



**Privacy and Civil Liberties Impact Assessment
for the
Office of Inspector General – TeamMate System**

November 21, 2024

Contact Point

Bruce Kan

Reviewing Official

Timothy H. Skinner, JD
Bureau Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer
Departmental Offices
Department of the Treasury

Section 1: Introduction

PCLIAs are required for all systems and projects that collect, maintain, or disseminate personally identifiable information (PII). The system owner completed this assessment pursuant to Section 208 of the E-Government Act of 2002 (“E-Gov Act”), 44 U.S.C. § 3501, Office of the Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum 03-22, “OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002,” and Treasury Directive 25-07, “Privacy and Civil Liberties Impact Assessment (PCLIA),” which requires Treasury Offices and Bureaus to conduct a PCLIA before: (1) developing or procuring information technology (IT) systems or projects that collect, maintain or disseminate PII from or about members of the public, or (2) initiating a new collection of information that: (a) will be collected, maintained, or disseminated using IT; and (b) includes any PII permitting the physical or online contacting of a specific individual, if identical questions have been posed to, or identical reporting requirements imposed on, 10 or more persons (not including agencies, instrumentalities, or employees of the federal government).

It is the policy of the Department of the Treasury (“Treasury” or “Department”) and its Bureaus to conduct a PCLIA when PII is maintained in a system or by a project. This PCLIA provides the following information regarding the system or project: (1) an overview of its purpose and functions; (2) a description of the information collected; (3) a description of the how information is maintained, used, and shared; and (4) an assessment of whether the system or project is in compliance with federal requirements that support information privacy.

Section 2: Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Pursuant to the Executive Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence:

1. The term “artificial intelligence” or “AI” has the meaning set forth in 15 U.S.C. 9401(3): a machine-based system that can, for a given set of human-defined objectives, make predictions, recommendations, or decisions influencing real or virtual environments. Artificial intelligence systems use machine- and human-based inputs to perceive real and virtual environments; abstract such perceptions into models through analysis in an automated manner; and use model inference to formulate options for information or action.
2. The term “AI model” means a component of an information system that implements AI technology and uses computational, statistical, or machine-learning techniques to produce outputs from a given set of inputs.
3. The term “AI red-teaming” means a structured testing effort to find flaws and vulnerabilities in an AI system, often in a controlled environment and in collaboration with developers of AI. Artificial Intelligence red-teaming is most often performed by dedicated “red teams” that adopt adversarial methods to identify flaws and vulnerabilities, such as harmful or discriminatory outputs from an AI system, unforeseen

or undesirable system behaviors, limitations, or potential risks associated with the misuse of the system.

4. The term “AI system” means any data system, software, hardware, application, tool, or utility that operates in whole or in part using AI.
5. The term “crime forecasting” means the use of analytical techniques to attempt to predict future crimes or crime-related information. It can include machine-generated predictions that use algorithms to analyze large volumes of data, as well as other forecasts that are generated without machines and based on statistics, such as historical crime statistics.

The Department of the Treasury is leveraging AI to better serve the public across a wide array of use cases and benefits delivery. Treasury is also establishing strong guardrails to ensure its use of AI protects the privacy of individuals. [Check all that apply]:

This PCLIA is being conducted on:

- 1- an information system that can, for a given set of human-defined objectives, make predictions, recommendations, or decisions influencing real or virtual environments using machine- and human-based inputs to perceive real and virtual environments; abstract such perceptions into models through analysis in an automated manner; and use model inference to formulate options for information or action.
- 2- an information system that maintains a component that implements AI technology and uses computational, statistical, or machine-learning techniques to produce outputs from a given set of inputs.
- 3- an information system that will be used, in part, as a structured testing effort to find flaws and vulnerabilities in an AI system, often in a controlled environment and in collaboration with developers of AI.
- 4- an information system that includes software, hardware, application, tool, or utility that operates in whole or in part using AI.
- 5- an information system that uses analytical techniques to attempt to predict future crimes or crime-related information. It can include machine-generated predictions that use algorithms to analyze large volumes of data, as well as other forecasts that are generated without machines and based on statistics, such as historical crime statistics (Please stop here if you check any of the 5 boxes above and use the AI Systems PCLIA template to continue).
- 6- None of the above. (Please continue with this template if checked).

Section 3: System Overview

Section 3.1: System/Project Description and Purpose

The Office of Inspector General (OIG), Office of Audits (OA), is conducting this Privacy and Civil Liberties Impact Assessment (PCLIA) for the TeamMate system (TeamMate) which is comprised of two child applications: TeamMate+ and TeamMate Audit Management (AM). TeamMate is a Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) application designed to provide a centralized location to collect, prepare, and maintain documentation related to audits, inspections, and

evaluations pursuant to the Inspector General Act. The main purpose of TeamMate is to assist the OIG OA in meeting its mission by efficiently and effectively moving audit projects through the audit workflow process in compliance with the Inspector General Act.

TeamMate is a Windows based audit management application installed on the Department of the Treasury OIG servers. TeamMate provides an internal common platform for documenting, sharing, reviewing, and tracking work throughout the lifecycle of an audit, inspection, and/or auditors of a Treasury program or activity (not individuals). Auditors and Inspectors collect and process information in the form of work papers, interviews, and on-site visits during audits, and/or inspections/evaluations. Information collected during an audit is scanned or uploaded into OIG's TeamMate system. Auditors and Inspectors may request and receive personally identifiable information (PII) during an audit, when needed and relevant to the review. PII may be included, for example, in supporting documents provided to OIG or obtained through OIG's information-gathering.

All information located in TeamMate is stored within the application on the OIG network. Audits, inspections, and evaluations are stored as "projects" in TeamMate.

Access to the TeamMate system is granted by TeamMate administrators. Access to the projects within TeamMate is granted to and only by individuals on the project team. Data and documents associated with a project can only be viewed and shared by team members assigned to that project. No one outside of OIG is granted access to TeamMate. Once a project is complete, its status is changed to "read only" as part of the finalization process. The finalized project is maintained within TeamMate according to established records management schedules.

