

**Information Regarding Declarations for Covered Transactions\***  
**2020-2021**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Number of Declarations</b>	<b>Completed Action</b>	<b>Unable to Complete Action</b>	<b>Request for CFIUS Notice</b>	<b>Unilateral Agency Notice</b>	<b>Declarations Rejected**</b>	<b>Declarations Withdrawn</b>
2020	126	81	16	28	0	0	1
2021	164	120	12	30	0	4	0

\*This table reports on the disposition of all declarations for covered transactions in 2020 and 2021, including those subject to the critical technologies pilot program which ended in February 2020.

\*\*Declarations can be rejected by the Committee if the parties do not satisfy the requirements in the regulations or if, during the course of CFIUS review, there is a material change to the transaction or information comes to light that contradicts material information provided in the declaration by the parties.

**Information Regarding Notices and Presidential Decisions for Covered Transactions\***  
**2008-2021**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Number of Notices</b>	<b>Number of Investigations</b>	<b>Presidential Decisions</b>	<b>Notices Cleared with Mitigation</b>	<b>Notices Rejected**</b>	<b>Total Notices Withdrawn***</b>
2008	155	23	0	2	2	23
2009	65	25	0	5	0	7
2010	93	35	0	8	0	12
2011	111	40	0	8	0	6
2012	114	45	1	5	0	22
2013	97	49	0	9	2	8
2014	147	52	0	9	1	12
2015	143	67	0	12	1	13
2016	172	79	1	17	0	27
2017	237	172	1	30	0	74
2018	229	158	1	37	2	66
2019	231	113	1	28	1	30
2020	187	88	1	16	1	29
2021	272	130	0	26	0	74

\*This table and its companion table on withdrawn notices (see below) report on the disposition of cases based upon the year in which the transaction was filed with CFIUS. These tables include information not uniformly provided in older reports, such as the number of notices rejected and detailed information regarding withdrawn notices.

\*\*Notices can be rejected by the Committee if the parties do not satisfy the requirements in the regulations or if, during the course of CFIUS review, there is a material change to the transaction or information comes to light that contradicts material information provided in the notice by the parties.

\*\*\*Please see second table below for further data regarding withdrawn notices.

**Information Regarding Withdrawn Notices of Covered Transactions\***  
**2008-2021**

<b>Year</b>	<b>Total Notices Withdrawn</b>	<b>Notices Withdrawn in Review</b>	<b>Notices Withdrawn in Investigation</b>	<b>Notices Withdrawn and Refiled</b>	<b>Notices Withdrawn and Refiled in Same Calendar Year</b>	<b>Notices Withdrawn and Refiled in Next Calendar Year</b>	<b>Notices Withdrawn and Transactions Abandoned in Light of CFIUS-Related National Security Concerns**</b>	<b>Notices Withdrawn for Any Other Reason***</b>
2008	23	18	5	20	18	2	2	1
2009	7	5	2	4	3	1	1	2
2010	12	6	6	7	5	2	2	3
2011	6	1	5	6	4	2	0	0
2012	22	2	20	12	10	2	8	3
2013	8	3	5	1	1	0	6	1
2014	12	3	9	7	6	1	2	3
2015	13	3	10	9	6	3	3	1
2016	27	6	21	15	11	4	5	7
2017	74	4	70	44	35	9	24	6
2018	66	2	64	42	34	8	18	6
2019	30	0	30	18	15	3	8	4
2020	29	1	28	21	15	6	7	1
2021	74	2	72	63	52	11	9	2

\*This table includes updates to the historical data with regards to withdrawn notices. In 2013, the number of notices withdrawn and refiled in the same calendar year has been updated to 1 and the number of notices withdrawn and refiled in the next calendar year has been updated to 0. In 2014, the number of notices withdrawn and refiled in the same calendar year has been updated to 6. In 2015, the number of notices withdrawn and refiled in the same calendar year has been updated to 6 and the number of notices withdrawn and refiled in the next calendar year has been updated to 3. In 2016, the number of notices withdrawn and abandoned in light of CFIUS-related national security concerns has been updated to 5 and the number of notices withdrawn for any other reason has been updated to 7. In 2017, the number of notices withdrawn in review has been updated to 4 and the number of notices withdrawn in investigation has been updated to 70.

\*\*Includes instances where the parties withdrew their notice and abandoned their transaction after (1) CFIUS informed the parties that it was unable to identify mitigation measures that would resolve its national security concerns and was prepared to refer the matter to the President for decision, or (2) CFIUS proposed mitigation terms that the parties chose not to accept.

\*\*\*Includes commercial reasons, failure to satisfy CFIUS process requirements, non-CFIUS related regulatory issues, notices awaiting refile at time of this report, and other reasons.