

# SSBCI Quarterly Report through September 30, 2024

U.S. Department of the Treasury

### SSBCI Quarterly Report through September 30, 2024

#### **OVERVIEW**

Reauthorized and expanded by the American Rescue Plan Act, the State Small Business Credit Initiative (SSBCI) is a nearly \$10 billion program which funds participating jurisdictions' programs that spur lending and investing in small businesses and provide technical assistance to small businesses. The SSBCI incentivizes participating jurisdictions to enable financing for businesses in historically underserved communities and for entrepreneurs who may have otherwise lacked access to capital. This report presents cumulative data from capital program quarterly reports submitted by participating jurisdictions from the beginning of the reauthorized SSBCI program through the quarter ending September 30, 2024.

#### **CAPITAL PROGRAM ACTIVITY**

**Approvals:** As of September 30, 2024, Treasury approved 56 states and territories' Capital program applications and 68 Tribal government or joint Tribal applications. Of these, Treasury required 106 jurisdictions to submit quarterly reports for one or more quarters covered by this report, representing almost \$8.6 billion in allocations. All jurisdictions required to submit reports did so.

**Disbursements:** Jurisdictions receive SSBCI funding in three tranches which are disbursed based on previous funds deployed.<sup>2</sup> Additional funding may be available for jurisdictions that successfully reach underserved businesses.<sup>3</sup> As of September 30, 2024, Treasury disbursed almost \$3.1 billion to jurisdictions, representing the first disbursement for 119 jurisdictions, the second disbursement for 13 jurisdictions, and the third disbursement for 4 jurisdictions.

**Funds deployed:** As of September 30, 2024, 80 jurisdictions reported having deployed (expended, obligated, or transferred, or "EOT") almost \$1.9 billion in SSBCI funds through their SSBCI Capital Programs. This represents a 15% increase in deployment from the previous quarter.

### **CAPITAL PROGRAM ALLOCATIONS**

The 106 jurisdictions reporting for this period operate 282 different credit support and investment programs. They allocated approximately 64% (\$5.5 billion) of their funding to programs that support loans, such as loan guarantees and loan participation programs. They allocated approximately 36% (\$3.1 billion) to equity/venture capital programs including direct investment programs, fund investment programs and debt/equity hybrid programs that spur investment in small businesses. Businesses, lenders, and investors may find additional details on jurisdictions' programs at <a href="https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/small-business-programs/state-small-business-credit-initiative-ssbci/capital-program-summaries">https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/small-business-programs/state-small-business-credit-initiative-ssbci/capital-program-summaries</a>.

- 1 The term "participating jurisdictions" refers to the states, the District of Columbia, territories, and Tribal governments or groups of Tribal governments that are participating in the SSBCI program.
- 2 For purposes of this report, SSBCI funds deployed are those "expended, obligated, or transferred" or "EOT" (as those terms are defined in section III.b of the Capital Program Policy Guidelines). SSBCI funds "expended" are funds used to support loans or investments or for administrative expenses. SSBCI funds "obligated" are funds committed, pledged, or otherwise promised, in writing, to support loans or investments, including obligations to intermediaries, and for administrative expenses. SSBCI funds "transferred" are funds transferred to a contracting entity as reimbursement of expenses incurred or to fund a loan or investment. Funds "expended, obligated, or transferred" includes obligations to venture capital funds not yet linked to specific small business investments.
- 3 See SSBCI Capital Program Guidelines, pp 11-12, <a href="https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/SSBCI-Capital-Program-Policy-Guidelines.pdf">https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/136/SSBCI-Capital-Program-Policy-Guidelines.pdf</a> Guidelines.pdf</a>
- 4 Data on fund deployment by Participating Jurisdictions throughout this report are as reported by Participating Jurisdictions to Treasury, which include transactions that may be under active review by Treasury or other bodies, have not been verified by Treasury, and may be revised in the future.

#### **TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS**

The SSBCI funds technical assistance to small businesses through grants to jurisdictions and by funding the Minority Business Development Agency's Capital Readiness Program (CRP). As of September 30, 2024, Treasury approved 63 of 125 applications by states, territories and Tribal governments for technical assistance programs, and as of September 2023, the MBDA had announced all 43 recommended awardees. For more information on the CRP, see <a href="https://www.mbda.gov/crp">https://www.mbda.gov/crp</a>.