The primary privacy risks are that PII may be collected without clear purpose, or the information provided for one purpose may be used inappropriately. The risks are mitigated by clearly stating the authorized purpose for the collection in the Treasury OIG [System of Records Notice \(SORN\)](#). Additionally, there is a risk that the audit team will collect and maintain in TeamMate more information than is necessary for conducting the audit. This risk is mitigated by limiting the data collected by scoping its information requests to only that data which is necessary and relevant to the audit being conducted. Finally, there is a risk that inaccurate information may be provided and maintained in TeamMate. To ensure accuracy of the information provided to Treasury, OIG validates the data not only through testing and interviews with Treasury personnel during the course of the audit, but also by tracing the data from a sample of records back to the source documentation to ensure they match.

Section 3.2: Authority to Collect

Federal agencies must have proper authority before initiating a collection of information. The authority is sometimes granted by a specific statute, by Executive order (EO) of the President or other authority. The following specific authorities authorize TeamMate to collect information:

- Treasury OIG Policy 715-02
- Treasury Policy TD P 85-01
- The Inspector General Act of 1978, [5 U.S.C. Chapter 4](#); [5 U.S.C. 301](#); [31 U.S.C. 321](#)

The information may also be collected pursuant to a more general requirement or authority. All

Treasury systems and projects derive general authority to collect information from:

- 31 U.S.C. 321 – General authorities of the Secretary establish the mission of the Department of the Treasury.
- 5 U.S.C. 301 – Department regulations for the operations of the department, conduct of employees, distribution and performance of its business, the custody, use, and preservation of its records, papers, and property.

Section 3.3: Privacy Act Applicability; SORN Requirement

Under certain circumstances, federal agencies are allowed to exempt a system of records from certain provisions in the Privacy Act. This means that, with respect to information systems and papers files that maintain records in that system of records, the agency will not be required to comply with the requirements in Privacy Act provisions that are properly exempted. If this system or project contains records covered by the Privacy Act, the applicable Privacy Act system of records notice(s) (SORNs) (there may be more than one) that cover the records in this system or project must list the exemptions claimed for the system of records (it will typically say: “*Exemptions Claimed for the System*” or words to that effect).

Helpful Hint for answering questions in this section and later questions about Privacy Act exemptions: If you know there is a SORN covering the PII in this system, the answer is probably “yes.” If the system maintains PII, but that PII is not actually retrieved by a personal identifier, the answer is “no.” At the bottom of the applicable SORN(s), you will find a section that says: “Exemptions Claimed for the System.” If the answer is “None” (or anything that indicates no exemptions are claimed): (1) your bureau or office does not exempt the system of records from any Privacy Act requirements; and (2) when you are asked in this template whether your bureau or office exempts the system of records from certain provisions in the Privacy Act, your answer will always be “No.”

All answers in this section must be provided in the space as instructed after checking the appropriate box(es).

Section 3.3(a) Please check ALL statements below that apply to your system or project and provide any additional information requested. Please read all possible responses before selecting an answer.

1. The system or project does not retrieve records about an individual using an identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual. A SORN is not required with respect to the records in this system.
2. The system or project does retrieve records about an individual using an identifying number, symbol, or other identifying particular assigned to the individual. A SORN is required with respect to the records in this system. [*DO .190 - Office of Inspector General Investigations Management Information System - 89 FR 19015 \(Mar. 15, 2024\)*](#).
3. A SORN was identified in the original PCLIA and a determination was made during this current PCLIA update that modifications [choose one] were were not required to that SORN. [If modifications were made, generally describe them here]. The current applicable SORN is: [Provide here the SORN number(s), system of records name(s) and the citation to the SORN(s) in the Federal Register.]

4. A SORN(s) was not identified or required in the original PCLIA, but a determination was made during this current PCLIA update that a SORN(s) is now required. The applicable SORN(s) is:[Provide here the SORN number(s), system of records name(s) and the citation to the SORN(s) in the Federal Register].
5. A SORN was published and no exemptions are taken from any Privacy Act requirements.
6. Exemptions are claimed from the following Privacy Act provisions in the applicable SORN(s): *This system is exempt from 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3). [DO .190 - Office of Inspector General Investigations Management Information System - 89 FR 19015 \(Mar. 15, 2024\)](#).*

Section 4: Information Collection

Section 4.1: Relevant and Necessary

The Privacy Act requires “each agency that maintains a system of records [to] maintain in its records only such information about an individual as is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required to be accomplished by statute or by executive order of the President.” 5 U.S.C. § 552a (e)(1). It allows federal agencies to exempt records from certain requirements (including the relevant and necessary requirement) under certain conditions. 5 U.S.C. §552a (k). The proposed exemption must be described in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (“NPRM”). In the context of the Privacy Act, the purpose of the NPRM is to give the public notice of a Privacy Act exemption claimed for a system of records and solicit public opinion on the proposed exemption. After addressing any public concerns raised in response to the NPRM, the agency must issue a Final Rule. It is possible for some, but not all, of the records maintained in the system or by the project to be exempted from the Privacy Act through the NPRM/Final Rule process.

Section 4.1(a) Exemption Claimed from this Requirement? The PII maintained in this system or by this project is ***not*** exempt from 5 U.S.C. §552a(e)(1), the Privacy Act’s requirement that an agency “*maintain in its records only such information about an individual as is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required to be accomplished by statute or by executive order of the President.*”

2. The PII maintained in this system or by this project ***is*** exempt from 5 U.S.C. §552a(e)(1), because *[See the applicable SORN]*.

Section 4.1(b) Continuously Assessing Relevance and Necessity The PII in the system is not maintained in a system of records. Therefore, the Privacy requirements do not apply.

*[Explain **here** what you do to ensure relevance and necessity despite the fact that the Privacy Act does not apply].*

2. The PII in the system is maintained in a system of records, but the agency exempted these records from the relevance and necessity requirement. *[Explain **here** what you do to ensure relevance and necessity to the extent possible despite the fact the records are exempt from this requirement].*
3. The system owner conducted an assessment prior to collecting PII for use in the system or project to determine which PII data elements and types (see [Section 4.2](#) below) were relevant and necessary to meet the system’s or project’s mission requirements. In

conducting the “relevance and necessity” analysis that is documented in this PCLIA, the system owner reevaluated the PII data elements and determined that they are still relevant and necessary. Each time this PCLIA is updated, this ongoing assessment will be revisited. If it is determined at any time that certain PII data elements are no longer relevant or necessary, the system owner will update this PCLIA to discuss how the data element was removed from the system and is no longer collected.

