprofit Organizations*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$0

Equity Based Funding Total: \$78,000


Subrecipient

Lexington Community Radio, Inc.

Project Overview

ARPA funds will be used to pay for both personnel and operating expenses to allow RADIOLEX to utilize other funds for the expansion of their Community Newsroom as well as well as the other services they provide to the community. Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, RADIOLEX has taken a leadership role. The station and website have provided critical safety and public health information in over 20 languages to non-English speakers in Lexington. A main value of RADIOLEX is the public safety and wellbeing of Lexington. RADIOLEX works closely with local public health officials and service organizations to keep Lexington safe and healthy.

Funds will pay for staff support and general operating expenses of RADIOLEX including occupancy costs (rent/utilities). Lexington Community Radio (dba RADIOLEX) has a proven history of delivering important local news and critical public health and safety information to Lexington's minority communities. During the COVID-19 crisis, RADIOLEX partnered with the Office of the Governor, the City of Lexington, the Lexington Dept. of Emergency Management, and the Lexington-Fayette County Health Department to communicate critical public health and safety information in more than 20 languages.



RADIOLEX also partnered with the 2020 US Census to help reach underserved populations. Fayette County had one of the highest response rates nationally.

Using ARPA funding to supplement their staffing and operations allows them to use their other funding to augment the RADIOLEX Community Newsroom. Hyper-local news content will be created and delivered by trained, trustworthy representatives of Lexington's underrepresented neighborhoods- especially the Black, Hispanic, Asian, Immigrant & Refugee, and LGBTQ+ communities as well as others whose experiences and concerns are not represented in mainstream news media.

Community driven and culturally competent news content will reach disproportionately impacted groups in a timely and effective manner.

<https://radiolex.us/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Disproportionately impacted nonprofits operating in QCTs

Capital Expenditure

No

Performance Report

Performance Measures

Number of non-profits served	0
------------------------------	---

Additional Performance Measures

Operational days supported	61
Staff retention supported (# employees)	3 FTE

30. VisitLEX/Hospitality Industry Recovery

LFUCG Project ID: AR_VISLEX_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_VISLEX_2022

Project Budget: \$1,000,000

Project Status: Completed Less than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$1,000,000

Cumulative Expenditure: \$286,749

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 2: Negative Economic Impacts, 2.35: Aid to Tourism, Travel or Hospitality*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$0

Equity Based Funding Total: \$0

Subrecipient

Lexington Convention and Visitors Bureau

Project Overview

The annual operating budget of VisitLEX was cut in half as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. In Lexington, the hotels lost \$153,000,000 in revenue from March 2020 through October 2021. VisitLEX canceled more than 270 meetings and conventions, representing more than 100,000 room nights that never materialized in the city.

The overall economic impact loss to the city due to canceled meetings and conventions is \$42,000,000.

A targeted and strategic marketing campaign to grow meeting and convention business is essential for Lexington to compete for national meeting business.

VisitLEX is completing a comprehensive marketing plan designed to attract participants in the meeting and convention markets.

The campaign will include:

- Creation and distribution of digital and traditional advertisement;



- Development of meeting collateral to showcase Lexington’s benefits for meeting and convention markets;
- Video production;
- Hosting meeting planners;
- Sales efforts within key market segments;
- Development of a tradeshow booth;
- Participation in trade shows to target key market segments for meeting and convention business; and
- Other activities as pre-approved by the LFUCG.

<https://www.visitlex.com/>

Project Demographic Distribution

Impacted travel tourism or hospitality sectors

Capital Expenditure

No

Performance Report

Additional Performance Measures

Number of conventions recruited to Lexington	0
Number of trade shows attended	0
Trade show registrations	4

EC 3: Negative Economic Impact: Public Sector Capacity

**Responding to the Negative Public Sector
Capacity Impacts of the COVID-19
Pandemic**

31. Human Services Salaries

LFUCG Project ID: TBD

Treasury Portal Project ID: HSPersonnel

Project Budget: \$6,000,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 3: Public Health – Negative Economic Impact: Public Sector Capacity*



LEXINGTON

Project Overview

Payroll and benefits for LFUCG Human Services staff. These staff members include employees providing or administering social services, and child, elder or family care, as well as others in the Departments of Social Services and Housing Advocacy and Community Development.

The employee’s work entails responding to the public health or economic impacts of the pandemic.

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures



Number of FTEs responding to COVID-19 supported under this authority	117 (anticipated)
--	-------------------

EC 4: Premium Pay

**Responding to the Hazards Encountered
by Public Sector Workers by Providing
Premium Pay**

32. Premium Pay – Premium Pay for High Exposure LFUCG Staff

LFUCG Project ID: AR_PREMPAY_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_PREMPAY_2022

Project Budget: \$12,592,084

Project Status: **Completed**

Cumulative Obligation: \$12,592,084

Cumulative Expenditure: \$12,592,084

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 4: Premium Pay, 4.01 Public Sector Employees*



LEXINGTON

Project Overview

The Urban County Council allocated premium pay of \$5,000 each, to active full-time city employees who held positions and performed duties during the pandemic that regularly caused such employees to be exposed to a greater risk of contracting COVID-19.

Sectors Designated as Essential Critical Infrastructure

- Janitors or Sanitation Staff
- Public Health and Safety Staff
- Childcare Workers, Educators, and School Staff
- Social Services and Human Services Staff

Premium Pay Narrative

The employees performed essential work as defined by the Department of the Treasury as work involving regular in-person interactions or regular physical handling of items that were also handled by others. There were 14 FSLA exempt/classified/unclassified civil service employees that received Premium Pay and exceeded the threshold of 150% of the average county wage.



Those individuals are employed in the following classifications:

- S.A.N.E. Program Manager
- Computer Systems Manager
- Plant Ops Sup Senior - Water Quality
- Pump Station Program Manager
- Program Manager Senior

- Fleet Operations Manager
- Plant Ops Sup Senior - Water Quality
- Solids Processing Supervisor
- Operations and Recovery Manager
- Family Services Center Manager
- Laboratory Supervisor
- CMOM Program Manager
- Fleet Operations Manager



In addition, there were exempt sworn public safety employees that received Premium Pay and whose salaries exceed the threshold of 150% of the average county wage.

Those individuals are employed in the following classifications:

- Fire Chief
- Police Chief
- Director of Community Corrections
- Fire Majors
- Police Lieutenants
- Deputy Director of Community Corrections
- Community Correction Captains



Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of employees receiving Premium Pay	1,903
Number of employees receiving Premium Pay over the threshold	90

33. Premium Pay – Premium Pay for Fayette County Sheriff’s Office

LFUCG Project ID: AR_SHRFPAY_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_SHRFPAY_2022

Project Budget: \$361,923

Project Status: **Completed**

Cumulative Obligation: \$361,923

Cumulative Expenditure: \$361,923

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 4: Premium Pay, 4.01 Public Sector Employees*



Subrecipient

Office of the Fayette County Sheriff

Project Overview

Employees performed essential work as defined by the Department of the Treasury as work involving regular in-person interactions.

\$5,000 in premium pay to each eligible sheriff's office employee that performed duties that exposed them to COVID-19.



<https://www.fayettesheriff.com/>

Performance Report

Mandatory Standard Performance Measures

Number of employees receiving Premium Pay	49
---	----

EC 6: Revenue Replacement

**Programs and Projects that Respond to
the Negative Financial Impacts of COVID-
19 on Local Government**

34. Economic Development Grants to Service Partners

LFUCG Project ID: AR_EDGRNT_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_EDGRNT_2022

Project Budget: \$300,000

Project Status: **Completed**

Cumulative Obligation: \$298,745

Cumulative Expenditure: \$298,745

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Economic Partnership

Project Overview

The FY2022 Adopted Budget included APRA funding for second-year continuation funding to three Economic Development Partner agencies that are responsible for implementing, in part, the Economic Development goals of the LFUCG. These partners and their scope of work were as follows:

- Euphrates International Investment Company: New business development, which includes creating and implementing a new business recruitment plan for the Lexington Economic Partnership, entrepreneur development and start-up assistance, minority business development, and maintenance of an available economic development property register;
- Commerce Lexington: Existing business retention and expansion, and workforce development; and
- EHI Consultants and Urban League of Lexington-Fayette County: Economic development services and referrals for the Lexington Opportunity Zone.



Economic Development is considered a general service of LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/economic-development>

Performance Report

Additional Performance Measures

Euphrates International Investment Company	
Number of companies engaged during the reporting period for the purpose of location/relocation of business to Lexington	11
Commerce Lexington	
Number of business retention and expansion program visits/tasks during the reporting period	64
EHI Consultants and Urban League of Lexington Fayette County	
Number of meetings with developers interested in projects within the Opportunity Zone	4
Number of meetings with companies interested in locating in the Opportunity Zone	2

35. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Berry Hill Park Basketball Court

LFUCG Project ID: AR_BRYHILL_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_BRYHILL_2022

Project Budget: \$175,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$17,817

Cumulative Expenditure: \$9,945

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project Overview

This project will greatly improve Berry Hill Park by demolishing the failing basketball and tennis courts and build a new, improved full court basketball court.

This project will address the need for additional recreational activities for youth and adults in the community, promoting health and wellness.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space.

Construction of parks is considered a general service of LFUCG and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Green space & parks ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces accessible by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Community
Clearinghouse rating: Some Evidence	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Increased physical activity	Target populations: Not specified

The goals of the project are to improve social, emotional, physical and mental health of the community through increased recreation opportunities for youth and adults.

Lexington's Parks and Recreation Masterplan's Goal 2: Great Experiences states, "Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events." Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, "Value Lexington's resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices." The Masterplan also has a Facilities statement under Great Spaces: "Update key facilities."

According to NRPA's 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, "Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety." The report also stated, "Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities."

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

36. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Buckhorn Park Phase II Improvements

LFUCG Project ID: AR_BCKHRN_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_BCKHRN_2022

Project Budget: \$45,000

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$41,313

Cumulative Expenditure: \$39,438

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project overview

This project will greatly enhance Buckhorn Park by adding 5 new shade trees with a bench, planting a bio-swale along the service road, and adding additional paved walkway to create a walking loop.

This project will make the park more accessible by extending pavement to create a walking loop.

Additional shade will attract more people of all ages to the park and the bench will offer a place to sit down for seniors and people with disabilities.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space.

Construction of parks is considered a general service of LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>



Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Places for physical activity ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Enhancing access to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing access to existing nearby facilities). Increased access is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Community
Clearinghouse rating: Scientifically Supported	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Increased physical activity Improved physical fitness	Target populations: Not specified

The goals of the project are to increase overall attendance to Buckhorn Park; increase intergenerational opportunities; and improve social, emotional, physical and mental health of the community through increased opportunities for people to gather and walk through the park, even in the heat of the summer.

Lexington's Parks and Recreation Masterplan's Goal 2: Great Experiences states, "Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events." Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, "Value Lexington's resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices." The Masterplan has a Parks statement under Great Leadership: "Create/update individual park development plans." and a Facilities statement under Great Experiences: "Increase shade in parks."

According to NRPA's 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, "Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety." The report also stated, "Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities."

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) has made communities more accessible for all residents, through ADA design guidelines that allow for people of all abilities to utilize government facilities and services such as their neighborhood parks and parks programming.

37. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Dogwood Park Basketball Court

LFUCG Project ID: AR_DGWOOD_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_DGWOOD_2022

Project Budget: \$95,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$9,987

Cumulative Expenditure: \$5,203

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project Overview

This project will greatly improve Dogwood Park by building a new half-court basketball court in front of the playground.

This project will address the need for additional recreational activities for youth and adults in the community, promoting health and wellness.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space.

Construction of parks is considered a general service of LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Places for physical activity ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Enhancing access to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing access to existing nearby facilities). Increased access is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Community
Clearinghouse rating: Scientifically Supported	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Increased physical activity Improved physical fitness	Target populations: Not specified

The goals of the project are to improve social, emotional, physical and mental health of the community through increased recreation opportunities for youth and adults.

Lexington’s Parks and Recreation Masterplan’s Goal 2: Great Experiences states, “Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events.” Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, “Value Lexington’s resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices.” The Masterplan also has a Facilities statement under Great Spaces: “Update key facilities.”

According to *NRPA’s 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being*, “Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety.” The report also stated, “Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

38. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Gardenside Park Playground

LFUCG Project ID: AR_GDNSDE_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_GDNSDE_2022

Project Budget: \$150,000

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$142,389

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



Project Overview

This project will greatly enhance Gardenside Park by replacing the playground that was at the end of life with a new/improved playground. The park currently has no playground as the former equipment was removed for safety purposes.

This project will encourage fitness and intergenerational play with varying types of playground equipment.

This project will make the park more accessible by adding accessible equipment and walkways as part of the new playground.

Additional shade and seating will attract more people of all ages to the park and offer a place to relax for seniors and people with disabilities.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space.



Construction of parks is considered a general service of LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Green space & parks ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces accessible by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Community
Clearinghouse rating: Some Evidence	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Increased physical activity	Target populations: Not specified

The goals of the project are to increase overall attendance to Gardenside Park; increase intergenerational opportunities; and improve social, emotional, physical and mental health of the community through increased opportunities for people to gather and play.