#### FEATURED PROGRAMS AND TRANSACTIONS

### GEORGIA EQUITY DIRECT PROGRAM CATALYZES PRIVATE INVESTMENT IN JOHNSON ENERGY STORAGE

Based in Atlanta, Georgia, Johnson Energy Storage (JES) is developing All-Solid-State Batteries – an evolution of traditional lithium-ion batteries intended to improve energy density, efficiency, and safety. The company aims to create long-lasting energy storage systems with the potential to improve electric vehicle batteries, along with other industrial and commercial applications. Founder Dr. Lonnie Johnson invented the glass electrolyte separator that is at the core of the JES product.

In 2024, the company was in a period of rapid development and kicked off fundraising to support its planned expansion of facilities. Through the SSBCI-supported Georgia Equity Direct Program, the Georgia Department of Community Affairs / Invest Georgia provided an early investment to support JES – catalyzing significant private investment in the company. With an initial SSBCI-supported investment of \$500,000, the company has since raised over \$15 million based on interest from private investors – far surpassing its initial goal for a \$5 million round.

Since the start of the raise, JES has brought on new CEO - Brandon Martin, a Marine Corp Veteran with 12 years of experience in the renewable energy sector - and doubled its staff, and plans to build a new 40,000-square-foot headquarters with expanded laboratory facilities. With this investment, Johnson Energy Storage plans to advance its research and development efforts, participating in the evolution of clean energy technology.

### PENNSYLVANIA'S SSBCI REVOLVING LOAN FUND PROGRAM INVESTS IN WOMEN-OWNED HOME HEATING FUEL COMPANY

Oakland Fuel Oil is a family-owned supplier of home heating oil, propane, and diesel fuel, based in Mifflintown, PA since 1999. In addition, the company is a Small Business Administration-certified women-owned small business. In late 2023, the company sought to upgrade outdated equipment and improve efficiency. To achieve that goal, Oakland Fuel Oil would need to replace underground heating oil tanks with modern, safer equipment and upgrade its fuel pumps.

The company was able to qualify for \$945,000 in total financing – with Kish Bank approving \$545,000 with a \$400,000 participation by the SEDA Council of Government (SEDA-COG), a nonprofit lender enrolled in Pennsylvania's SSBCI Revolving Loan Fund program. Pennsylvania's program allows enrolled lenders, like SEDA-COG, to use SSBCI funds to provide credit enhancements that support small business borrowers in qualifying for private financing.

"It's been extremely rewarding helping Oakland Fuel transition to meet growing demand under the skilled leadership of owner Linda Kint." said John Reichard, SEDA-COG's business finance relationship manager. "We thank our lending partners like Kish Bank for making projects like this possible for small businesses in our communities."


Linda Kint added: "The financing through SEDA-COG and Kish Bank has been a huge help for my business to expand to bigger and better things. I was able to add self-serve fuel pumps, which has dramatically increased my On-Road Diesel and Off-Road Diesel sales.

Caleb Shertzer from Kish Bank said "Linda took on new challenges in these projects. In the end, they will be very valuable to her and the company. The best part about my job is working with great people and helping them grow and improve their businesses."

### **QUARTERLY DATA**

### Figure 1: Funds Allocated by Program Type


As of September 30, 2024, the 106 reporting jurisdictions reported an allocation of almost \$8.6 billion to 282 programs. Jurisdictions allocated approximately 64% (\$5.5 billion) of their funding to programs that support loans, with the highest percent of total allocation to loan participation programs at 31%. Jurisdictions allocated approximately 36% (\$3.1 billion) to investment programs, with the highest percent of total allocation to direct equity capital programs at 20%.<sup>5</sup>



<sup>5</sup> In Figure 1, approved hybrid direct and hybrid fund programs are included within direct and fund programs, respectfully.

### FIGURE 2: FUNDS REPORTED DEPLOYED (EOT) BY QUARTER


As of September 30, 2024, jurisdictions reported funds deployed (EOT) totaling almost \$1.9 billion, an increase of 15% over the prior quarter ending June 30, 2024.<sup>6</sup>



The values for each quarter reflect the cumulative amount reported as of that quarter. Jurisdictions submit an initial report after their first full calendar quarter following execution of their allocation agreement. Therefore, initial quarterly reports may contain activity from the prior quarter.

### FIGURE 3: TOP 40 JURISDICTIONS BY REPORTED FUNDS DEPLOYED (EOT)7


Of the 106 jurisdictions that submitted quarterly reports, 80 reported total funds deployed (EOT) of almost \$1.9 billion, with the highest deployment of funds reported by California at almost \$327 million.



<sup>7</sup> Figure 3 excludes Tribal governments.

### FIGURE 4: TOP 20 JURISDICTIONS BY REPORTED FUNDS DEPLOYED (EOT) AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL ALLOCATION<sup>8</sup>

Of the 106 jurisdictions that submitted quarterly reports, Montana reported the highest amount of funds deployed (EOT) as a percentage of total allocation at 79.9%, followed by Vermont at 72.8%.