4. With respect to PII *currently* maintained (as of the time this PCLIA is being done) in the system or by the project, the PII is is not limited to only that which is relevant and necessary to meet the system or project’s mission requirements. During the PCLIA process, the system always undergoes a review to ensure the continuing relevance and necessity of the PII in the system.
5. With respect to PII maintained in the system or by the project, there [choose one] is is not a process in place to continuously reevaluate and ensure that the PII remains relevant and necessary. During the PCLIA process, the system always undergoes a review to ensure the continuing relevance and necessity of the PII on the system. If a determination is made that particular PII is no longer relevant and necessary in between PCLIA updates, this PCLIA will be updated at that time.

Section 4.2: PII and/or information types or groupings

The checked boxes below represent the types of information maintained in the system or by the project that are relevant and necessary for the information system or project to fulfill its mission. PII identified below is used by the system or project to fulfill the purpose stated in Section 2.2 above– Authority to Collect.

Biographical/general information

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Name | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nationality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Country of Birth |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Citizenship | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Immigration Status |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Date of birth | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alias (including nickname) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Home physical/postal mailing address | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> City or County of Birth |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Zip Code | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Military Service Information |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal home phone, cell phone, or fax number | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal e-mail address | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Country or city of residence |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (please describe) | |

Other information

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resume or curriculum vitae | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cubical or office number | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Veteran’s preference |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religion/Religious Preference | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Education Information [please describe] | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spouse Information |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Professional/personal references or other information about an individual’s friends, associates or acquaintances. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contact lists and directories (known to contain at least some personal information). | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Retirement eligibility information |

- Sexual Orientation
- Marital Status
- Information about other relatives.
- Group/Organization Membership
- Information about children
- Other: (please describe)

Identifying numbers assigned to individuals

- Full Social Security number
- Personal device identifiers or serial numbers
- Vehicle Identification Number
- Truncated Social Security Number (e.g., last 4 digits)
- Internet Protocol (IP) Address
- Driver's License Number
- Employee Identification Number
- Personal Bank Account Number
- License Plate Number
- Taxpayer Identification Number
- Health Plan Beneficiary Number
- Professional License Number
- File/Case ID Number
- Credit Card Number
- Passport Number and information (nationality, date and place of issuance, and expiration date)
- Alien Registration Number
- Patient ID Number
- Other: (please describe)

Specific Information/File Types

- Taxpayer Information/Tax Return Information
- Law Enforcement Information
- Security Clearance/Background Check Information
- Civil/Criminal History Information/Police Records (obtained from government source)
- Civil/Criminal History Information/Police Records (obtained from commercial source)
- Credit History Information (government source)
- Protected Information (as defined in Treasury Directive 25-10)
- Credit History Information (commercial source)
- Bank Secrecy Act Information
- Information provided under a confidentiality agreement
- Case files
- Personnel Files
- Business Financial Information (including loan information)
- Personal Financial Information (e.g., loan information)
- Information subject to the terms of an international or other agreement
- Passport information (state which passport data elements are collected if not all)
- Other: Low Income Benefits Participation, Bank Statements, Contractor Personnel Files

Audit Log and Security Monitoring Information &

- User ID assigned to or generated by a user of Treasury IT
- Files and folders accessed by a user of Treasury IT
- Biometric information used to access Treasury facilities or IT
- Passwords generated by or assigned to a user of Treasury IT
- Internet or other queries run by a user of Treasury IT
- Contents of files accessed by a user of Treasury IT

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Files accessed by a user of Treasury IT (e.g., web navigation habits) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Date and time an individual accesses a facility, system, or other IT | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information revealing an individual's presence in a particular location as derived from security token/key fob, employee identification card scanners or other IT. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Key Information (PKI). | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Still photos of individuals derived from security cameras. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Purchasing habits or preferences |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internet Protocol (IP) Address | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Video of individuals derived from security cameras | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commercially obtained internet navigation/purchasing habits of individuals |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global Positioning System (GPS)/Location Data | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Secure Digital (SD) Card or Other Data stored on a card or other technology | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Device settings or preferences (e.g., security level, sharing options, ringtones). |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Network communications data | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cell tower records (e.g., logs, user location, time etc.) | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (please describe) |

Medical/Emergency Information Regarding Individuals

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical/Health Information | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Worker's Compensation Act Information | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emergency Contact Information (e.g., a third party to contact in case of emergency) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mental Health Information | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information regarding a disability | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Patient ID Number |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sick leave information | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Request for an accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act | <input type="checkbox"/> Patient ID Number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (please describe) | | |

Biometrics/Distinguishing Features/Characteristics of Individuals

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Physical description/ characteristics (e.g., hair, eye color, weight, height, sex, gender etc.) Identify which are collected: (Insert collected here) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Signatures | <input type="checkbox"/> Palm prints |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fingerprints | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photos/Video: (identify which) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Voice audio recording |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other: (please describe) | | |

Identifying numbers for sole proprietors (including business information).

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sole proprietor business credit card number | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business Phone or Fax Number | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business Physical/Postal Mailing Address |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sole proprietor business professional license number | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sole proprietor business file case number | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sole proprietor business taxpayer identification number |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sole proprietor business license plate number | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sole proprietor business vehicle identification number | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sole proprietor business bank account number |

Other (please describe):

Other (please describe):

Other (please describe):
Business cell phone number,
business e-mail address

4.3 Sources from which PII is obtained.

Focusing on the context in which the data was collected and used (i.e., why it is collected and how it is used), check ALL sources from which PII is collected/received and stored in the system or used in the project.

1. *Members of the Public*

Members of the Public (i.e., including individuals who are current federal employees who are providing the information in their “personal” capacity (unrelated to federal work/employment). All of the following are members of the public. Please check relevant boxes (based on the context of collection and use in this system) for members of the public whose information is maintained in the system (only check if relevant to the purpose for collecting and using the information):

Members of the general public (current association with the federal government, if any, is irrelevant to the collection and use of the information by the system or project). Discuss here how/why PII is collected from this source.

Retired federal employees. Discuss here how/why PII is collected from this source.

Former Treasury employees. Discuss here how/why PII is collected from this source.

Federal contractors, grantees, interns, detailees etc. This is dependent on the scope and subject of the audit. Mainly, gathering PII from Federal Contractors could possibly occur. For instance, if the audit topic was a review of a federal contractor and their misuse of funds, personnel and their timesheets could come up in documentation that the audit team receives in a data dump and for their review.

Federal job applicants.