Lexington’s Parks and Recreation Masterplan’s Goal 2: Great Experiences states, “Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events.” Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, “Value Lexington’s resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices.” The Masterplan has a Wellness statement under Great Spaces: “Increase fitness opportunities in parks.” and a Facilities statement under Great Experiences: “Increase shade in parks.”

According to NRPA’s 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, “Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety.” The report also stated, “Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) has made communities more accessible for all residents, through ADA design guidelines that allow for people of all abilities to utilize government facilities and services such as their neighborhood parks and parks programming.

39. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Ecton Park Restrooms and Concessions

LFUCG Project ID: AR_ECTON_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_ECTON_2022

Project Budget: \$300,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$33,000

Cumulative Expenditure: \$24,150

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



Project Overview

This project will greatly enhance Ecton Park by demolishing the existing concession and restroom facilities and building new facilities that will be used by local and travel teams.

This project will make the park more accessible by building new restrooms that will be compliant with ADA.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

Use of Evidence

The goals of the project are to increase the number of teams that use the fields at Ecton Park; make the facilities more accessible; and improve social, emotional, physical and mental health of the community through improved facilities for youth sports.



Lexington’s Parks and Recreation Masterplan’s Goal 2: Great Experiences states, “Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events.” Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, “Value Lexington’s resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices.” The Masterplan has a Wellness statement under Great Spaces: “Increase

fitness opportunities in parks.” and a Facilities statement under Great Experiences: “Update key facilities.”

According to NRPA’s 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, “Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety.” The report also stated, “Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) has made communities more accessible for all residents, through ADA design guidelines that allow for people of all abilities to utilize government facilities and services such as their neighborhood parks and parks programming.

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Green space & parks ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces accessible by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Community
Clearinghouse rating: Some Evidence	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Increased physical activity	Target populations: Not specified

39. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Lakeside Irrigation Replacement

LFUCG Project ID: AR_LKSID_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_LKSID_2022

Project Budget: \$1,421,000

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$1,420,408

Cumulative Expenditure: \$19,700

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project overview

This project will greatly extend the life of Lakeside Golf Course by the much-needed replacement of the course’s irrigation system. This golf course is a local favorite and an attraction to visitors because of its large greens, rolling terrain and the longest par-5 in Kentucky. The irrigation replacement project will be complete by the fall of 2023.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space.



<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/lakeside-golf-course>

Use of Evidence

The goals of the project are to increase overall attendance to Lakeside Golf Course; increase intergenerational play; and improve social, emotional and mental health of the community (as well as visitors) through increased opportunities for people to gather and connect with one another while enjoying the game of golf on a much-improved course.

Lexington’s Parks and Recreation Masterplan’s Goal 2: Great Experiences states, “Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events.” Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, “Value Lexington’s resources through preservation, education and sustainable

management practices.” The Masterplan also has key findings from the extensive survey completed. One of the findings states: “Maintain equestrian and golf facilities.”

According to NRPA’s 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, “Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety.” The report also stated, “Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Green space & parks ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces [accessible](#) by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Community
Clearinghouse rating: Some Evidence	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Increased physical activity	Target populations: Not specified

40. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Masterson Station Park Playground

LFUCG Project ID: AR_MSTRSN_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_MSTRSN_2022

Project Budget: \$150,000

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$147,478

Cumulative Expenditure: \$128,750

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project overview

This project will greatly enhance Masterson Station Park by adding a new picnic shelter and completing the last phase of the playground improvement project with a climbing net and music feature.

This project will encourage fitness with the new climbing net in the playground. There will be a music feature added to the playground to inspire creativity.

Additional shade and seating with the new picnic shelter will attract more people of all ages to the park and offer a place to relax for seniors and people with disabilities.



These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space.

Construction of parks is considered a general service of LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Green space & parks

Clearinghouse: ●

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces accessible by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Community
Clearinghouse rating: Some Evidence	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Increased physical activity	Target populations: Not specified

The goals of the project are to increase overall attendance to Masterson Station Park; increase intergenerational opportunities; and improve social, emotional and mental health of the community through increased opportunities for people to gather, eat and play.

Lexington’s Parks and Recreation Masterplan’s Goal 2: Great Experiences states, “Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events.” Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, “Value Lexington’s resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices.” The Masterplan has a Wellness statement under Great Spaces: “Increase fitness opportunities in parks.” and a Facilities statement under Great Experiences: “Increase shade in parks.”

According to NRPA’s 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, “Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety.” The report also stated, “Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”



According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

41. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Meadowthorpe Park Community Center Roof Repair

LFUCG Project ID: AR_MEADOW_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_MEADOW_2022

Project Budget: \$80,000

Project Status: **Completed**

Cumulative Obligation: \$78,585

Cumulative Expenditure: \$78,585

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project Overview

This project greatly enhanced Meadowthorpe Park by repairing the roof of the community building that hosts neighborhood meetings and special events.

This project addressed the needs of the community to have a space to hold important neighborhood meetings and special events that bring people of all ages in the neighborhood together during all seasons of the year.

The goals of the project were to increase the number of neighbor meetings and special events that can be held in a safe environment; increase intergenerational opportunities; and improve social, emotional and mental health of the community through increased opportunities for people to gather.



These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

Building repair is considered a general service of LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/neighborhood-association-indoor-facilities>

42. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Raven Run Park Prather House Roof

LFUCG Project ID: AR_RVNRUN_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_RVNRUN_2022

Project Budget: \$50,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

This project will greatly enhance Raven Run Park by repairing the roof as a critical step to restoring the Prather House, which is of historical significance as one of the oldest brick homes in Central Kentucky.

This project will address the needs of the community to preserve historic buildings and landmarks.

The goals of the project are to preserve the historic Prather House at Raven Run Park for current and future residents and visitors to the park. Historic buildings are an important part of preserving the history of Fayette County, and the Prather House is a perfect example of a building that has been in disrepair but can be restored as an example of a brick home from the 1800's.



These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

Repair of an LFUCG facility is considered a general service of LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/raven-run-nature-sanctuary>

<https://ravenrun.org/>



43. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Shillito Park Access Improvement Parking

LFUCG Project ID: AR_SHILTO_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_SHILTO_2022

Project Budget: \$400,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$37,340

Cumulative Expenditure: \$22,375

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project overview

This project will greatly enhance Shillito Park by paving informal gravel parking areas and repairing existing parking lots.


This park has a very high volume of use. Therefore, more paved parking and resurfacing of existing parking lots is needed to accommodate the large number of people that visit the park.

This project will make the park more accessible by paving gravel lots and resurfacing parking lots that are in disrepair.

The goals of the project are to meet the parking needs of people that attend Shillito Park and improve the accessibility of the park for people of all ages and abilities.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space.



Construction of parks is considered a general service of LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

44. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Southland Park Access Improvement Parking

LFUCG Project ID: AR_STHLND_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_STHLND_2022

Project Budget: \$100,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$11,921

Cumulative Expenditure: \$8,045

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project overview

This project will greatly enhance Southland Park by repairing the lower parking lot that services Southland Pool.

This existing parking lot surface has failed and needs repair.

This project will make the park more accessible by repaving this lot.

The goals of the project are to meet the parking needs of people that attend Southland Pool and improve the accessibility of the park for people of all ages and abilities.



These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space.

Construction of parks is considered a general service of LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

45. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements –Woodland Park Restroom and Therapeutic Recreation Facility

LFUCG Project ID: AR_WDLAND_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_WDLAND_2022

Project Budget: \$529,259

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$526,859

Cumulative Expenditure: \$483,756

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project overview

This project greatly enhanced Woodland Park by replacing the existing restroom and Therapeutic Recreation building. The existing building was beyond the end of life with frequent failures. The old design had been identified as a serious safety concern related to drug use and loitering and was not ADA compliant.

This project has made the park more accessible by renovating the existing building to comply with ADA.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule. In fact, there was previous funding allocated to this project that was reallocated to another use. ARPA funding made this project possible.

This is considered a park enhancement, and is providing an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space.

Construction of parks is considered a general service of LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/browse/recreation/therapeutic-recreation>



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation



<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

46. ADA Improvements in Parks

LFUCG Project ID: AR_PRKADA_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_PRKADA_2022

Project Budget: \$125,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project overview

This project includes upgrades and/or additions to existing park amenities based on priorities identified in the Parks and Recreation 2019 ADA audit of most visited park locations.

Projects will include:

- Coldstream Dog Park Modifications
- Kenwick Park Modifications
- Ecton Park Lower Ballfield Access
- Charles Young Park Access Modifications
- Jacobson Boat Ramp Access and Accessible Slip Upgrades
- Jacobson Dog Park Modifications



Park improvements and ADA compliance of LFUCG's public spaces are considered general services of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/lexington-parks-recreation>



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

47. Cardinal Run North Park Development

LFUCG Project ID: AR_CARDRUN_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: CardinalRun

Project Budget: \$10,100,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$700,000

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Project Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project overview

The new large-scale regional park development that will include infrastructure, restrooms, paved parking areas, paved trails, playground, shelters, and multi-purpose sports fields. This project was included in the Parks Masterplan approved by the Urban County Council.

This project will develop a new large-scale regional park in western Fayette County like other regional parks such as Jacobson, Shillito, Veterans, and Masterson Station. Regional parks serve broad community populations throughout Fayette County, including large populations of minority, lower income, and underserved citizens because of the variety, quantity, and quality of the features they offer. They serve as destination parks for many citizens willing to travel, including citizens living in QCT areas, in addition to serving the local community. Regional parks are easily accessible and are designed to be inclusive for all community members regardless of income, age, race, ethnicity, or ability-level. This project will greatly enhance fitness and activity, while improving accessibility, through the construction of paved trails and multi-purpose sports fields. Multi-purpose sports fields in parks have a high usage among minority and lower income communities due to the minimal cost compared to organized sports. This project will greatly enhance the intergenerational experience and improve accessibility through the construction of a playground and shelters.



Construction of parks is considered a general service of the LFUCG, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/news/05-24-2022/brandstetter-carroll-inc-design-cardinal-run-north>

48. Access to Quality Greenspace in a QCT - Douglass Park Pool Improvements

LFUCG Project ID: AR_DOUGLS_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_DOUGLS_2022

Project Budget: \$175,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$5,800

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*


Project overview

This project will greatly enhance Douglass Pool by adding a slide.

This project will make Douglass Pool more equitable; other pools in the community have this feature.

This project will increase pool amenities for Douglass Pool and encourage recreation of youth through swim and play.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.



This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space. Park improvements are considered a general service of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/browse/recreation/aquatics>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Green space & parks



Clearinghouse:

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces [accessible](#) by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse:

What Works for Health

Settings:

Community

Clearinghouse rating:

Some Evidence

Ages:

Not specified

Outcomes:

Increased physical activity

Target populations:

Not specified

Places for physical activity



Clearinghouse:

Enhancing [access](#) to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing [access](#) to existing nearby facilities). Increased [access](#) is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse:

What Works for Health

Settings:

Community

Clearinghouse rating:

Scientifically Supported

Ages:

Not specified

Outcomes:

Increased physical activity
Improved physical fitness

Target populations:

Not specified

The goals of the project are to increase overall attendance to Douglass Park; increase intergenerational opportunities; and improve social, emotional and mental health of the community through increased opportunities for people to gather, swim and play.

Lexington's Parks and Recreation Masterplan's Goal 2: Great Experiences states, "Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events." Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, "Value Lexington's resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices." The Masterplan has a Wellness statement under Great Spaces: "Increase fitness opportunities in parks." and a Facilities statement under Great Experiences: "Update key facilities."

According to NRPA's 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, "Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect

with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety.” The report also stated, “Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

49. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements – Mary Todd Park Basketball Court

LFUCG Project ID: AR_MRYTDD_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_MRYTDD_2022

Project Budget: \$118,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$15,072

Cumulative Expenditure: \$8,201

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project overview

This project will greatly improve Mary Todd Park by demolishing the failing basketball court and build a new, improved full court basketball court.

This project will address the need for additional recreational activities for youth and adults in the community, promoting health and wellness.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space. Park improvements are considered a general service of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings



Green space & parks



Clearinghouse:

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces [accessible](#) by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse:

What Works for Health

Settings:

Community

Clearinghouse rating:

Some Evidence

Ages:

Not specified

Outcomes:

Increased physical activity

Target populations:

Not specified

Places for physical activity



Clearinghouse:

Enhancing [access](#) to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing [access](#) to existing nearby facilities). Increased [access](#) is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse:

What Works for Health

Settings:

Community

Clearinghouse rating:

Scientifically Supported

Ages:

Not specified

Outcomes:

Increased physical activity
Improved physical fitness

Target populations:

Not specified

The goals of the project are to improve social, emotional, physical and mental health of the community through increased recreation opportunities for youth and adults.

Lexington's Parks and Recreation Masterplan's Goal 2: Great Experiences states, "Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events." Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, "Value Lexington's resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices." The Masterplan also has a Facilities statement under Great Spaces: "Update key facilities."

According to NRPA's 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, "Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety." The report also stated, "Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health

threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

50. Access to Quality Greenspace in a QCT - Northeastern Park Playground

LFUCG Project ID: AR_NEASTN_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_NEASTN_2022

Project Budget: \$250,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$4,123

Cumulative Expenditure: \$977

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project overview

This project will greatly enhance Northeastern Park by demolishing and replacing the existing playground that is at the end of its life. The new playground will include fitness-oriented youth play equipment with climbing and bouldering features. Shade structures and/or landscaping will be added as well as benches and a drinking fountain.