<sup>8</sup> Figure 4 excludes Tribal governments.

FIGURE 5: SUMMARY TABLE OF REPORTING JURISDICTIONS BY ALLOCATED FUNDS REPORTED DEPLOYED (EOT) AS A PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL ALLOCATION<sup>9</sup>

	Jurisdiction <sup>10</sup>	Allocation Agreement Date	Total Allocation	Funds Disbursed	Allocated Funds Deployed	Disbursed Funds Deployed % <sup>11</sup>	Total Allocation Deployed % <sup>12</sup>
1	Montana	8/5/2022	\$61,327,969	\$61,327,969	\$49,012,616	79.9%	79.9%
2	Vermont	7/19/2022	\$57,947,977	\$57,947,977	\$42,184,781	72.8%	72.8%
3	New Hampshire	6/1/2022	\$61,468,436	\$61,468,436	\$40,344,682	65.6%	65.6%
4	Maine	6/30/2022	\$62,232,918	\$40,739,881	\$40,077,411	98.4%	64.4%
5	Alaska	9/26/2022	\$59,905,891	\$39,333,549	\$36,562,093	93.0%	61.0%
6	North Carolina	7/26/2022	\$201,897,680	\$128,720,389	\$93,530,637	72.7%	46.3%
7	Idaho	9/21/2022	\$65,677,548	\$42,821,635	\$23,769,358	55.5%	36.2%
8	Kansas	5/31/2022	\$69,596,847	\$45,190,256	\$25,180,523	55.7%	36.2%
9	South Carolina	6/9/2022	\$101,342,659	\$64,624,044	\$32,879,244	50.9%	32.4%
10	North Dakota	10/11/2022	\$58,641,843	\$19,075,449	\$18,869,974	98.9%	32.2%
11	Nebraska	9/6/2022	\$64,005,718	\$20,229,849	\$20,139,340	99.6%	31.5%
12	West Virginia	5/24/2022	\$72,104,798	\$21,972,911	\$21,972,911	100.0%	30.5%
13	Florida	10/26/2022	\$488,486,572	\$142,342,307	\$142,342,306	100.0%	29.1%
14	Oklahoma	9/30/2022	\$81,646,606	\$24,026,474	\$22,857,984	95.1%	28.0%
15	Arizona	6/16/2022	\$111,031,354	\$69,748,246	\$31,043,368	44.5%	28.0%
16	California	9/16/2022	\$1,181,997,613	\$357,113,878	\$326,848,291	91.5%	27.7%
17	Connecticut	6/16/2022	\$119,414,934	\$37,336,096	\$32,850,000	88.0%	27.5%
18	Hawaii	5/18/2022	\$62,021,957	\$19,802,909	\$16,434,639	83.0%	26.5%
19	Colorado	8/3/2022	\$104,773,554	\$67,541,818	\$26,834,649	39.7%	25.6%
20	Michigan	5/18/2022	\$236,990,950	\$71,190,595	\$60,496,560	85.0%	25.5%
21	Pennsylvania	7/19/2022	\$267,838,132	\$81,370,144	\$68,249,598	83.9%	25.5%
22	Illinois	12/2/2022	\$354,626,570	\$108,678,160	\$89,443,553	82.3%	25.2%
23	Wyoming	12/30/2022	\$58,426,481	\$19,029,100	\$14,444,773	75.9%	24.7%
24	Maryland	5/24/2022	\$198,404,958	\$61,835,553	\$48,762,304	78.9%	24.6%
25	Iowa	8/26/2022	\$96,102,644	\$30,352,434	\$23,581,763	77.7%	24.5%
26	Georgia	11/4/2022	\$199,616,860	\$56,484,582	\$48,717,897	86.2%	24.4%
27	New Mexico	9/8/2022	\$74,488,805	\$22,485,991	\$17,842,778	79.4%	24.0%
28	Indiana	7/11/2022	\$99,087,725	\$28,445,459	\$22,476,941	79.0%	22.7%
29	Louisiana	12/19/2022	\$113,071,405	\$32,859,055	\$25,504,403	77.6%	22.6%
30	Massachusetts	10/12/2022	\$168,591,178	\$51,893,558	\$36,300,278	70.0%	21.5%
31	Virginia	11/4/2022	\$230,435,003	\$71,220,519	\$48,263,458	67.8%	20.9%
32	Arkansas	12/13/2022	\$81,621,691	\$24,021,112	\$16,321,960	67.9%	20.0%
33	Minnesota	9/23/2022	\$97,012,596	\$29,524,884	\$19,225,852	65.1%	19.8%
34	South Dakota	7/26/2022	\$60,010,454	\$19,369,998	\$19,223,832	60.7%	19.6%
35	Kentucky	2/16/2023	\$117,122,549	\$34,622,095	\$21,279,914	61.5%	18.2%
36	Oregon	9/26/2022	\$83,501,226	\$24,425,621	\$14,917,285	61.1%	17.9%
37	New York	8/24/2022	\$501,587,385	\$151,237,824	\$87,203,549	57.7%	17.4%
				1 1			
38	Rhode Island	1/8/2023	\$61,726,320	\$19,739,282	\$10,635,656	53.9%	17.2%
39	District of Columbia	9/28/2023	\$62,027,062	\$19,804,007	\$9,845,180	49.7%	15.9%
40	Missouri	9/30/2022	\$94,855,803	\$26,869,323	\$13,049,143	48.6%	13.8%
41	Mississippi	5/9/2023	\$86,078,447	\$24,980,284	\$11,352,421	45.4%	13.2%
42	Tennessee	2/9/2023	\$116,929,549	\$32,670,956	\$15,282,994	46.8%	13.1%
43	New Jersey	3/15/2023	\$255,197,631	\$79,371,395	\$31,623,778	39.8%	12.4%
44	Nevada	10/4/2022	\$112,956,523	\$34,876,941	\$13,752,075	39.4%	12.2%
45	United States Virgin Islands	1/27/2023	\$57,860,549	\$18,907,301	\$6,980,395	36.9%	12.1%
46	Wisconsin	2/8/2023	\$79,125,677	\$23,483,927	\$8,932,484	38.0%	11.3%
47	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	2/8/2023	\$109,373,069	\$29,993,692	\$11,111,190	37.0%	10.2%
48	Guam	12/2/2022	\$58,669,922	\$19,081,492	\$3,626,898	19.0%	6.2%
49	Ohio	9/27/2022	\$182,347,892	\$52,440,610	\$9,979,802	19.0%	5.5%
50	Utah	10/24/2022	\$69,006,000	\$21,305,996	\$3,700,560	17.4%	5.4%
51	Alabama	8/29/2023	\$97,943,015	\$27,533,745	\$4,272,778	15.5%	4.4%
52	Delaware	1/23/2023	\$60,973,103	\$19,577,177	\$855,296	4.4%	1.4%
53	Washington	2/1/2023	\$163,460,354	\$49,462,556	\$1,681,128	3.4%	1.0%
54	American Samoa	3/5/2024	\$57,082,769	\$18,739,909	\$568,759	3.0%	1.0%
55	Texas	7/11/2023	\$472,094,133	\$134,488,297	\$2,775,085	2.1%	0.6%
				\$2,893,737,647	\$1,878,552,053		