Other: [Explain *here*]. Discuss here how/why PII is collected from this source.

2. *Current Federal Employees, Interns, and Detailees*

Current Federal employees providing information in their capacity as federal employees (for example, PII collected using OPM or Treasury forms related to employment with the federal government)

Interns. Discuss here how/why PII is collected from this source.

Detailees. Discuss here how/why PII is collected from this source.

Other employment-related positions. [name the position here and discuss how/why PII is collected from this source.].

3. *Treasury Bureaus (including Departmental Offices)*

Other Treasury Bureaus: (name the bureau(s) here and identify the bureau/office information system from which the PII originated)and (how/why PII is collected from this source.).

4. *Other Federal Agencies*

Other federal agencies: (name each agency here and explain how/why PII is collected from this source.).

5. *State and Local Agencies*

State and local agencies: (Name the State and local agencies here and explain how/why PII is collected from this source).

6. *Private Sector*

Private sector organizations (for example, banks and financial organizations, data brokers or other commercial sources): (Name the State and local agencies here and explain how/why PII is collected from this source.).

7. *Other Sources*

Other sources not covered above (for example, foreign governments).
(Name the other sources here and explain how/why PII is collected from this source).

Section 4.3: Privacy and/or civil liberties risks related to collection

When Federal agencies request information from an individual that will be maintained in a [system of records](#), they must inform the individual of the following: “(A) the authority (whether granted by statute, or by executive order of the President) which authorizes the solicitation of the information and whether disclosure of such information is mandatory or voluntary; (B) the principal purpose or purposes for which the information is intended to be used; (C) the routine uses which may be made of the information, as published pursuant to paragraph (4)(D) of this subsection; and (D) the effects on [the individual], if any, of not providing all or any part of the requested information.” 5 U.S.C § 522a(e)(3). This is commonly called a Privacy Act Statement. The OMB Guidelines also note that subsection (e)(3) is applicable to both written and oral (i.e., interview) solicitations of personal information. Therefore, even if a federal employee or contractor has a fixed list of questions that they orally ask the individual in order to collect their information, this requirement applies.

Section 4.3(a) Collection Directly from the Individual to whom the PII pertains.

1. " None of the PII in the system was collected directly from an individual to whom it pertains. *All audits have a step within the project called data reliability. In that step the auditor has to analyze the risks and possibility of data not being reliable. Depending on where the auditor receives its data, the step may or may not explain how a third party ensured that the data is accurate, complete, and timely. It may or may not go into the detail of the third-party systems, and ensuring how the third-party collected this PII from the individuals about whom it pertains. However, the OIG does not directly collect PII from an individual where they are the audit subject. If their PII is collected, it is through*

a third party or a third-party system such as bank systems or systems that deal with timesheets and is fully dependent on the audit subject and what is sent by the auditee.

2. Some or all of the information in this system was collected directly from an individual to whom it pertains.

Section 4.3(b) Privacy Act Statements

1. None of the PII in the system was collected directly from the individuals to whom it pertains. Therefore, a Privacy Act Statement is not required. *Dependent on the audit subject and auditee, PII that was collected was sent to auditors who worked on the project to fulfill the mission of the Treasury OIG.*
2. Some All of the PII in the system was collected directly from the individual to whom it pertains. Therefore, a Privacy Act Statement was posted at the point where the PII was collected directly from the individual. That Privacy Act Statement was provided to the individual on the form in which the [PII](#) was collected on a separate sheet of paper that the individual could retain; or in an audio recording or verbally at the point where the information was collected (e.g., on the phone) or other [please explain].
3. The Privacy Act Statement contained the following:
 - a. The authority (whether granted by statute, or by Executive order of the President) which authorizes the solicitation of the information.
 - b. Whether disclosure of such information is mandatory or voluntary.
 - c. The principal purpose or purposes for which the information is intended to be used.
 - d. The individuals or organizations outside of Treasury with whom the information may be/ will be shared.
 - e. The effects on the individual, if any, if they decide not to provide all or any part of the requested information.

Section 4.3(c) Use of Full Social Security Numbers

Treasury is committed to eliminating unnecessary collection, use, and display of full Social Security numbers (“SSN”) and redacting, truncating, and anonymizing SSNs in systems and documents to limit their accessibility to individuals who do not have a need to access the full SSN in order to perform their official duties. Moreover, the [Privacy Act](#) provides that: “It shall be unlawful for any Federal, State or local government agency to deny to any individual any right, benefit, or privilege provided by law because of such individual’s refusal to disclose his social security account number.” Pub. L. No. 93–579, § 7. This provision does not apply to: (1) any disclosure which is required by federal statute; or (2) any disclosure of an SSN to any federal, state, or local agency maintaining a [system of records](#) in existence and operating before January 1, 1975, if such disclosure was required under statute or regulation adopted prior to such date to verify the identity of an individual. *Id.* at § 7(a)(2)(A)-(B).

Section 4.3(d) Justification of Social Security Numbers

1. N/A No full SSNs are maintained in the system or by the project. [*Explain if any portion of the SSN short of the full 9 digits is used in the system: Explain*]; *if the full SSN is located anywhere in the system (even if it is redacted, truncated or anonymized when viewed by users, please check number 2 below)*].

2. Full SSNs are maintained in the system or by the project and the following approved Treasury uses of SSNs apply:
- security background investigations;
 - interfaces with external entities that require the SSN;
 - a legal/statutory basis (e.g. where collection is expressly required by statute);
 - when there is no reasonable, alternative means for meeting business requirements;
 - statistical and other research purposes;
 - delivery of government benefits, privileges, and services;
 - for law enforcement and intelligence purposes;
 - aging systems with technological limitations combined with funding limitations render impracticable system modifications or replacements to add privacy risk reduction tools (partial/truncated/redacted or masked SSNs); and
 - as a unique identifier for identity verification purposes.

Section 4.3(e) Controls implemented to limit access to and or improper disclosure of full Social Security Numbers.