This project will make the park more welcoming by adding accessible entries to the playground.

Additional shade will attract more people of all ages to the park and the benches will offer a place to sit down for seniors and people with disabilities.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space. Park improvements are considered a general service of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

Use of Evidence



Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Green space & parks ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces [accessible](#) by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Community
Clearinghouse rating: Some Evidence	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Increased physical activity	Target populations: Not specified

Places for physical activity ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Enhancing [access](#) to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing [access](#) to existing nearby facilities). Increased [access](#) is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Community
Clearinghouse rating: Scientifically Supported	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Increased physical activity Improved physical fitness	Target populations: Not specified

The goals of the project are to increase overall attendance to Northeastern Park; increase intergenerational opportunities; and improve social, emotional and mental health of the community through increased opportunities for people to gather and walk through the park even in the heat of the summer.

Lexington's Parks and Recreation Masterplan's Goal 2: Great Experiences states, "Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events." Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, "Value Lexington's resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices." The Masterplan has a Wellness statement under Great Spaces: "Increase fitness opportunities in parks." and a Facilities statement under Great Experiences: "Increase shade in parks."

According to NRPA's 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, "Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-

19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety.” The report also stated, “Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) has made communities more accessible for all residents, through ADA design guidelines that allow for people of all abilities to utilize government facilities and services such as their neighborhood parks and parks programming.

51. Access to Quality Greenspace in a QCT – Phoenix Park Inclusive Design

LFUCG Project ID: AR_PHOENIX_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_PHOENIX_2022

Project Budget: \$150,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$148,800

Cumulative Expenditure: \$46,300

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation


Project overview

This project is studying ways to make Phoenix Park a more inclusive park through the addition of innovative park amenities that will encourage intergenerational activities; inclusion of the Downtown Library in the design process to integrate with its services; and design that will incorporate the essence of downtown Lexington.

This project will make the park more accessible by incorporating universal design best practices.

This project will look for opportunities to add shade and benches which will attract more people of all ages and abilities to the park. Park improvements are considered a general service of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>



52. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements in a QCT - Pine Meadows Park Playground

LFUCG Project ID: AR_PINMDW_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_PINMDW_2022

Project Budget: \$153,735

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$149,771

Cumulative Expenditure: \$16,842

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



Project overview

This project greatly enhanced Pine Meadows Park by replacing the playground that was at the end of life with a new/improved playground.

This project encourages fitness and intergenerational play with varying types of playground equipment.

This project makes the park more accessible by adding accessible equipment and walkways as part of the new playground.

Additional shade and seating will attract more people of all ages to the park and offer a place to relax for seniors and people with disabilities.



These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.

This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space. Park improvements are considered a general service of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Green space & parks



Clearinghouse:

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces [accessible](#) by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse:

What Works for Health

Settings:

Community

Clearinghouse rating:

Some Evidence

Ages:

Not specified

Outcomes:

Increased physical activity

Target populations:

Not specified

Places for physical activity



Clearinghouse:

Enhancing [access](#) to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing [access](#) to existing nearby facilities). Increased [access](#) is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse:

What Works for Health

Settings:

Community

Clearinghouse rating:

Scientifically Supported

Ages:

Not specified

Outcomes:

Increased physical activity

Improved physical fitness

Target populations:

Not specified

The goals of the project are to increase overall attendance to Pine Meadows Park; increase intergenerational opportunities; and improve social, emotional, physical and mental health of the community through increased opportunities for people to gather and play.

Lexington's Parks and Recreation Masterplan's Goal 2: Great Experiences states, "Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events." Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, "Value Lexington's resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices." The Masterplan has a Wellness statement under Great Spaces: "Increase fitness opportunities in parks." and a Facilities statement under Great Experiences: "Increase shade in parks."

According to NRPA's 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, "Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park

and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety.” The report also stated, “Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) has made communities more accessible for all residents, through ADA design guidelines that allow for people of all abilities to utilize government facilities and services such as their neighborhood parks and parks programming.

53. Neighborhood Recreation Improvements in a QCT – River Hill Park Sports Courts

LFUCG Project ID: AR_RVRHILL_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_RVRHILL_2022

Project Budget: \$165,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$9,363

Cumulative Expenditure: \$5,038

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project overview

This project will demolish failing tennis courts and build new pickleball courts.

This project will address the need for additional recreational activities for youth, adults and seniors in the community, promoting health and wellness. This sport is very popular with seniors. Pickleball is the fastest growing sport in the United States.

These improvements were included in the Lexington Parks and Recreation Masterplan, but had not been funded due to lack of funding availability. Budgets for FY2020 and FY2021 were greatly impacted by COVID-19, and resulted in projects being held or not funded on a regular schedule.



This is considered a park enhancement, and will provide an opportunity to increase usability of an existing recreation space. Park improvements are considered a general service of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/list-of-all-city-parks>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Green space & parks



Clearinghouse: ●

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces [accessible](#) by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse:

What Works for Health

Settings:

Community

Clearinghouse rating:

Some Evidence

Ages:

Not specified

Outcomes:

Increased physical activity

Target populations:

Not specified

Places for physical activity



Clearinghouse: ●

Enhancing [access](#) to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing [access](#) to existing nearby facilities). Increased [access](#) is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse:

What Works for Health

Settings:

Community

Clearinghouse rating:

Scientifically Supported

Ages:

Not specified

Outcomes:

Increased physical activity
Improved physical fitness

Target populations:

Not specified

The goals of the project are to improve social, emotional, physical and mental health of the community through increased recreation opportunities for youth, adults and seniors.

Lexington's Parks and Recreation Masterplan's Goal 2: Great Experiences states, "Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events." Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, "Value Lexington's resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices." The Masterplan also has a Facilities statement under Great Spaces: "Update key facilities."

According to NRPA's 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, "Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety." The report also stated, "Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health

threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

54. General Parks and Recreation Improvements Phase II

LFUCG Project ID: AR_NQCT_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: GenParksRec

Project Budget: \$3,935,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$107,134

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation

Project Overview

This project will greatly enhance fitness and activity at the following parks with new or replaced sports courts: Lansdowne-Merrick Park, Meadowbrook Park, Marlboro Park, Woodland Park, Shillito Park and Constitution Park. This project will greatly enhance the intergenerational experience and improve the accessibility of the following parks with new or replaced playgrounds: Woodland Park, Trailwood Greenway, Meadowthorpe Park and Mary Todd Park.

This project will greatly enhance fitness and activity as well as improve accessibility at the following parks with new or improved trails: Jacobson Park, Masterson Park, Raven Run Nature Sanctuary and Wildwood Park. Jacobson, Masterson and Shillito Parks are all regional parks with destination playgrounds and other features such as soccer fields and bodies of water. These regional parks are easily accessible off main roads and have high usage among minority and lower income populations. Sports courts in parks have a high usage among minority and lower income communities due to the minimal cost compared to organized sports. Woodland Park is in an urban setting and has a skate park that attracts a diverse population to the park.



Trails: Jacobson Park- new paved trail to complete trail loop connecting popular features. Masterson Station Park-new paved trail connecting Town Branch trail to playground/sprayground. Raven Run Nature Sanctuary- new ADA paved/hardened trail loop. Wildwood Park- paved trail realignment to eliminate flooding.

Sports Courts: Shillito Park Tennis - repave five courts; patch and color coat eight courts; replace fence fabric & wind screen; make parking & path of travel accessible. Woodland Park Tennis - resurface four

courts & practice area. Constitution Park Pickleball- two existing tennis courts will be repurposed into six new pickleball courts. Marlboro Park Basketball - full court renovation. Meadowbrooke Park Basketball- full court relocation. Lansdowne-Merrick Basketball- full court renovation.



Playgrounds: Woodland Park – construct new playground addition. Trailwood Greenway - add “play pods” along greenway. Meadowthorpe Park- replace playground. Mary Todd Park- replace playground.

Shelters: Mary Todd Park – build a shelter.

Park improvements are considered a general service of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/parksmasterplan>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Green space & parks ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces accessible by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

<p>Clearinghouse: What Works for Health</p> <p>Clearinghouse rating: Some Evidence</p> <p>Outcomes: Increased physical activity</p>	<p>Settings: Community</p> <p>Ages: Not specified</p> <p>Target populations: Not specified</p>
--	---

Places for physical activity ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Enhancing access to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing access to existing nearby facilities). Increased access is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced. [Read less](#)

<p>Clearinghouse: What Works for Health</p> <p>Clearinghouse rating: Scientifically Supported</p> <p>Outcomes: Increased physical activity Improved physical fitness</p>	<p>Settings: Community</p> <p>Ages: Not specified</p> <p>Target populations: Not specified</p>
---	---

The goals of the project are to improve social, emotional, physical and mental health of the community through increased recreation opportunities for youth, adults and seniors.

Lexington’s Parks and Recreation Masterplan’s Goal 2: Great Experiences states, “Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events.” Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, “Value Lexington’s resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices.” The Masterplan also has a Facilities statement under Great Spaces: “Update key facilities.”

According to NRPA’s 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, “Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety.” The report also stated, “Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

55. Parks Masterplan Phase II – QCT Areas

LFUCG Project ID: AR_PARKQCT_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: PMQCT

Project Budget: \$3,345,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$136,055


Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

All projects are in QCT's, serving underserved populations in the community. The accessibility that these projects will allow for Fayette County residents of all ages and abilities to walk or roll on trails together, meet in community centers, and play with their children and grandchildren at playgrounds. All people deserve fun playgrounds, accessible community centers that provide a wide variety of programming, and courts that allow people to play the sport they like best.



Dunbar Community Center is a historic school building. Dunbar High School was built as an all-black high school in 1922. It became the first black high school in Kentucky to be admitted to the Southern Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools. In 1973 it was acquired by Parks. Renovations were made to turn it into the center it is today, but the original entrance and gym were kept. Most participants and visitors remain people of color. The Dunbar Community Center project directly improves a community center located in a zip code that has a median household income of \$20,412, where 51% of residents work part time, 70% with highest education completed is a high school diploma, and 80% of children aged 3-17 are enrolled in public school. Dunbar Community Center has not undergone a substantial renovation in more than 15 years. Maintaining and improving Dunbar Center ensures that the community center offers activities for low-income residents to thrive.

Trails: Gainesway Park- paved trail realignment to eliminate flooding. Whitney Young- construct new paved trail.

Sports Courts: Picadome- construct four new pickleball courts. Mount Tabor Park- repair two existing tennis courts; install new practice board. Pine Meadows Park- replace basketball half court.

Playgrounds: Elizabeth St Park- replace playground. Duncan Park- replace playground.

Dunbar Community Center: replace roof, create ADA restrooms on three floors, provide an unassigned single-user restroom.


Valley Neighborhood Center Expansion: construct building addition for additional programming space.

Park improvements are considered a general service of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/parksmasterplan>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings



Green space & parks

Clearinghouse: ●

Communities can increase green space and parks by creating new parks or open spaces, renovating or enhancing under-used recreation areas, or rehabilitating vacant lots, abandoned infrastructure, or brownfields. Rails to trails programs, brownfield redevelopment, community gardens, and park enhancements are examples of efforts to increase recreational green space, trails, and parks. Such efforts can be applied to spaces accessible by foot, bike, and other types of transportation. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse:

What Works for Health

Settings:

Community

Clearinghouse rating:

Some Evidence

Ages:

Not specified

Outcomes:

Increased physical activity

Target populations:

Not specified

Places for physical activity ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Enhancing access to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing access to existing nearby facilities). Increased access is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced. [Read less](#)

<p>Clearinghouse: What Works for Health</p> <p>Clearinghouse rating: Scientifically Supported</p> <p>Outcomes: Increased physical activity Improved physical fitness</p>	<p>Settings: Community</p> <p>Ages: Not specified</p> <p>Target populations: Not specified</p>
---	---

The goals of the project are to improve social, emotional, physical and mental health of the community through increased recreation opportunities for youth, adults and seniors.

Lexington’s Parks and Recreation Masterplan’s Goal 2: Great Experiences states, “Promote health, wellness and experiences for our growing population through programs and events.” Goal 3: Great Stewardship states, “Value Lexington’s resources through preservation, education and sustainable management practices.” The Masterplan also has a Facilities statement under Great Spaces: “Update key facilities.”

According to NRPA’s 2021 Parks and Recreation: Advancing Community Health and Well-Being, “Given the rise in mental health disorders and concerns surrounding social isolation exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic, a more intentional focus on supporting social, emotional and mental health is critical. Park and recreation professionals and their agencies create opportunities for people to gather and connect with others, learn social-emotional skills, and help reduce stress and anxiety.” The report also stated, “Park and recreation professionals work every day to address and mitigate emerging public health threats impacting their cities, towns and counties. From the visible threats of climate change to the unseen threats of mental health disorders and social isolation, parks and recreation plays a critical role in supporting public health in communities.”

According to the CDC COVID-19 guidance, updated Aug. 19th, 2021 (found on www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/outdoor-activities.html) outdoor activities are a safer choice and exercise is encouraged, especially when you can easily socially distance.