1. Full SSNs are ***not*** maintained in the system or by the project.
2. Full SSNs ***are*** maintained in the system or by the project and the following controls are put in place to reduce the risk that the SSN will be seen or used by someone who does not have a need to use the SSN in order to perform their official duties (*check **ALL** that apply*):
 - a. The entire SSN data field is capable of suppression (i.e., being turned off) and the data field is suppressed when the SSN is not required for particular system users to perform their official duties.
 - b. The SSN field is visible, but the SSN itself is blurred or distorted in some way so it is not capable of being read by users who do not require the SSN to perform their official duties.
 - c. Within the system, an alternative number (e.g., an Employee ID) is displayed to all system users who do not require the SSN to perform their official duties. The SSN is only linked to the alternative number within the system and when reporting outside the system (to an agency that requires the full SSN). The SSN is not visible to system users (other than administrators).
 - d. The SSN is truncated (i.e., shortened to the last 4 digits of the SSN) when displayed to all system users for whom the last four digits (but not the full) SSN are necessary to perform their official duties.
 - e. Full or truncated SSNs are only downloaded to spreadsheets or other documents for sharing within the bureau or agency when disclosed to staff whose official duties require access to the full or truncated SSNs for the particular individuals to whom they pertain. No SSNs (full or truncated) are

included in spreadsheets or documents unless required by each recipient to whom it is disclosed in order to perform their official duties (e.g., all recipients have a need to see the SSN for each employee in the spreadsheet).

- f. Other: *There are physical controls along with software controls within TeamMate and overarching OIG IT policies that have been implemented to limit the access to SSN data in projects.*

Section 4.3(f) Denial of rights, benefits, or privileges for refusing to disclose Social Security Number.

1. N/A No SSNs are maintained in the system or by the project.
2. Full SSNs are collected, but no individual will be denied any right, benefit, or privilege provided by law if the individual refuses to disclose their SSN for use in the system or project. *The OIG does not collect PII directly from individuals. Therefore, this requirement does not apply.* Full SSNs are collected, and the individual will be denied the following right, benefit, or privilege provided by law if they refuse to disclose their SSN: [please identify the right, benefit, or privilege if the individual will be denied if they choose not to provide their SSN: Identify here]. Denial of this right, benefit or privilege does not violate the law because: [choose one of the two boxes below]:
 - a. SSN disclosure is required by the following Federal statute or Executive Order; **OR**
 - b. The SSN is disclosed to a Federal, state, or local agency that maintains a [system of records](#) that was in existence and operating before January 1, 1975, and disclosure was required under statute or regulation adopted prior to such date to verify the identity of an individual.

Section 4.3(g) Records describing how individuals exercise First Amendment rights

The [Privacy Act](#) requires that Federal agencies “maintain no record describing how any individual exercises rights guaranteed by the First Amendment unless expressly authorized by statute or by the individual about whom the record is maintained or unless pertinent to and within the scope of an authorized law enforcement activity.” 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(7).

1. N/A. The system or project does ***not*** maintain information describing how an individual exercises their rights guaranteed by the First Amendment.
2. The system or project ***does*** maintain information describing how an individual exercises their rights guaranteed by the First Amendment. *If you checked this box, please check the box below that explains Treasury’s authorization for collecting this information:*
 - a. The individual about whom the information was collected or maintained expressly authorizes its collection/maintenance. The individual about whom the information was collected or maintained expressly authorized its collection by *[explain here how the individual expressly authorizes collection] (for example, individuals may expressly authorize collection by requesting in writing that Treasury share information with a third party, e.g., their Congressman);*

- b. The information maintained is pertinent to and within the scope of an authorized law enforcement activity because [generally discuss here the nature and purpose of the information collected and the law enforcement activity];
- c. The following statute expressly authorizes its collection: [provide here the name of and citation to the statute and the language from that statute that expressly authorizes collection] [*your response MUST contain all three if you use a statute as the basis for the collection*].

Section 5: Maintenance, use, and sharing of the information

Section 5.1: Ensuring accuracy, completeness, and timeliness of information collected, maintained, and shared when it is used to make determinations about individuals

The Privacy Act and Treasury policy require that Treasury bureaus and offices take additional care when collecting and maintaining information about individuals when it will be used to make determinations about those individuals (e.g., whether they will receive a federal benefit). This includes collecting information directly from the individual where practicable and ensuring that the information is accurate, relevant, timely and complete to assure fairness to the individual when making a determination about them. This section addresses the controls/protections put in place to address these issues.

The [Privacy Act](#) requires that Federal agencies “maintain all records which are used by the agency in making any determination about any individual with such accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness as is reasonably necessary to assure fairness to the individual in the determination.” 5 U.S.C § 552a(e)(5). If a particular [system of records](#) meets certain requirements (including the [NPRM](#) process defined in Section 3.1 above), an agency may exempt the [system of records](#) (or a portion of the records) from this requirement. Exemptions may be found at the bottom of the relevant SORN next to the heading: “*Exemptions Claimed for this System.*”

Section 5.1(a). Exemption from the accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness requirements in section (e)(5) of the Privacy Act

1. **None** of the information maintained in the system or by the project that is part of a system of records is exempt from the accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness requirements in section (e)(5) of the Privacy Act.
2. All Some of the PII maintained in the system or by the project is part of a system of records and **is** exempt from the accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness requirements in section (e)(5) of the Privacy Act. The exemption claimed for these records is appropriate because [*please see the applicable SORN*].
3. The PII maintained in the system or by the project is **not**: (a) part of a system of records as defined in section (e)(5) of the Privacy Act; or (b) used to make adverse determinations about individuals (defined in the Privacy Act as U.S. Citizens and legal permanent residents). Instead, the information is used to [*describe how the information is used and why this use does not involve adverse determinations*]. *hat you read the rest of the options before checking this box* **None** of the information maintained in the system or by the project is part of a system of records as defined in section (e)(5) of the Privacy Act, but the information in the system **is** used to make adverse determinations about individuals (defined in the Privacy Act as U.S. Citizens and legal permanent residents).

Despite the fact that the Privacy Act does not apply, the following protections are in place to ensure fairness to the individual: *explain here* .

Section 5.1(b) Protections in place despite exemption from the accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness requirements

1. **None** of the information maintained in the system or by the project that is part of a system of records is exempt from the accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness requirements in section (e)(5) of the Privacy Act.
2. For all information maintained in the system or by the project that is part of a system of records that is exempt from the accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness requirements in section (e)(5) of the Privacy Act, the following efforts are made to ensure accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness to the extent possible without interfering with the (*check one*) law enforcement intelligence other [*describe here*] mission requirements for which the system or project was created [*choose ALL that apply*]:
 - a. The exempt information is **not** actually used to make any adverse determinations about individuals.
 - b. The exempt information is **not** actually used to make any adverse determinations about individuals without additional research and investigation to ensure accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness.
 - c. Individuals and organizations to whom PII from the system or project is disclosed (as authorized by the Privacy Act) determine its accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness in a manner reasonable for their purposes before they use it to make adverse determinations about individuals.
 - d. Individuals about whom adverse determinations are made using PII from this system or project are given an opportunity to explain or modify their information (*check one*) before after the adverse determination is made. During this process, individuals are allowed to: [*discuss here*]
 - e. Other: (*please describe*):
3. No additional efforts are made to ensure accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness to the extent possible because it would interfere with mission requirements.

Section 5.1(c) Collecting information directly from the individual when using it to make adverse determinations about them.

Section 552a(e)(2) of the Privacy Act requires that Federal agencies that maintain records in a system of records are required to collect information to the greatest extent practicable directly from the individual when the information about them may result in adverse determinations about their rights, benefits, and privileges under Federal programs. Agencies may exempt a system of records from this requirement under certain circumstances and if certain conditions are met.

1. The records maintained by this system or project are **not** used to make any adverse determinations about individuals. *The OIG, Office of Audits does not make any adverse determinations about individuals. [Helpful Hint: adverse determinations include decisions*

about whether the individual will receive a financial benefit, payment for services, get a clearance, access to a Treasury facility, or obtain or lose employment with Treasury].

2. The records maintained by this system or project **are** used to make adverse determinations about individuals **and** [check all that apply]:
- a. These records **were** exempted from the Privacy Act provision that requires collection directly from the subject individual to the greatest extent practicable. Exemption of these records is proper because [explain here why the records were exempted; See the applicable SORN]. [If checked and explained, go to Section 4.2(d)].
 - b. These records were **not** exempted from the requirement to collect information directly from the individual to the greatest extent practicable **and** [check the relevant box below and provide the information requested].
 - i. **All** records used to make an adverse determination are collected directly from the individual about whom the decision is made. A **combination** of records collected from third parties **and** directly from the individual about whom the determination is made are used to make the determination because [please explain **here** why third-party data is required to make this determination; e.g., third-party data is required to verify the accuracy of the information provided by the individual seeking a privilege or benefit].
 - iii. **None** of the records used to make adverse determinations are collected directly from the individual about whom determinations are made because seeking the information directly from the individual might [select **ALL** that apply]:
 - alert the individual to the fact that their conduct is being observed or investigated;
 - cause the individual to alter or modify their activities to avoid detection;
 - create risks to witnesses or other third parties if the individual is alerted to the fact that their conduct is being observed or investigated;
 - Other: (please describe **here**).

Section 5.1(d) Additional controls designed to ensure accuracy, completeness, timeliness, and fairness to individuals in making adverse determinations.

- 1. Administrative Controls.** Individuals about whom information is collected are given the following opportunities to amend/correct/update their information to ensure it is accurate, timely and complete to the extent reasonably necessary to assure fairness when it is used to make a determination about them:
- a. The PII collected for use in the system or project is NOT used to make adverse determinations about an individual's rights, benefits, and privileges under federal programs. *The OIG, Office of Audits does not make adverse determinations about individuals. [If checked, go to the next question [Section 5.2(d)1, Technical Controls].*

- b. The records maintained in the system or by the project are used to make adverse determinations and (*select one*) are are not exempt from the access provisions in the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a(d).
- c. Treasury has published regulations in place describing how individuals may seek access to and amendment of their records under the [Privacy Act](#). *The [Treasury/bureaus FOIA and Privacy Act disclosure regulations](#) can be found at 31 C.F.R. Part 1, Subtitle A, Subparts A and C.*
- d. Individuals who provide their information directly to Treasury for use in the system or by the project are provided notice of the adverse determination and an opportunity to amend/correct/ update their information [*choose one*] before after it is used to make a final, adverse determination about them. This is accomplished by [*describe **here** how this process works and the protections in place, including redress/appeals processes; if notice is provided **after** an adverse determination is made, explain **here** why notice could not be provided **before** a determination was made, and the protections in place*].
- e. Individuals who provide their information directly to Treasury for use in the system or by the project are expressly told at the point where the information is collected that they need to keep their information accurate, current and complete because it could be used to make adverse determinations about them. This is accomplished by [*describe **here** how/where/when individuals are told they need to keep their information updated before it is used to make adverse decisions about them; include the exact language provided to the individuals*].
- f. All manual PII data entry by federal employees/contractors is verified by a supervisor or other data entry personnel before it is uploaded to the system (e.g., PII entered into the system from paper records is double-checked by someone else before it's uploaded to the system). This is accomplished by: [*describe here how this process works*].
- g. Other: [*please describe here*].

2. Technical controls. The system or project also includes additional technical controls to ensure that PII is maintained with such accuracy, relevance, timeliness and completeness as is reasonably necessary to assure fairness to the individual when it is used to make a determination about them. The following additional protections are relevant to this system or project

- a. No additional technical controls are available to ensure accuracy, relevance, timeliness and completeness.
- b. Automated data feeds are used to refresh/update the information in the system (where the system is reliant on updates from another system). These automated data feeds occur: [*state here the frequency of updates*] and [*state here what happens when the data is updated and why the system is reliant on another system for its data*].
- c. Technical and/or administrative controls put are in place to ensure that when information about an individual is acquired from multiple sources for maintenance in a single file about a particular individual, it all relates to the same individual . This is accomplished by: [*describe here the method or process used to ensure that*

information merged about an individual from multiple sources for inclusion in a single file, all relates to the same person].

- d. Address verification and correction software (software that validates, updates and standardizes the postal addresses in a database).
- e. Other: [please describe here]

Section 5.2 Data-Mining

As required by Section 804 of the [Implementing Recommendation of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007](#) (“9-11 Commission Act”), Treasury reports annually to Congress on its data mining activities. For a comprehensive overview of Treasury’s data mining activities, please review the Department’s Annual Privacy Act and Data Mining reports available at: <http://www.treasury.gov/privacy/annual-reports>.

Section 5.2(a) Is the PII maintained in the system used to conduct data-mining?