56. Parks Masterplan Phase II – Maintenance

LFUCG Project ID: AR_BUILD_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: PMMaint

Project Budget: \$1,000,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$508,744

Cumulative Expenditure: \$24,760

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

These maintenance projects will provide necessary infrastructure capital repairs to allow Parks staff, community partners, and neighborhood associations to offer programming, opportunities for community organization, and other support to citizens. Many projects are in traditionally underserved communities in lower income areas. Community Centers are owned and operated by Parks. They offer a wide variety of inclusive recreation and education programs and provide community resources. Neighborhood Centers are owned by Parks but are administered by their Neighborhood Associations. The associations offer a wide variety of programming to members of their community to include mentoring, tutoring, financial guidance, and resource fairs. Neighborhood Centers host events for the surrounding community and offer a safe, centrally located indoor site for regular neighborhood meetings. As the Division continues to do outreach in these communities, the infrastructure in these centers becomes vital to the mission of providing affordable recreational opportunities for all. These projects will address serious structural and preventative maintenance issues that will allow underserved communities to gather in their neighborhood.

Roof Replacement: Tates Creek Maintenance Building, Picadome Administration Building, Woodhill Neighborhood Center, Highlands Neighborhood Center, Hisle Park Program Building.



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation



HVAC Replacement: Highlands Community Center, Lou Johnson Community Center, Oakwood Community Center.

Window Maintenance/Replacement: Dunbar Community Center, Carver Community Center.

Electrical Repair: Masterson Station Park Fairgrounds- repair/replace underground electrical lines servicing fairground user hook-ups.

Park improvements are considered a general service of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.



<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/parksmasterplan>

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/communitycenters>

57. Parks Masterplan Phase II – Aquatics

LFUCG Project ID: AR_AQUATIC_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: PMAqua

Project Budget: \$1,870,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$92,900

Cumulative Expenditure: \$51,400

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

The Aquatics section of Parks and Recreation has always worked hard to offer amenities and programs to underserved communities throughout the area. Aquatics offers scholarship programs that reduce season pass and swim lesson costs in half, free swim lessons, and events throughout the swim season. Three of the six pools are in traditionally lower income areas and enjoy attendance of primarily teens and young families. Unfortunately, due to recent budget strictures, a long list of deferred maintenance projects has developed.

These projects will ensure that these six aquatic facilities remain operational and running at greater efficiency. Replacing pumps, motors, filter tanks, diving boards etc. may not seem like they are serving communities in need on the surface, but without this investment, the Aquatic system would be on the verge of collapse. Investment in the pump rooms and filtration systems will allow us to continue providing free swim lessons at Douglass Pool, neighborhood events at Castlewood Aquatic Center, in addition to general recreational opportunities to swim that all communities should have the opportunity to enjoy.

Pump Replacement: Castlewood Pool- replace slide pump.
Southland Pool- replace baby pool feature pump.

Diving Board Replacement: Douglass Pool- replace one diving board. Shillito Pool- replace one diving board.
Southland Pool- replace two diving boards and stands.
Woodland Pool- replace one diving board and re-mount stand.



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation



Slide Installation: Douglass Pool- install one new slide feature.

Douglass Pool Filter System Conversion: convert to new pool filter system.

Shillito Pool Concrete Repair: Repair concrete around pool due to settling issues.

Southland Pool Play Feature: Install new pool play feature.

Tank Replacement: Southland Pool- replace three filter tanks for main pool. Woodland Pool-install the new filter tanks.

Tates Creek Pool Play Feature: Repair pool play feature.

Woodland Pool Play Feature: Install new pool play feature.

Park improvements are considered a general service of LFUCG, and are therefore eligible expenses under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/browse/recreation/aquatics>

[https://www.lexingtonky.gov/sites/default/files/2022-](https://www.lexingtonky.gov/sites/default/files/2022-06/Aquatics%20Master%20Plan%20%28April%2C%202016%29%20low%20res.pdf)

[06/Aquatics%20Master%20Plan%20%28April%2C%202016%29%20low%20res.pdf](https://www.lexingtonky.gov/sites/default/files/2022-06/Aquatics%20Master%20Plan%20%28April%2C%202016%29%20low%20res.pdf)



Use of Evidence

Places for physical activity

Enhancing access to places for physical activity involves changes to local environments that create new opportunities for physical activity or reduce the cost of existing opportunities (e.g., creating walking trails, building exercise facilities, or providing access to existing nearby facilities). Increased access is typically achieved in a community through a multi-component strategy that includes training or education for participants¹. Such efforts are often implemented in neighborhoods that have been structurally disadvantaged and under-resourced.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased physical activity
Improved physical fitness

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Reduced obesity rates
Reduced emissions
Reduced vehicle miles traveled

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that improving access to places for physical activity increases physical activity and improves physical fitness in urban, rural, and suburban areas^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7}. Access itself is also strongly associated with high levels of physical activity⁸. Among adolescents, access is associated with increased time spent in vigorous physical activity⁹, and lower obesity rates¹⁰.

A study of adolescents in military families associates moving to a neighborhood with more opportunities for physical activity with increased physical activity⁹. Temporary street closures to create safe spaces for physical activity can increase physical activity for participants both during the event and afterwards¹¹. Individuals who meet physical activity recommendations and report vigorous exercise are more likely to use recreational facilities, compared to those who report light-to-moderate exercise¹².

Increasing access to places for physical activity in conjunction with efforts to address quality, cleanliness, and any safety or security concerns of such facilities may be more effective over the long-term at increasing physical activity levels than increasing access alone⁵. Research suggests that considering all types of weather such as freezing temperatures and rain when implementing a plan to increase access to places for physical activity can improve the effectiveness of the plan, particularly in cold weather states¹³. Studies suggest adolescents may perceive a lack of age-appropriate features, and sports fields, adventurous playgrounds, trails, and walking paths may encourage visitation of public open spaces and physical activity across several age groups¹⁴. To increase the appeal and perception of safety in places for physical activity, experts recommend spaces be well-maintained, appeal to males and females¹⁴, and include lighting, vegetation that facilitates open views, areas with street access and higher pedestrian activity, amenities like seating and drinking fountains, and features such as sculptures and food vendors¹⁵.

Overall, individuals with higher socio-economic status have been shown to have greater access to physical activity centers than those with lower socio-economic status^{16, 17}. One study suggests physical activity is generally higher in neighborhoods with more recreational facilities, and highest among those with facility memberships; experts suggest efforts to reduce financial and social barriers to membership can increase access to places for physical activity for minorities and those with low incomes¹⁸. Among North Carolina middle school students, living in socioeconomically disadvantaged rural areas is also associated with fewer places for physical activity and higher rates of obesity¹⁹. One study of military veterans living in a metropolitan area suggests that access to parks and fitness facilities may not be enough to increase physical activity and weight status outcomes; some populations may need more than access alone to improve physical activity²⁰.

Places for physical activity that increase opportunities for active transportation such as walking trails, bike paths, or rails to trails projects may replace automotive trips with biking and walking, which may reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to climate change²¹.

Impact on Disparities

No impact on disparities likely

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/places-for-physical-activity>

58. Pam Miller Downtown Art Center (PMDAC) Renovation

LFUCG Project ID: AR_PMDAC_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: PMArts

Project Budget: \$2,500,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

The Pam Miller Downtown Arts Center (PMDAC) is an arts and entertainment facility located on Main Street, in the heart of downtown Lexington. The PMDAC offers a variety of both visual and performing arts with theatre, dance and music programs as well as exhibits in all media including painting, sculpture, photography, mixed media, film and digital arts. Meeting and event space is also available.

The facility is in a QCT, and part of the greater urban core of Lexington, which primarily consists of QCT areas. Simply put, this facility, the programs offered, and its central location will greatly benefit low-income communities that have been historically underserved. Programming is diverse and multifaceted to reflect the surrounding community with care to be inclusive, affordable equitable and educational.

The venue offers low-cost rental rates to qualified participants and a rent-free exhibit space for emerging artists, community groups and others. This project will allow

Fayette County residents of all ages, abilities, and income levels accessibility to enjoy and participate in a variety of enriching arts experiences. This renovation will provide necessary infrastructure capital repairs to allow Parks staff, community partners, and civic organizations to continue offering programming, performances, exhibits, and event spaces to serve the surrounding community.

This project will acquire the facility from the State of Kentucky and renovate the 3rd floor to include multipurpose/performance space, with workshop classroom and ADA accessible restrooms. The café will include a multipurpose/event/reception space, serving/catering area and storage area. Construction scope shall include selective demolition, new interior partitions, new acoustic ceilings and sound absorptive floor finishes, new paint and wall finishes including acoustic wall panels, upgraded lighting, electrical, HVAC and sprinkler system, interior masonry and structural repairs including concrete slab and roof joist improvements, and updated egress.



LEXINGTON
Parks & Recreation



The Pam Miller Downtown Arts Center is an LFUCG-operated facility open to the public, and is therefore an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/about-downtown-arts-center>

Use of Evidence

Community arts programs

Community arts programs, also called participatory arts programs, include visual, media, and performing arts activities open to interested community members. Community-based organizations or art centers offer programs for community members to create artwork through collaboration and interactions with others. Programs can focus on building community, increasing awareness of the value of the arts, developing creativity, or addressing common issues within a community.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Improved social networks
Increased social capital
Increased social cohesion
Increased community involvement

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Improved mental health
Reduced stigma
Increased self-confidence



Evidence of Effectiveness

Community arts programs are a suggested strategy to increase social support^{1, 2, 3} and develop social capital and social cohesion throughout communities^{1, 4, 5, 6, 7}. Such programs may also promote community involvement^{4, 5, 7, 8}. Available evidence suggests community arts programs and creative activities can improve mental health for participants^{2, 9, 10}, including delinquent youth¹¹. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

UK- and Canada-based studies demonstrate that group-based community visual arts and music programs may improve physical and mental health outcomes and increase community connections among older adults^{10, 12, 13}. A study of Porch Light, a Philadelphia-based mural art project, suggests that residents are more likely to perceive high levels of neighborhood cohesion and trust, and less likely to stigmatize individuals with mental illness in neighborhoods with participatory art projects⁶.

Creative extracurricular activities such as music, dance, drama, and visual arts, frequently part of community arts programs, can improve self-confidence and self-esteem, and increase positive behaviors among participating children and adolescents¹⁴. Community-centered arts and culture efforts are recommended to increase social cohesion and cultural assets for communities of color and with low incomes¹⁵. New York City-based studies suggest that neighborhoods with low incomes and high levels of racial diversity can experience the greatest social well-being and health benefits from arts programs and

cultural resources; however, neighborhoods with low incomes have relatively fewer resources available than those with higher incomes^{16, 17}.

A case study suggests community arts activities can be incorporated in reentry services to improve the well-being of individuals formerly incarcerated¹⁸. Arts-based programming in the public safety sector can promote empathy, understanding, and well-being, and increase quality of place in communities; such community arts programming can be designed to support prevention efforts (e.g., engaging law enforcement and community members in community theatre) or rehabilitation and reintegration efforts (e.g., horticultural programs in correctional facilities and fine arts career training for people formerly incarcerated)¹⁹.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

Implementation Examples

Several states have Arts Boards that promote art in the community programs²⁰. Examples of community art programs include Free City Mural Festival in Flint, MI²¹, Mill Hill Arts Village activities in Macon, GA²², and Groundswell in New York City²³. The Woodland Indian Arts Initiative in Wisconsin²⁴ and the Native Arts Initiative²⁵ are examples of efforts to support traditional arts and cultural assets in Native American communities. As of June 2020, the City of Boulder Office of Arts and Culture launched the Creative Neighborhood: COVID-19 Work Projects, which has funded 66 art projects to support artists and help neighbors stay connected and recover²⁶.



Project HEAL (Health. Equity. Art. Learning.) is a cultural blueprint for both urban and rural communities that is designed to activate the potential of community arts and cultural assets to support health, well-being, capacity and engagement in communities⁷. Animating Democracy provides guides²⁷ and a framework²⁸ of its Arts for Change project that promotes appreciation of creative work among artists, funders, and community members at the intersection of participatory arts and civic engagement, community development, and justice. In the United Kingdom, Connected Communities, a cross-research council program led by the Arts and Humanities Research Council, promotes participatory arts projects to enhance quality of place in communities²⁹.

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/community-arts-programs>

59. Government Employee Pay Supplements

LFUCG Project ID: AR_GOVPAY_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_GOVPAY_2022

Project Budget: \$4,436,928

Project Status: **Completed**

Cumulative Obligation: \$4,436,928

Cumulative Expenditure: \$4,436,928

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

This project included one-time supplement pay of \$3,500 to LFUCG employees not eligible for ARPA Premium Pay. This was necessary to support retention of staff at a time when loss of revenue has prevented adequate salary increases, making it difficult to retain staff in most divisions.



LEXINGTON



Number of Employees Receiving Pay Supplements	952
---	-----



60. Critical Government Needs – Broadband

LFUCG Project ID: AR_BRDBAND_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_BRDBAND_2022

Project Budget: \$30,000

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$25,865

Cumulative Expenditure: \$11,733

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

This project consists of two projects.

The first project (\$10k) funded broadband access and upgrade to approximately 250 households on the northern Fayette County border. This will allow these households to participate in the Spectrum broadband build taking place in Scott County.

The second project (\$20k) wrote and issued an RFP for the Central KY Regional Counties (Fayette, Madison, Clark, Bourbon, Scott, Jessamine, Woodford).