- 1. The information maintained in this system or by this project ***is not*** used to conduct “data-mining” activities as that term is defined in the [9-11 Commission Act](#). Therefore, no privacy or civil liberties issues were identified in responding to this question.
- 2. The information maintained in this system or by this project ***is*** used to conduct “data-mining” activities as that term is defined in the [9-11 Commission Act](#). This system is included in Treasury’s annual report to Congress which can be found on the external Treasury privacy website.
- 3. The information maintained in this system or by this project ***is*** used to conduct “data-mining” activities as that term is defined in the [9-11 Commission Act](#), but this system is not included in Treasury’s annual report to Congress which can be found on the external Treasury privacy website. This system will be added to the next Treasury Data-mining report to Congress.

Section 5.3 Computer Matching

The Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act (CMPPA) of 1988 amended the Privacy Act by imposing additional requirements when Privacy Act systems of records are used in computer matching programs.

Pursuant to the CMPPA, there are two distinct types of matching programs. The first type of matching program involves the computerized comparison of two or more automated federal personnel or payroll systems of records or a system of federal personnel or payroll records with non-federal records. This type of matching program may be conducted for any purpose. The second type of matching program involves the computerized comparison of two or more automated systems of records or a system of records with non-federal records. The purpose of this type of matching program must be for the purpose of eligibility determinations or compliance requirements for applicants, recipients, beneficiaries, participants, or providers of services for payments or in-kind assistance under federal benefit programs, or recouping payments or delinquent debts under such federal benefit programs. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 522a(a)(8). Matching programs must be conducted pursuant to a matching agreement between the source (the agency providing the records) and recipient agency (the agency that receives and uses the records to make determinations). The matching agreement describes the purpose and procedures of the matching **and** establishes protections for matching records.

Section 5.3(a) Records in the system used in a computer matching program.

1. The PII maintained in the system or by the project ***is not*** part of a Privacy Act system of records.
2. The information maintained in the system or by the project ***is*** part of a Privacy Act system of records but ***is not*** used as part of a matching program.
3. The information maintained in the system or by the project ***is*** part of a Privacy Act system of records and ***is*** used as part of a matching program. [*If whether a Matching Agreement was executed and published as required by the CMPPA/Privacy Act; if no Matching Agreement was executed, please explain here why*]: Explain here.

Section 5.3(b) Is there a matching agreement?

1. N/A
2. There is a matching agreement in place that contains the information required by Section (o) of the [Privacy Act](#).
3. There is a matching agreement in place, but it does not contain all of the information required by Section (o) of the [Privacy Act](#). The following actions are underway to amend the agreement to ensure that it is compliant. [discuss **here** the issues that were discovered that required amendment and how those issues are being mitigated/fixed].

Section 5.3(c) What procedures are followed before adverse action is taken against an individual who is the subject of a matching agreement search?

1. N/A
2. The bureau or office that owns the system or project conducted an assessment regarding the accuracy of the records that are used in the matching program and the following additional protections were put in place:
 - a. The results of that assessment were independently verified by [*explain how and by whom accuracy is independently verified; include the general activities involved in the verification process*].
 - b. Before any information subject to the matching agreement is used to suspend, terminate, reduce, or make a final denial of any financial assistance or payment under a Federal benefit program to an individual:
 - i. The individual receives notice and an opportunity to contest the findings; **OR**
 - ii. The Data Integrity Board approves the proposed action with respect to the financial assistance or payment in accordance with Section (p) of the [Privacy Act](#) before taking adverse action against the individual.
3. No assessment was made regarding the accuracy of the records that are used in the matching program.

Section 5.4: Information sharing with external (i.e., outside Treasury) organizations and individuals

Section 5.4(a) PII shared with/disclosed to agencies, organizations or individuals outside Treasury

1. [PII](#) maintained in the system or by the project is ***not*** shared with agencies, organizations, or individuals external to Treasury.

2. PII maintained in the system or by the project **is** shared with the following agencies, organizations, or individuals external to Treasury: *[For each recipient, provide the following: (1) name of organization/type of individual; (2) the PII shared; (3) the purpose of the sharing; (4) identify any statutes that limit use or sharing of the information; (5) identify any applicable MOU].*
3. All external disclosures **are** authorized by the Privacy Act (including routine uses in the applicable SORN).

Section 5.4(b) Accounting of Disclosures

An accounting of disclosures is a log of all external (outside Treasury) disclosures of records made from a system of records that has **not** been exempted from this accounting requirement. This log must either be maintained regularly or be capable of assembly in a reasonable amount of time after an individual makes a request. Certain system of records may be exempted from releasing an accounting of disclosures (e.g., in law enforcement investigations).

Section 5.4(c) Making the Accounting of Disclosures Available

1. The records are not maintained in a system of records subject to the Privacy Act so an accounting is **not** required.
2. No external disclosures are made from the system.
3. The Privacy Act system of records maintained in the system or by the project **is** exempt from the requirement to make the accounting available to the individual named in the record. Exemption from this requirement was claimed because: *The application of 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3) would impair the ability of law enforcement agencies outside the Department/OIG to make effective use of information provided by the Department/OIG. There is information received within TeamMate that spurs from hotline complaints, which may lead to investigations. Providing accountings to subjects of investigations would alert them to the fact that the Department/OIG has identified them as suspects or subjects of an investigation. Access to such information could reveal the operation of the Department/OIG's information-gathering and analysis systems and permit individuals to take steps to avoid detection or apprehension and impair the Department/OIG's ability to carry out its mission.*
4. The Privacy Act system of records maintained in the system or by the project is **not** exempt from the requirement to make the accounting available to the individual named in the record and a log is maintained regularly. The log is maintained for at least five years and includes the date, nature, and purpose of each disclosure (not including intra-agency disclosures and FOIA disclosures) of a record to any person or to another agency (outside of Treasury) and the name and address of the person or agency to whom the disclosure is made.
5. The Privacy Act system of records maintained in the system or by the project is **not** exempt from the requirement to make the accounting available to the individual named in the record and a log is **not** maintained regularly but is capable of being constructed in a reasonable amount of time upon request. The information necessary to reconstruct the log (i.e., date, nature, and purpose of each disclosure) is maintained for at least five years.

Section 5.4(d) Obtaining Consent Prior to New Disclosures Not Authorized by the Privacy Act

Records in a system of records subject to the Privacy Act may not be disclosed by "any means of communication to any person or to another agency" without the prior written request or consent of the individuals to whom the records pertain. 5 U.S.C. Sec. 552a(b). However, the Act also sets forth twelve exceptions to this general restriction. These 12 exceptions may be viewed at: <https://www.justice.gov/usam/eousa-resource-manual-139-routine-uses-and-exemptions>. Unless one of these 12 exceptions applies, the individual to whom a record pertains must provide their consent, where feasible and appropriate, before their records may be disclosed to anyone who is not listed in one of the 12 exceptions. One of these 12 exceptions also allows agencies to include in a notice published in the Federal Register, a list of routine uses. Routine uses are disclosures outside the agency that are compatible with the purpose for which the records were collected.

Section 5.4(e) Obtaining Prior Written Consent

1. The records maintained in the system of records are only shared in a manner consistent with one of the 12 exceptions in the Privacy Act, including the routine uses published in the Federal Register.
2. If a situation arises where disclosure (written, oral, electronic, or mechanical) must be made to anyone outside of Treasury who is not listed in one of the 12 exceptions in the Privacy Act (including the published routine uses), the individual's prior written consent will be obtained where feasible and appropriate.

Section 6: Compliance with Federal information management requirements

Responses to the questions below address the practical, policy, and legal consequences of failing to comply with one or more of the following federal information management requirements (to the extent required) and how those risks were or are being mitigated: (1) the Privacy Act System of Records Notice Requirement; (2) the Paperwork Reduction Act; (3) the Federal Records Act; (4) the E-Gov Act security requirements; and (5) Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Section 6.1: The Paperwork Reduction Act

The PRA requires OMB approval before a Federal agency may collect standardized data from 10 or more respondents within a 12-month period. OMB also requires agencies to conduct a PIA (a Treasury PCLIA) when initiating, consistent with the PRA, a new electronic collection of PII for 10 or more persons (excluding agencies, instrumentalities, or employees of the federal government).

Section 6.1(a)

1. The system or project maintains information obtained from individuals and organizations who are not federal personnel or an agency of the federal government (i.e., outside the federal government)
2. The project or system involves a new collection of [information in identifiable form](#) for 10 or more persons from outside the federal government.
3. The project or system completed an Information Collection Request (“ICR”) and received OMB approval.
4. The project or system did not complete an Information Collection Request (“ICR”) and receive OMB approval because *the information is not collected directly from the individual to whom it pertains.*

Section 6.2: Records Management - NARA/Federal Records Act Requirements

Records retention schedules determine the maximum amount of time necessary to retain information in order to meet the needs of the project or system. Information is generally either disposed of or sent to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) for permanent retention upon expiration of this period. If the system has an applicable SORN(s), check the “Policies and Practices for Retention and Disposal of Records” section.

Section 6.2(a)

1. The records used in the system or by the project are covered by a NARA’s General Records Schedule (GRS). The GRS is *NI-56-00-02 & NI-56-09-23*.
2. The records used in the system or by the project are covered by a NARA approved Treasury bureau Specific Records Schedule (SRS). The SRS *[please provide here the specific schedule name and identifying number]*
3. On *[please state the date on which NARA approval was sought]* the system owner sought approval from NARA for an SRS and is awaiting a response from NARA. *[State here the retention periods you proposed to NARA]*.
4. The system owner is still in the process of developing a new records schedule to submit to NARA.

Section 6.3: E-Government Act/NIST Compliance

The completion of Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) Security Assessment & Authorization (SA&A) process is required before a federal information system may receive Authority to Operate (ATO).

Section 6.3(a)

1. The system is a federal [information system](#) subject to FISMA requirements.
2. The system last completed an SA&A and received an ATO on: *April 15, 2024*.
3. This is a new system has not yet been authorized to operate. The expected to date for receiving ATO is *[please state here the expected date on which you expect authorization will be granted]*.
4. The system or project maintains access controls to ensure that access to PII maintained is limited to individuals who have a need to know the information in order to perform their official Treasury duties.

5. All Treasury/bureau security requirements are met when disclosing and transferring information (e.g., bulk transfer, direct access by recipient, portable disk, paper) from the Treasury system or project to internal or external parties.
6. This system or project maintains an audit log of system users to ensure they do not violate the system and/or Treasury/bureau rules of behavior.
7. This system or project has the capability to identify, locate, and monitor individuals or groups of people other than the monitoring of system users to ensure that they do not violate the system's rules of behavior. *[If checked, please describe this capability here, including safeguards put in place to ensure the protection of privacy and civil liberties.]*

Section 6.4: Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973

When Federal agencies develop, procure, maintain, or use Electronic and Information Technology (EIT), [Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973](#) (as amended in 1998) requires that individuals with disabilities (including federal employees) must have access and use (including privacy policies and directives as well as redress opportunities) that is comparable to that which is available to individuals who do not have disabilities.

Section 6.4(a)

1. The project or system will ***not*** involve the development, procurement, maintenance or use of EIT as that term is defined in [Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973](#) (as amended in 1998)?
2. The project or system ***will*** involve the development, procurement, maintenance or use of EIT as that term is defined in [Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973](#) (as amended in 1998)? *If checked:*
3. The system or project complies with all [Section 508](#) requirements, thus ensuring that individuals with disabilities (including federal employees) have access and use (including access to privacy and civil liberties policies) that is comparable to that which is available to individuals who do not have disabilities.
4. The system or project is not in compliance with all [Section 508](#) requirements. The following actions are in progress to ensure compliance: *The following actions are in progress to ensure compliance: Final audit reports are made 508 compliant prior to being publish on Treasury OIG's public website.*

Responsible Officials Certification

A PCLIA is being completed for this system for the first time. I have reviewed all responses in the PCLIA, and it reflects the current, accurate, and complete status of the system, including the significant changes.

This system was reviewed pursuant to continuous monitoring requirements. Since the PCLIA was last updated, significant changes have been made to the system that warranted modifications to the PCLIA. I have reviewed all responses in the PCLIA, and it reflects the current, accurate, and complete status of the system, including the significant changes.

This system was reviewed pursuant to continuous monitoring requirements. Since the PCLIA was last updated, **no** significant changes have been made to the system that would warrant any modifications to the PCLIA. I have reviewed all responses in the PCLIA, and it reflects the current, accurate, and complete status of the system.

Kester Buckmire,
Director IT/Cybersecurity – System Owner
Treasury Office of Inspector General

Approval Signature

Timothy H. Skinner, JD
Bureau Privacy and Civil Liberties Officer
Departmental Offices, & Records