The LFUCG has previously and continuously facilitated the expansion of broadband by private companies to residents and therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/chief-information-officer>

Use of Evidence

Broadband initiatives for unserved and underserved areas

Broadband is high-speed internet access that is faster than dial-up and ready for use immediately. Broadband speed and bandwidth vary¹ but can be measured as download/upload speed in megabits per second (Mbps)². Broadband transmission technologies include digital subscriber line (DSL), cable modem, fiber, wireless, satellite, and broadband over power lines (BPL)³. Comprehensive broadband initiatives consider geographic, social, and economic factors to improve broadband infrastructure and increase broadband adoption, including the cost of internet service, devices, and digital literacy skills⁴. There are many ways for states to increase broadband availability and adoption in unserved and underserved areas, such as grants and loans to internet service providers, nonprofit utility cooperatives, and local governments⁵. Definitions of unserved and underserved areas vary by state⁶. In the US,



LEXINGTON
*Chief Information
Officer*

approximately 24 million people live in digital deserts without broadband access, including approximately 19 million people in rural areas and 1.4 million people living on Tribal lands⁷.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased broadband adoption
Increased labor force participation

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased access to care
Increased access to mental health services
Increased financial stability
Improved health outcomes
Improved mental health
Improved well-being
Increased social connectedness

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that broadband initiatives for unserved and underserved areas increase broadband adoption and can increase labor market participation, with larger effects for people living in rural areas^{8,9} and for women, especially those with college educations¹⁰. Moving to higher speed broadband (100 Mbps) may have a greater positive effect on employment rates than lower speeds⁸. Additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Broadband initiatives for unserved and underserved areas are a suggested strategy to support equitable access to health-promoting services, including telemedicine, online social services, distance education, online groceries, ecommerce, online social support, civic engagement opportunities, and more^{3,4,7,11}. Increased broadband access is associated with improved health outcomes, including reduced rates of smoking and diabetes diagnoses and increased consumption of fruits and vegetables¹². Experts suggest broadband access

increases access to health care via telemedicine, improves economic stability through opportunities for telework and the ability to search for jobs and file for unemployment benefits, increases food access with online grocery shopping and the ability to see food pantry hours or mobile market schedules, increases social support with videoconferencing opportunities for family, friends, faith groups, and support groups, and increases education providing access to online classes and virtual learning opportunities¹¹. One study associates broadband access with increased telemedicine use in rural counties¹³. For adults in urban settings, limited neighborhood broadband internet access is associated with lower likelihood of using hospitals' patient portals¹⁴.

Experts suggest broadband access is a super determinant of health⁷ because disparities in access to broadband exacerbate economic, social, education, and health inequalities^{3,4,11}. Surveys identify



significant existing disparities in broadband access across the U.S. by age, gender, income, and geography and in overall internet access (which includes dial-up and cell phone access) by race and ethnicity, education, age, gender, and income¹⁵. A strong digital divide exists between urban and suburban areas with high incomes and rural and urban areas with low incomes^{3,4}. The digital divide also appears to include a connectivity gap, where rural users experience lower speed internet connections than more urban users¹⁶. Residents of Tribal lands report predominantly using smart phones to access the internet, as well as using public Wi-Fi or by going to a friend or relative's house¹⁷.

A report on broadband access in Wisconsin finds that household income may affect access more than rurality¹⁸. Nationally, households earning less than \$20,000 have a broadband adoption rate of 62%, lower than the average state broadband adoption rate of 84%. State by state broadband adoption rates vary; states with the lowest adoption also have populations with the lowest median incomes, many communities of color, and high percentages of their populations living in rural areas. In general, the average majority white neighborhood has a broadband adoption rate of almost 84%, while the average majority Black neighborhood has a broadband adoption rate of just over 67%¹⁹.

Broadband initiatives can support digital inclusion with efforts to provide low-cost broadband, to promote digital literacy and offer digital software and hardware training at convenient locations for community members, to support the availability of low-cost computers and technical support, and to establish public access computing centers. Providing access to low-cost internet is the necessary first step for increasing adoption and meaningful broadband use in most unserved or underserved communities²⁰. Broadband access initiatives can also include fiber, high-capacity fixed wireless to the home, and affordable high-speed mobile to improve connection options in underserved areas¹⁷.

In some areas, internet service may be widely available; however, the affordability of service and devices, device maintenance, and skills for older residents and those with lower incomes remain challenges to meaningful broadband adoption. Discounted internet service plans from private providers may also be underutilized by eligible community members²¹. Efforts to expand broadband access can be coupled with broadband adoption initiatives to strengthen digital literacy and raise awareness of broadband's benefits. Experts suggest collaborating with university extension programs, churches, libraries, nonprofits, and other groups with strong on-the-ground networks². Prioritizing equity and universal access in initiatives can limit the negative effects of competing political, social, and commercial priorities on implementation efforts²².

State-level funding programs have a positive significant impact on general and fiber broadband availability while municipal or cooperative restrictions have a negative impact on availability, in urban and rural areas²³. An analysis of state-level policies regulating municipally owned networks finds that such policies may erect significant barriers to entry and recommends public-private partnership in building networks and offering service²⁴. One comparison finds municipally owned networks offering fiber-to-the-home service had lower pricing when the service costs and fees were averaged over four years, compared to private competitors²⁵.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities.

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/broadband-initiatives-for-unserved-and-underserved-areas>

61. Coldstream Industrial Park Campus Phases I and II Design, Phase I Infrastructure

LFUCG Project ID: AR_CLDSTRM_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: ColdStream

Project Budget: \$9,500,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

This project provides for design of the entire site (Phases I and II) and all necessary tasks to install site improvements and infrastructure to support the sale and development of light industrial (I-1) land within Phase I of the Coldstream 200-acre site.


Improvements, consistent with the Masterplan presented to the Urban County Council and the Industrial Authority Board, include earthwork, utilities, roadway improvements, multi-use trails and sidewalks, landscaping, required structures, signage/wayfinding, street lighting, water quality/stormwater improvements, and signalized intersection improvements adjacent to the site.

This project is located adjacent to single- and multi-family residential development and is directly adjacent and contiguous to multi-family affordable housing that is currently under construction. It will provide living-wage jobs directly adjacent to where people live.

The project (combined - all phases) will provide a projected 2,112 jobs (\$96 million in living-wage employment), will promote a healthy environment through the provision of open space and on-site trails as well as connectivity to the Legacy Trail, will demonstrate a significant commitment to both public and private investment in the



LEXINGTON
Economic Partnership



community, and provides access to transportation options through both proximity and the provision of transit access.

Equitable outcomes will be achieved through the advancement of economic opportunity and by practicing meaningful community engagement throughout the Masterplanning and development process.

This property is owned by the LFUCG and the public infrastructure build-out is the responsibility of the LFUCG and therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/coldstream-campus>



62. Bike and Pedestrian Design – Town Branch Trail

LFUCG Project ID: AR_TBTRAIL_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: Bike/Ped

Project Budget: \$67,500

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$67,500

Cumulative Expenditure: \$15,000

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

The project design a parking area and a bike/pedestrian connection where there is none, allowing those without vehicles to travel safely from the parking area to the Town Branch Trail.

The project is design only.

The LFUCG is responsible for trail construction and pedestrian facilities on public land and therefore, this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/town-branch-trail>

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/engineering>

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/bikewalk-lexington>

Use of Evidence


Scientifically Supported

Strategies with this rating are most likely to make a difference. These strategies have been tested in many robust studies with consistently positive results.

Health Factors
Housing and Transit
Diet and Exercise
Decision Makers
Government



LEXINGTON
Engineering



Community Development

Streetscape design improvements enable pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, and motorists to share and use the street, accommodating the needs of all users. Improvements to streetscape design can include increased street lighting, enhanced street landscaping and street furniture, increased sidewalk coverage and connectivity of pedestrian walkways, bicycling infrastructure, street crossing safety features, and traffic calming measures. Streetscape design improvement projects typically include elements from more than one of these categories; these projects can be implemented incrementally or comprehensively, and are often part of community-level Complete Streets policies¹.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased physical activity
Increased pedestrian and cyclist safety

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased active transportation
Reduced obesity rates
Improved sense of community
Improved neighborhood safety
Reduced stress
Reduced vehicle miles traveled



Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that streetscape design improvements, often implemented via Complete Streets initiatives, increase physical activity, particularly as part of a multi-component land use approach^{2,3,4}. Street crossing safety features and traffic calming measures, often components of streetscape design improvements, have also been shown to reduce traffic speed and increase pedestrian and cyclist safety^{2,5,6,7,8,9,10,11}.

Street-scale urban design projects can provide safer, more inviting environments for outdoor physical activities². Features such as street furniture, street-facing windows, and active street frontages are also associated with increased pedestrian street use¹², and traffic calming features can increase walking and bicycling^{13,14}. Living in neighborhoods with greater street connectivity, more streetlights and bike paths, and related environmental characteristics is associated with higher levels of walking, increased physical activity, and lower rates of overweight and obesity^{15,16,17}. Environmental improvements that make neighborhoods more walkable are also associated with lower body mass indexes (BMIs) among children¹⁸.

Connected sidewalks, street crossing safety features, and bicycle lanes can reduce injury risk for pedestrians and cyclists¹⁶. Narrower streetscapes may encourage slower driving than large, open streetscapes, improving both livability and safety¹⁹. Streetscape design improvements may also improve green space, increase sense of community, and reduce crime and stress². A New York City-based study, for example, suggests that streetscape design elements, especially tree canopy coverage, increase perceptions of safety²⁰.

Complete Streets with light rail public transit can increase physical activity for new riders²¹. Efforts to connect different forms of transit and enhance pedestrian and bicycle commuting infrastructure may encourage transit use and help riders easily travel the last mile to a destination²².

Replacing automotive trips with biking and walking can reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to climate change^{23, 24}. Activity friendly environments such as streetscapes with greater street connectivity and access, more greenery and trees, proximity to parks, and mixed land use can also increase environmental sustainability and enhance economic activity^{25, 26}, and may increase employment and nearby property values²⁷.

Research suggests that clear initiative definition, efforts to educate the public, advocates, and decision-makers, and strong and diverse networks of supporters can help further adoption of local Complete Streets policies²⁸.



Costs for infrastructure improvements vary significantly both by locale and type of improvement, for example the median cost is \$340 for a striped crosswalk, \$16 per linear foot for an asphalt sidewalk, and \$89,470 per mile for a bike lane²⁹. Streetscape design improvements typically have a lower cost per mile than the cost per mile for an average new arterial street project²⁷.

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/complete-streets-streetscape-design-initiatives>

63. Bike and Pedestrian Design – Brighton Trail

LFUCG Project ID: AR_BRGHTN_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_BRGHTN_2022

Project Budget: \$132,500

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

The project will design a shared use trail to connect the existing trail in Liberty Park to the sidewalk on the east side of Liberty Road.

The project is design only.

The LFUCG is responsible for trail construction and pedestrian facilities on public land and therefore, this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/brighton-east-rail-trail>

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/engineering>

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/bikewalk-lexington>

Use of Evidence

Scientifically Supported

Strategies with this rating are most likely to make a difference. These strategies have been tested in many robust studies with consistently positive results.

Health Factors
Housing and Transit
Diet and Exercise
Decision Makers
Government
Community Development



LEXINGTON
Engineering




Streetscape design improvements enable pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, and motorists to share and use the street, accommodating the needs of all users. Improvements to streetscape design can include increased street lighting, enhanced street landscaping and street furniture, increased sidewalk coverage and connectivity of pedestrian walkways, bicycling infrastructure, street crossing safety features, and traffic calming measures. Streetscape design improvement projects typically include elements from more than one of these categories; these projects can be implemented incrementally or comprehensively, and are often part of community-level Complete Streets policies¹.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased physical activity
Increased pedestrian and cyclist safety

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased active transportation
Reduced obesity rates
Improved sense of community
Improved neighborhood safety
Reduced stress
Reduced vehicle miles traveled



Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that streetscape design improvements, often implemented via Complete Streets initiatives, increase physical activity, particularly as part of a multi-component land use approach^{2,3,4}. Street crossing safety features and traffic calming measures, often components of streetscape design improvements, have also been shown to reduce traffic speed and increase pedestrian and cyclist safety^{2,5,6,7,8,9,10,11}.

Street-scale urban design projects can provide safer, more inviting environments for outdoor physical activities². Features such as street furniture, street-facing windows, and active street frontages are also associated with increased pedestrian street use¹², and traffic calming features can increase walking and bicycling^{13,14}. Living in neighborhoods with greater street connectivity, more streetlights and bike paths, and related environmental characteristics is associated with higher levels of walking, increased physical activity, and lower rates of overweight and obesity^{15,16,17}. Environmental improvements that make neighborhoods more walkable are also associated with lower body mass indexes (BMIs) among children¹⁸.

Connected sidewalks, street crossing safety features, and bicycle lanes can reduce injury risk for pedestrians and cyclists¹⁶. Narrower streetscapes may encourage slower driving than large, open streetscapes, improving both livability and safety¹⁹. Streetscape design improvements may also improve green space, increase sense of community, and reduce crime and stress². A New York City-based study, for example, suggests that streetscape design elements, especially tree canopy coverage, increase perceptions of safety²⁰.

Complete Streets with light rail public transit can increase physical activity for new riders²¹. Efforts to connect different forms of transit and enhance pedestrian and bicycle commuting infrastructure may encourage transit use and help riders easily travel the last mile to a destination²².

Replacing automotive trips with biking and walking can reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to climate change^{23, 24}. Activity friendly environments such as streetscapes with greater street connectivity and access, more greenery and trees, proximity to parks, and mixed land use can also increase environmental sustainability and enhance economic activity^{25, 26}, and may increase employment and nearby property values²⁷.

Research suggests that clear initiative definition, efforts to educate the public, advocates, and decision-makers, and strong and diverse networks of supporters can help further adoption of local Complete Streets policies²⁸.

Costs for infrastructure improvements vary significantly both by locale and type of improvement, for example the median cost is \$340 for a striped crosswalk, \$16 per linear foot for an asphalt sidewalk, and \$89,470 per mile for a bike lane²⁹. Streetscape design improvements typically have a lower cost per mile than the cost per mile for an average new arterial street project²⁷.



<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/complete-streets-streetscape-design-initiatives>

64. Bike and Pedestrian Design – Harrodsburg Road Trail

LFUCG Project ID: AR_HRRDTRL_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_HRRDTRIL_2022

Project Budget: \$1,040,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

The project designs a shared use path along Harrodsburg Road from Beaumont Centre Parkway to Dogwood Trace Boulevard.

The project is design only.

- Harrodsburg Rd trail 1, from Man O War to Dogwood Trace (1.4 miles)
- Harrodsburg Rd trail 2, from Man O War to Corporate Dr (1.2 miles)

The LFUCG is responsible for trail construction and pedestrian facilities on public land and therefore, this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/engineering>

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/bikewalk-lexington>

Use of Evidence

Scientifically Supported

Strategies with this rating are most likely to make a difference. These strategies have been tested in many robust studies with consistently positive results.

Health Factors
Housing and Transit



LEXINGTON
Engineering



Diet and Exercise
Decision Makers
Government
Community Development

Streetscape design improvements enable pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, and motorists to share and use the street, accommodating the needs of all users. Improvements to streetscape design can include increased street lighting, enhanced street landscaping and street furniture, increased sidewalk coverage and connectivity of pedestrian walkways, bicycling infrastructure, street crossing safety features, and traffic calming measures. Streetscape design improvement projects typically include elements from more than one of these categories; these projects can be implemented incrementally or comprehensively, and are often part of community-level Complete Streets policies¹.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased physical activity
Increased pedestrian and cyclist safety

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased active transportation
Reduced obesity rates
Improved sense of community
Improved neighborhood safety
Reduced stress
Reduced vehicle miles traveled



Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that streetscape design improvements, often implemented via Complete Streets initiatives, increase physical activity, particularly as part of a multi-component land use approach^{2, 3, 4}. Street crossing safety features and traffic calming measures, often components of streetscape design improvements, have also been shown to reduce traffic speed and increase pedestrian and cyclist safety^{2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11}.

Street-scale urban design projects can provide safer, more inviting environments for outdoor physical activities². Features such as street furniture, street-facing windows, and active street frontages are also associated with increased pedestrian street use¹², and traffic calming features can increase walking and bicycling^{13, 14}. Living in neighborhoods with greater street connectivity, more streetlights and bike paths, and related environmental characteristics is associated with higher levels of walking, increased physical activity, and lower rates of overweight and obesity^{15, 16, 17}. Environmental improvements that make neighborhoods more walkable are also associated with lower body mass indexes (BMIs) among children¹⁸.

Connected sidewalks, street crossing safety features, and bicycle lanes can reduce injury risk for pedestrians and cyclists¹⁶. Narrower streetscapes may encourage slower driving than large, open streetscapes, improving both livability and safety¹⁹. Streetscape design improvements may also improve

green space, increase sense of community, and reduce crime and stress². A New York City-based study, for example, suggests that streetscape design elements, especially tree canopy coverage, increase perceptions of safety²⁰.

Complete Streets with light rail public transit can increase physical activity for new riders²¹. Efforts to connect different forms of transit and enhance pedestrian and bicycle commuting infrastructure may encourage transit use and help riders easily travel the last mile to a destination²².

Replacing automotive trips with biking and walking can reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to climate change^{23, 24}. Activity friendly environments such as streetscapes with greater street connectivity and access, more greenery and trees, proximity to parks, and mixed land use can also increase environmental sustainability and enhance economic activity^{25, 26}, and may increase employment and nearby property values²⁷.

Research suggests that clear initiative definition, efforts to educate the public, advocates, and decision-makers, and strong and diverse networks of supporters can help further adoption of local Complete Streets policies²⁸.

Costs for infrastructure improvements vary significantly both by locale and type of improvement, for example the median cost is \$340 for a striped crosswalk, \$16 per linear foot for an asphalt sidewalk, and \$89,470 per mile for a bike lane²⁹. Streetscape design improvements typically have a lower cost per mile than the cost per mile for an average new arterial street project²⁷.

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/complete-streets-streetscape-design-initiatives>

65. Fire Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA)

LFUCG Project ID: AR_FSCBA_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: SCBA

Project Budget: \$3,100,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

The project is for the complete replacement of the Fire and Emergency Service's SCBAs. A SCBA is a device worn to provide breathable air in an atmosphere that is immediately dangerous to life or health.

NFPA 1852: Standard on Selection, Care, and Maintenance of Open-Circuit Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus (SCBA) establishes requirements for the selection, care, and maintenance of self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) as required to reduce health and safety risks. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and lost revenue, the purchase of SCBAs has been delayed. Currently, the SCBAs are three standards behind, and the manufacturer no longer supports parts. Out of date equipment can result in significant health issues and potential deaths. The ARPA funding allows recipients experiencing budget shortfalls to use payments to avoid cuts to government services and, thus, enables state, local, and tribal governments to continue to provide valuable services.

This project will provide the vital safety equipment needed to deliver the highest level of response to all citizens of Lexington and Fayette County, regardless of their race, ethnicity, or socioeconomic status.

The LFUCG provides Fire and Emergency Services to their residents. The SCBA's are required for firefighters and therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.



LEXINGTON
Public Safety



<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/fire-emergency-services>

66. Public Safety Fleet

LFUCG Project ID: AR_PDFLEET_2022

Treasury Project ID: PoliceFleet

Project Budget: \$1,500,000

Project Status: Completed 50% or More

Cumulative Obligation: \$1,414,472

Cumulative Expenditure: \$1,158,577

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

Purchase and fit-up of 27 Chevrolet Tahoes for Division of Police use.

The LFUCG provides Police protection to its residents. Fleet are required for use by the Division of Police and therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/police>

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/facilities-and-fleet-management>



LEXINGTON
Public Safety



67. Code Enforcement Grants for Residents with Low Income FY 2022

LFUCG Project ID: AR_CE_HAP_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: CodeGrantFY22

Project Budget: \$200,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Evidence Based Funding Total: \$200,000

Equity Based Funding Total: \$200,000

Project Overview

The FY2022 Adopted Budget included ARPA funding to design and administer a pilot funding program, targeted towards homeowners with low income that have received a notice of violation from the City's Division of Code Enforcement.

The Mayor's Commission for Racial Justice & Equality issued a report in October 2020 that included a recommendation that "the Housing Code of the City of Lexington be reimagined into a Code Agency that places the health, well-being, and protection of residents (especially the most vulnerable) and neighborhoods as its mission." As part of this recommendation, it was envisioned that the agency would work with residents and neighborhoods to create and sustain flourishing communities rather than a punitive financial tactic. In reviewing the top ten code violations issued within the LFUCG area, it was noted that the list included items like repairing cornices, cleaning veneer that is peeling or unsightly, and replacing broken or rotted window casings. LFUCG designed a funding program that provides an avenue for assistance whereby homeowners with low-income can seek assistance to correct certain identified code violations.



LEXINGTON
Housing, Advocacy & Community Development

The LFUCG is responsible for enforcing the Housing Codes in Lexington and, therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/code-enforcement>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Housing rehabilitation loan & grant programs ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Housing rehabilitation loan and grant programs provide funding to repair, improve, or modernize dwellings, and remove health or safety hazards from those dwellings. Programs primarily serve families with low and median incomes, and may prioritize services for households with vulnerable members such as young children and elderly adults. These programs can adopt a comprehensive housing improvement strategy or focus on individual housing components such as heating and insulation, plumbing, structural concerns, lead, asbestos, or mold. Programs can be focused at local, state, and federal levels¹. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Home
Clearinghouse rating: Scientifically Supported	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Improved <u>housing</u> conditions Improved health outcomes Improved mental health	Target populations: Not specified

Housing rehabilitation loan and grant programs provide funding to repair, improve, or modernize dwellings, and remove health or safety hazards from those dwellings. Programs primarily serve families with low and median incomes, and may prioritize services for households with vulnerable members such as young children and elderly adults. These programs can adopt a comprehensive housing improvement strategy or focus on individual housing components such as heating and insulation, plumbing, structural concerns, lead, asbestos, or mold. Programs can be focused at local, state, and federal levels¹.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Improved housing conditions
Improved health outcomes
Improved mental health

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased energy efficiency
Reduced hospital utilization
Reduced absenteeism
Improved neighborhood quality
Increased neighborhood stability

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that housing rehabilitation loan and grant programs enable housing improvements that result in health benefits, especially when improvements focus on increasing warmth through insulation and energy efficiency measures^{2,3,4,5}. Housing improvements that increase warmth have shown consistently positive effects on respiratory outcomes, overall physical and mental health, and measures of well-being such as self-rated general health^{2,4}.

Housing improvements have also been shown to reduce children's absences from school, adult absences from work, doctor's visits, and hospitalizations^{2,4}. Housing rehabilitation projects that comply with green standards can also improve health outcomes such as asthma, sinusitis, and chronic bronchitis symptoms. Green standards require use of sustainable building products and design elements that reduce moisture, mold, pests, and radon, and improve air quality^{6,7}. Building deficits such as inadequate heating and ventilation, lead paint, pest infestation, and safety hazards are associated with negative health outcomes such as serious injuries, chronic respiratory illnesses, poor mental health, and the spread of infectious diseases^{8,9}.

Programs that designate funds for families and individuals with low incomes can decrease disparities in access to quality housing and housing-related health outcomes⁶. Housing rehabilitation efforts in marginalized and declining neighborhoods may also have positive effects on neighborhood quality and stability^{10,11}. A Milwaukee-based study suggests that housing rehabilitation can lead to appreciation for the renovated house as well as positive neighborhood effects, increasing nearby property values and neighborhood stabilization. Although appreciation is more likely to occur in areas with higher poverty rates, it does not appear to be associated with displacement¹².

Housing rehabilitation efforts that increase property values may help reduce the racial wealth divide, since a significant part of the racial wealth divide at all income levels relates to lower homeownership rates and lower home values for people of color^{13,14,15}. Black households experience the most severe energy insecurity, which experts suggest may be a product of residential segregation and housing discrimination^{16,17}. Housing rehabilitation efforts that include weatherization assistance and energy efficient home improvements may improve the quality and value of homes, which supports potential wealth accumulation, addresses the disproportionate burden of energy insecurity on Black households, and helps reduce the racial wealth divide¹⁷.

Partnerships between non-profit organizations and government agencies that coordinate housing rehabilitation, weatherization, and energy conservation programs may avoid redundancies in procedure and streamline application, funding, and inspection processes¹⁸. Pooling resources can also support a coordinated approach to addressing health, safety, and energy inefficiencies¹⁹. However, careful coordination is needed to prevent conflicting funding timelines and renovation schedule requirements among programs¹⁸.

Housing rehabilitation grants are especially beneficial for individuals whose credit scores do not qualify them for loans, and for older adults with lower incomes who are hesitant to take on debt to improve the quality of their housing and accept loans that their children may have to repay¹⁸. An evaluation of a Boston-based program which provides grants and zero-interest, deferred loans (i.e., due when the house is sold following a move or death) to qualifying older adults found that funds were used for critical repairs such as heating systems, improving housing conditions, and offering older homeowners the opportunity to age in place²⁰.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/housing-rehabilitation-loan-grant-programs>

68. Code Enforcement Grants for Residents with Low Income FY 2023

LFUCG Project ID: AR_CE_HAP_2023

Treasury Portal Project ID: CodeGrantFY23

Project Budget: \$200,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON

*Housing, Advocacy &
Community Development*

Project Overview

The FY2022 Adopted Budget included ARPA funding to design and administer a pilot funding program, targeted towards homeowners with low income that have received a notice of violation from the City's Division of Code Enforcement.

The Mayor's Commission for Racial Justice & Equality issued a report in October 2020 that included a recommendation that "the Housing Code of the City of Lexington be reimagined into a Code Agency that places the health, well-being, and protection of residents (especially the most vulnerable) and neighborhoods as its mission." As part of this recommendation, it was envisioned that the agency would work with residents and neighborhoods to create and sustain flourishing communities rather than a punitive financial tactic. In reviewing the top ten code violations issued within the LFUCG area, it was noted that the list included items like repairing cornices, cleaning veneer that is peeling or unsightly, and replacing broken or rotted window casings. LFUCG will design a funding program that will provide an avenue for assistance whereby homeowners with low-income can seek assistance to correct certain identified code violations.

The LFUCG is responsible for enforcing Housing Code in Lexington and, therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/code-enforcement>

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Housing rehabilitation loan & grant programs ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Housing rehabilitation loan and grant programs provide funding to repair, improve, or modernize dwellings, and remove health or safety hazards from those dwellings. Programs primarily serve families with low and median incomes, and may prioritize services for households with vulnerable members such as young children and elderly adults. These programs can adopt a comprehensive housing improvement strategy or focus on individual housing components such as heating and insulation, plumbing, structural concerns, lead, asbestos, or mold. Programs can be focused at local, state, and federal levels¹. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Home
Clearinghouse rating: Scientifically Supported	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Improved <u>housing</u> conditions Improved health outcomes Improved mental health	Target populations: Not specified

Housing rehabilitation loan and grant programs provide funding to repair, improve, or modernize dwellings, and remove health or safety hazards from those dwellings. Programs primarily serve families with low and median incomes, and may prioritize services for households with vulnerable members such as young children and elderly adults. These programs can adopt a comprehensive housing improvement strategy or focus on individual housing components such as heating and insulation, plumbing, structural concerns, lead, asbestos, or mold. Programs can be focused at local, state, and federal levels¹.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Improved housing conditions
Improved health outcomes
Improved mental health

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased energy efficiency
Reduced hospital utilization
Reduced absenteeism
Improved neighborhood quality
Increased neighborhood stability

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that housing rehabilitation loan and grant programs enable housing improvements that result in health benefits, especially when improvements focus on increasing warmth

through insulation and energy efficiency measures^{2,3,4,5}. Housing improvements that increase warmth have shown consistently positive effects on respiratory outcomes, overall physical and mental health, and measures of well-being such as self-rated general health^{2,4}.

Housing improvements have also been shown to reduce children's absences from school, adult absences from work, doctor's visits, and hospitalizations^{2,4}. Housing rehabilitation projects that comply with green standards can also improve health outcomes such as asthma, sinusitis, and chronic bronchitis symptoms. Green standards require use of sustainable building products and design elements that reduce moisture, mold, pests, and radon, and improve air quality^{6,7}. Building deficits such as inadequate heating and ventilation, lead paint, pest infestation, and safety hazards are associated with negative health outcomes such as serious injuries, chronic respiratory illnesses, poor mental health, and the spread of infectious diseases^{8,9}.

Programs that designate funds for families and individuals with low incomes can decrease disparities in access to quality housing and housing-related health outcomes⁶. Housing rehabilitation efforts in marginalized and declining neighborhoods may also have positive effects on neighborhood quality and stability^{10,11}. A Milwaukee-based study suggests that housing rehabilitation can lead to appreciation for the renovated house as well as positive neighborhood effects, increasing nearby property values and neighborhood stabilization. Although appreciation is more likely to occur in areas with higher poverty rates, it does not appear to be associated with displacement¹².

Housing rehabilitation efforts that increase property values may help reduce the racial wealth divide, since a significant part of the racial wealth divide at all income levels relates to lower homeownership rates and lower home values for people of color^{13,14,15}. Black households experience the most severe energy insecurity, which experts suggest may be a product of residential segregation and housing discrimination^{16,17}. Housing rehabilitation efforts that include weatherization assistance and energy efficient home improvements may improve the quality and value of homes, which supports potential wealth accumulation, addresses the disproportionate burden of energy insecurity on Black households, and helps reduce the racial wealth divide¹⁷.

Partnerships between non-profit organizations and government agencies that coordinate housing rehabilitation, weatherization, and energy conservation programs may avoid redundancies in procedure and streamline application, funding, and inspection processes¹⁸. Pooling resources can also support a coordinated approach to addressing health, safety, and energy inefficiencies¹⁹. However, careful coordination is needed to prevent conflicting funding timelines and renovation schedule requirements among programs¹⁸.

Housing rehabilitation grants are especially beneficial for individuals whose credit scores do not qualify them for loans, and for older adults with lower incomes who are hesitant to take on debt to improve the quality of their housing and accept loans that their children may have to repay¹⁸. An evaluation of a Boston-based program which provides grants and zero-interest, deferred loans (i.e., due when the house is sold following a move or death) to qualifying older adults found that funds were used for critical repairs such as heating systems, improving housing conditions, and offering older homeowners the opportunity to age in place²⁰.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/housing-rehabilitation-loan-grant-programs>

69. Code Enforcement Grants for Residents with Low Income FY 2024

LFUCG Project ID: TBD

Treasury Portal Project ID: CodeGrantFY24

Project Budget: \$200,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

The FY2022 Adopted Budget included ARPA funding to design and administer a pilot funding program, targeted towards homeowners with low income that have received a notice of violation from the City's Division of Code Enforcement.

The Mayor's Commission for Racial Justice & Equality issued a report in October 2020 that included a recommendation that "the Housing Code of the City of Lexington be reimagined into a Code Agency that places the health, well-being, and protection of residents (especially the most vulnerable) and neighborhoods as its mission." As part of this recommendation, it was envisioned that the agency would work with residents and neighborhoods to create and sustain flourishing communities rather than a punitive financial tactic. In reviewing the top ten code violations issued within the LFUCG area, it was noted that the list included items like repairing cornices, cleaning veneer that is peeling or unsightly, and replacing broken or rotted window casings. LFUCG will design a funding program that will provide an avenue for assistance whereby homeowners with low-income can seek assistance to correct certain identified code violations.

The LFUCG is responsible for enforcing Housing Code in Lexington and, therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/code-enforcement>



LEXINGTON
*Housing, Advocacy &
Community Development*

Use of Evidence

Results First Clearinghouse Findings

Housing rehabilitation loan & grant programs ✕

Clearinghouse: ●

Housing rehabilitation loan and grant programs provide funding to repair, improve, or modernize dwellings, and remove health or safety hazards from those dwellings. Programs primarily serve families with low and median incomes, and may prioritize services for households with vulnerable members such as young children and elderly adults. These programs can adopt a comprehensive housing improvement strategy or focus on individual housing components such as heating and insulation, plumbing, structural concerns, lead, asbestos, or mold. Programs can be focused at local, state, and federal levels¹. [Read less](#)

Clearinghouse: What Works for Health	Settings: Home
Clearinghouse rating: Scientifically Supported	Ages: Not specified
Outcomes: Improved <u>housing</u> conditions Improved health outcomes Improved mental health	Target populations: Not specified

Housing rehabilitation loan and grant programs provide funding to repair, improve, or modernize dwellings, and remove health or safety hazards from those dwellings. Programs primarily serve families with low and median incomes, and may prioritize services for households with vulnerable members such as young children and elderly adults. These programs can adopt a comprehensive housing improvement strategy or focus on individual housing components such as heating and insulation, plumbing, structural concerns, lead, asbestos, or mold. Programs can be focused at local, state, and federal levels¹.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Improved housing conditions
Improved health outcomes
Improved mental health

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased energy efficiency
Reduced hospital utilization
Reduced absenteeism
Improved neighborhood quality
Increased neighborhood stability

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is strong evidence that housing rehabilitation loan and grant programs enable housing improvements that result in health benefits, especially when improvements focus on increasing warmth

through insulation and energy efficiency measures^{2,3,4,5}. Housing improvements that increase warmth have shown consistently positive effects on respiratory outcomes, overall physical and mental health, and measures of well-being such as self-rated general health^{2,4}.

Housing improvements have also been shown to reduce children's absences from school, adult absences from work, doctor's visits, and hospitalizations^{2,4}. Housing rehabilitation projects that comply with green standards can also improve health outcomes such as asthma, sinusitis, and chronic bronchitis symptoms. Green standards require use of sustainable building products and design elements that reduce moisture, mold, pests, and radon, and improve air quality^{6,7}. Building deficits such as inadequate heating and ventilation, lead paint, pest infestation, and safety hazards are associated with negative health outcomes such as serious injuries, chronic respiratory illnesses, poor mental health, and the spread of infectious diseases^{8,9}.

Programs that designate funds for families and individuals with low incomes can decrease disparities in access to quality housing and housing-related health outcomes⁶. Housing rehabilitation efforts in marginalized and declining neighborhoods may also have positive effects on neighborhood quality and stability^{10,11}. A Milwaukee-based study suggests that housing rehabilitation can lead to appreciation for the renovated house as well as positive neighborhood effects, increasing nearby property values and neighborhood stabilization. Although appreciation is more likely to occur in areas with higher poverty rates, it does not appear to be associated with displacement¹².

Housing rehabilitation efforts that increase property values may help reduce the racial wealth divide, since a significant part of the racial wealth divide at all income levels relates to lower homeownership rates and lower home values for people of color^{13,14,15}. Black households experience the most severe energy insecurity, which experts suggest may be a product of residential segregation and housing discrimination^{16,17}. Housing rehabilitation efforts that include weatherization assistance and energy efficient home improvements may improve the quality and value of homes, which supports potential wealth accumulation, addresses the disproportionate burden of energy insecurity on Black households, and helps reduce the racial wealth divide¹⁷.

Partnerships between non-profit organizations and government agencies that coordinate housing rehabilitation, weatherization, and energy conservation programs may avoid redundancies in procedure and streamline application, funding, and inspection processes¹⁸. Pooling resources can also support a coordinated approach to addressing health, safety, and energy inefficiencies¹⁹. However, careful coordination is needed to prevent conflicting funding timelines and renovation schedule requirements among programs¹⁸.

Housing rehabilitation grants are especially beneficial for individuals whose credit scores do not qualify them for loans, and for older adults with lower incomes who are hesitant to take on debt to improve the quality of their housing and accept loans that their children may have to repay¹⁸. An evaluation of a Boston-based program which provides grants and zero-interest, deferred loans (i.e., due when the house is sold following a move or death) to qualifying older adults found that funds were used for critical repairs such as heating systems, improving housing conditions, and offering older homeowners the opportunity to age in place²⁰.

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/housing-rehabilitation-loan-grant-programs>

70. LexArts FY 2024

LFUCG Project ID: TBD

Treasury Portal Project ID: LexArtsFY24

Project Budget: \$325,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Subrecipient

LexArts Inc.

Project Overview

LexArts is a nonprofit in Lexington, Kentucky that focuses on cultural development and advocacy. The organization's premier program is an annual arts grant that helps over 50 regional artists and organizations build infrastructure to provide artistic and cultural programming throughout the Lexington community.

The LFUCG funds LexArts as a partner agency annually, and therefore, this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://lexarts.org/>



71. Non-Profit Capital Grants

LFUCG Project ID: AR_NCAPSS_2023

Treasury Portal Project ID: NPCapGrant

Project Budget: \$6,000,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project Overview

The Nonprofit Capital Project Grants Program is a new initiative designed to better position local government in recognizing the strains upon infrastructure within the local network of community agency partners which are affecting their service delivery to residents.

Community nonprofit partners with established proven track records of performance have been invited to apply for this competitive grant program for capital projects.

A major criterion of proposals will be that awarded agencies directly provide or indirectly facilitate the provision of services to low-income, underserved, or marginalized Lexington-Fayette County Residents.

A robust community outreach effort took place to inform applicable non-profits about the grant opportunity. The outreach efforts included an email blast to LFUCG's non-profit network, numerous government-sponsored social media posts, a virtual informational session with 110 participants representing 95 different agencies, and a news release.

The LFUCG offers financial support to multiple non-profits in the community through the annual operating budget and, therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/departments/social-services>



LEXINGTON
Social Services



72. Farmers Market Construction

LFUCG Project ID: TBD

Treasury Portal Project ID: FarmMark

Project Budget: \$4,000,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0



Project Expenditure Category: EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services

Subrecipient

Farm and Garden Cooperative Association Incorporated

Project Overview

The Lexington Farmers' Market (LFM)—a not-for-profit agricultural cooperative—seeks to build an enclosed, permanent market facility on Oliver Lewis Way. It will include over 50 stalls for a year-round farmers' market and accessory space for farmers' market related activities such as offices, storage, and customer-focused amenities such as bathrooms. This will help strengthen and grow food independence and access for Lexington and the surrounding areas. Complementing the indoor facility will be an open-air pavilion with room for additional farmers during the peak growing season. Additional facilities such as shared community space and a commercial kitchen will be phased and funded separately.

Residents of Davis Bottom (a historically marginalized community of color), residents of Downtown, those that utilize or are eligible for SNAP, PEBT, Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Program Vouchers, Moms to be on Medicaid, people that utilize WIC, food entrepreneurs, tourists to Lexington. However, one of the most frequent concerns by those utilizing the food access programs provided by the market is physical access; this new facility would provide on-site parking (especially for those with disabilities), expanded hours, and protection from the elements.



The market facility in Davis Bottom will directly contribute to the Lexington CLT's affordable housing plan, provide infill investment in a commercial corridor, and act as a node for movement throughout the downtown area. The building will be a highly visible location between the University of Kentucky campus, Central Bank Center, Town Branch Commons and the Distillery District. With shopping, jobs,

food and needed space the facility will help the area attract additional investment, special events, and the arts; the market will become an even more prominent tourist destination and a gathering place where community members can strengthen social ties.

The LFUCG has partnered with the LFM for many years by offering free use of public space and, therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.lexingtonfarmersmarket.com/>

Use of Evidence

Farmers markets

A farmers market is a multiple vendor farm-to-consumer retail operation, where producers sell goods directly to consumers at a set outdoor or indoor location. Farmers markets usually sell fresh fruit and vegetables, though meat, dairy, grains, prepared foods, and other items may also be available. Markets are usually held once a week and vary in size from a few stalls to several city blocks. Most farmers markets are organized and operated by community organizations, public agencies, or public/private collaborations with volunteer support.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased access to fruits & vegetables

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased healthy foods in food deserts
Increased fruit & vegetable consumption
Strengthened local & regional food systems
Improved local economy
Reduced emissions

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that farmers markets increase access to healthy foods, especially fresh fruits and vegetables^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}. Establishing farmers markets is a suggested strategy to increase fresh produce in food deserts^{7, 8}. Additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Farmers markets can increase fruit and vegetable consumption in low-income communities^{9, 10, 11, 12} and among low-income diabetics¹. An evaluation of the Fresh to You partnership program suggests markets can also increase consumption among low-income children when discount produce is available¹³. Overall, farmers markets have been associated with increases in fresh produce purchases and self-reported increases in fruit and vegetable consumption^{14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21}. Individuals who shop at farmers markets two or more times per week report higher levels of consumption than peers shopping less frequently²². Education, promotion, and financial incentives may need to accompany farmers markets to substantially affect consumption^{23, 24, 25}.

Starting and sustaining farmers markets in low-income areas may reduce disparities in access to healthy foods, especially fresh fruits and vegetables²⁶, but will not fully eliminate disparities in healthy food purchases between families with low and high incomes²³. Experts suggest that farmers markets in low income, minority communities may have difficulty balancing shoppers' needs for low-cost produce and vendors' needs for profitability²⁷. Farmers markets in low-income neighborhoods also appear to be smaller and provide fewer fresh fruits and vegetables than typical markets in more affluent communities²⁸.

Prices at farmers markets are often lower than supermarket prices^{29, 30, 31}, although prices vary and may be higher in some counties than others¹⁷.

High quality produce, produce variety, and lower prices are the most commonly reported reasons for shopping at farmers markets³². Limited transportation options, lack of information about the location or hours of farmers markets, and markets not accepting Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) payment for Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits are frequently reported barriers to farmers market use^{3, 34, 35, 36, 15, 32, 33}.

Zoning that supports farmers markets, street food vendors, and other public markets may strengthen local food systems, enhance local economies, and contribute to a livelier pedestrian environment³⁸. Shopping at farmers markets that offer seasonal, locally grown foods may reduce emissions from fossil fuels used to produce, process, and transport food^{39, 40}, and may reduce the energy intensity of an individual's diet if more plant-based foods are consumed in place of animal products³⁹.

Impact on Disparities

No impact on disparities likely

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/farmers-markets>

73. Housing Stabilization – Salvation Army

LFUCG Project ID: TBD

Treasury Portal Project ID: SALARMY

Project Budget: \$2,000,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services



Subrecipient

The Salvation Army

Project Overview

The Salvation Army at 736 W. Main Street includes the Hanger Lodge, which provides 24 hour/day housing and supplemental services to single women/women with children. The Salvation Army is planning to replace its current facilities with a new, expanded capacity facility which will also allow more and improved rooms and program area for residents as well as expanding their capacity to include two parent families and more space for single men with children. This allows us to continue to serve those most affected by employment and housing issues arising during COVID-19, while increased capacity allows us to address potential increases in time needed as individuals and families save for and secure long-term housing.

The Salvation Army's mission includes service to all individuals irrespective of economic and racial categories. They are intentional in making sure that staffing and services reflect the diversity of the populations being served and provide support to residents in order that they reach their stated goals for employment, housing and social well-being.

The Salvation Army has been a partner agency of the LFUCG for many years, providing safe emergency shelter and, therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://easternusa.salvationarmy.org/southwest-ohio/central-kentucky/>

74. Housing Stabilization – HOPE Center

LFUCG Project ID: TBD

Treasury Portal Project ID: HopeCen

Project Budget: \$2,000,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services

Subrecipient

The Hope Center

Project Overview

The project will construct a second floor to the Emergency Shelter in order to provide supportive and affordable transitional housing for men with mental illness and substance abuse issues. The new space would also be used for veterans who are homeless and in need of assistance. The project allows them to provide the supportive case management needed to assist clients to return to self-sufficiency and more quickly transition back into permanent housing and end their cycle of homelessness.

This project provides support for all homeless and low-income residents in need of assistance regardless of racial or ethnic background.

The HOPE Center has been a partner agency of the LFUCG for many years, providing safe emergency housing. The LFUCG Public Facilities Corporation (PFC) owns the facility, therefore this is an eligible expense under EC 6.1 – Provision of Government Services.

<https://www.hopectr.org/>



75. Workforce Development Grants to Service Partners FY 2022

LFUCG Project ID: AR_WRFCE_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: WorkForceFY22

Project Budget: \$400,000

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*

Project overview

\$400,000 in ARPA dollars was made available to eight partner agencies located in Lexington to provide residents with job training and job placement services, or to provide short-term training leading to a recognized certification or licensure. Service agreement awards are based on scoring criteria. Service agreement dollars are distributed based on performance goals outlined by the agencies in their application. Contracts began July 1st, 2022.

Partners include:

[Awesome, INC](#)

[Bluegrass Community and Technical College \(BCTC\)](#)

[Building Institutes of Central Kentucky](#)

[Community Action Council for Lexington-Fayette, Bourbon, Harrison and Nicholas Counties](#)

[Food Chain](#)

[Jubilee Jobs](#)

[Lexington Rescue Mission](#)

[Opportunity for Work & Learning \(OWL\)](#)

The two-year agreements were effective July 1st, 2022, and will continue through June 30th, 2024.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/workforce-development-partners>

Use of Evidence

Sector-based workforce initiatives



LEXINGTON
Economic Partnership



Sector-based workforce initiatives offer industry-focused education and job training based on the needs of regional employers within specific industry sectors. Such initiatives identify common skills within the sector, work with local training providers such as community colleges to create standardized training curriculums, and train workers for job opportunities with high quality benefits, advancement opportunities, and higher wages. Initiatives provide training at multiple skill levels and may leverage career pathways and bridge programs to provide opportunities for worker advancement. Sector-based workforce initiatives are generally driven by employer needs but implemented by workforce intermediaries such as nonprofit agencies or workforce development boards who coordinate partnerships between education and training providers, businesses, community organizations, and state agencies¹.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased employment
Increased earnings

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased academic achievement

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that sector-based workforce initiatives increase employment and earnings^{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9}. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Participation in sector-based workforce initiatives can increase employment and earnings more than traditional workforce development programs for low-income adults, disadvantaged workers^{5, 9}, and the long-term unemployed⁶. Participation can also increase earnings for urban young adults aged 18 to 24 who have high school diplomas or GEDs^{2, 7}. In some cases, program effects persist up to 7.5 years after enrollment¹⁰. For example, an evaluation of Project Quest indicates participants increase earnings progressively over time, earning \$5,080 more than similar peers six years after study enrollment³; Capital IDEA participants increased earnings and employment gains over 4 years after training⁸.

Gains in earnings appear to be greater for participants in the health care industry than participants in manufacturing or transportation-focused programs; gains may also be less for participants who are at the greatest disadvantage⁵. Gains in earnings and employment vary by approach and provider^{6, 9}. Economic conditions may also affect earnings⁴. Difficulty developing necessary partnerships, lack of basic skills among some participants, and a dynamic labor market that may eliminate occupations can be challenges to establishing programs¹¹. Attempts to replicate previously successful programs may not be successful¹².

Participation in sector-based workforce initiatives can increase vocational credential receipt¹³, particularly for the participants who were over 25 and had a GED at program start³ or those with other barriers to employment, such as criminal convictions⁶.

Successful sector-based workforce initiatives include collaboration with agencies, industry, and employers; alignment with strategies such as career pathways; work credentialing; provision of

incentive and planning funds; and leveraging diverse funding sources¹⁴. Successful initiatives generally serve low-income workers with strong basic skills, rather than hard-to-employ adults¹⁵.

Costs vary widely. WorkAdvance demonstration site costs range from \$5,200 to \$6,700 per participant, for example⁶. The Year Up program, an intensive sector-based workforce initiative, spends around \$28,000 per participant, partially offset by payments from corporate partners who employ Year Up interns².

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/sector-based-workforce-initiatives>

Additional Performance Measures

Number of individuals receiving workforce training	0
--	---

76. Workforce Development Grants to Service Partners FY 2023

LFUCG Project ID: AR_WRFCE_2023

Treasury Portal Project ID: WorkForceFY23

Project Budget: \$400,000

Project Status: Not Started

Cumulative Obligation: 0

Cumulative Expenditure: 0

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: *EC 6: Revenue Replacement/Government Services, EC 6.01 Provision of Government Services*



LEXINGTON
Economic Partnership

Project overview

\$400,000 in ARPA dollars was made available to eight partner agencies located in Lexington to provide residents with job training and job placement services, or to provide short-term training leading to a recognized certification or licensure. Service agreement awards are based on scoring criteria. Service agreement dollars are distributed based on performance goals outlined by the agencies in their application.

Partners include:

[Awesome, INC](#)

[Bluegrass Community and Technical College \(BCTC\)](#)

[Building Institutes of Central Kentucky](#)

[Community Action Council for Lexington-Fayette, Bourbon, Harrison and Nicholas Counties](#)

[Food Chain](#)

[Jubilee Jobs](#)

[Lexington Rescue Mission](#)

[Opportunity for Work & Learning \(OWL\)](#)

The two-year agreements were effective July 1st, 2022, and will continue through June 30th, 2024.

<https://www.lexingtonky.gov/workforce-development-partners>

Use of Evidence

Sector-based workforce initiatives

Sector-based workforce initiatives offer industry-focused education and job training based on the needs of regional employers within specific industry sectors. Such initiatives identify common skills within the sector, work with local training providers such as community colleges to create standardized training

curriculums, and train workers for job opportunities with high quality benefits, advancement opportunities, and higher wages. Initiatives provide training at multiple skill levels and may leverage career pathways and bridge programs to provide opportunities for worker advancement. Sector-based workforce initiatives are generally driven by employer needs but implemented by workforce intermediaries such as nonprofit agencies or workforce development boards who coordinate partnerships between education and training providers, businesses, community organizations, and state agencies¹.

Expected Beneficial Outcomes (Rated)

Increased employment
Increased earnings

Other Potential Beneficial Outcomes

Increased academic achievement

Evidence of Effectiveness

There is some evidence that sector-based workforce initiatives increase employment and earnings^{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9}. However, additional evidence is needed to confirm effects.

Participation in sector-based workforce initiatives can increase employment and earnings more than traditional workforce development programs for low-income adults, disadvantaged workers^{5, 9}, and the long-term unemployed⁶. Participation can also increase earnings for urban young adults aged 18 to 24 who have high school diplomas or GEDs^{2, 7}. In some cases, program effects persist up to 7.5 years after enrollment¹⁰. For example, an evaluation of Project Quest indicates participants increase earnings progressively over time, earning \$5,080 more than similar peers six years after study enrollment³; Capital IDEA participants increased earnings and employment gains over 4 years after training⁸.

Gains in earnings appear to be greater for participants in the health care industry than participants in manufacturing or transportation-focused programs; gains may also be less for participants who are at the greatest disadvantage⁵. Gains in earnings and employment vary by approach and provider^{6, 9}. Economic conditions may also affect earnings⁴. Difficulty developing necessary partnerships, lack of basic skills among some participants, and a dynamic labor market that may eliminate occupations can be challenges to establishing programs¹¹. Attempts to replicate previously successful programs may not be successful¹².

Participation in sector-based workforce initiatives can increase vocational credential receipt¹³, particularly for the participants who were over 25 and had a GED at program start³ or those with other barriers to employment, such as criminal convictions⁶.

Successful sector-based workforce initiatives include collaboration with agencies, industry, and employers; alignment with strategies such as career pathways; work credentialing; provision of incentive and planning funds; and leveraging diverse funding sources¹⁴. Successful initiatives generally serve low-income workers with strong basic skills, rather than hard-to-employ adults¹⁵.

Costs vary widely. WorkAdvance demonstration site costs range from \$5,200 to \$6,700 per participant, for example⁶. The Year Up program, an intensive sector-based workforce initiative, spends around \$28,000 per participant, partially offset by payments from corporate partners who employ Year Up interns².

Impact on Disparities

Likely to decrease disparities

<https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-to-improve-health/what-works-for-health/strategies/sector-based-workforce-initiatives>

Additional Performance Measures

Number of individuals receiving workforce training	0
--	---

EC 7: Administrative

**Responding to Grant Administration and
the Reporting Requirements of ARPA**

77. American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Administrative Services

LFUCG Project ID: AR_ADMIN_2022

Treasury Portal Project ID: AR_ADMIN_2022

Project Budget: \$7,871,005

Project Status: Completed Less Than 50%

Cumulative Obligation: \$136,697

Cumulative Expenditure: \$135,854

Program Income: 0

Project Expenditure Category: EC 7: Administrative, 7.01 Administrative Expenses

Project Overview

Personnel and operating expenses to administer the ARPA funding.

**Note that construction bid fluctuations may necessitate the reallocation of administrative budget to projects.*



LEXINGTON

Contact Information

Jenifer Wuorenmaa
American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) Project Manager

Lexington –Fayette Urban County Government (LFUCG)
Office of the Chief Administrator
200 East Main Street
Lexington, Kentucky 40507

jwuorenmaa@lexingtonky.gov
859-258-3115