<sup>9</sup> Total allocated funds include initial eligible amounts of incentive allocations for participating jurisdictions that demonstrate "robust support" for underserved businesses, as described in SSBCI guidance. Initial incentive allocation funding is generally disbursed based on the participating jurisdictions' deployment of funds to support certain underserved businesses.

<sup>10</sup> This table excludes data for Tribal governments and any participating jurisdictions that were not required to report over the period covered by this report.

<sup>11</sup> Disbursed Funds Deployed % is calculated by dividing the Allocated Funds Deployed by Funds Disbursed.

<sup>12</sup> Total Allocation Deployed % is calculated by dividing the Allocated Funds Deployed by Total Allocation.

## FIGURE 6: SUMMARY TABLE OF APPROVED TRIBAL GOVERNMENT PARTICIPATING JURISDICTIONS AND TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2024)

12 13 14 15	The Chickasaw Nation  Yurok Tribe of the Yurok Reservation, California  Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians of California  Ninilchik Village  Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin  Washoe Tribe of Nevada & California  The Osage Nation  Redding Rancheria, California  Citizen Potawatomi Nation, Oklahoma  Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation  Levelock Village  Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota  Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians  Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope  32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development  Corporation (ATNI-EDC):  Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California  Big Lagoon Rancheria, California	\$16,061,54 \$1,406,08 \$631,38 \$689,03 \$1,991,39 \$724,52 \$5,092,32 \$639,55 \$8,066,64 \$869,82 \$627,05 \$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86 \$29,303,26
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Paskenta Band of Nomlaki Indians of California Ninilchik Village Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin Washoe Tribe of Nevada & California The Osage Nation Redding Rancheria, California Citizen Potawatomi Nation, Oklahoma Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Levelock Village Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope 32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC): Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$631,38 \$689,03 \$1,991,39 \$724,52 \$5,092,32 \$639,55 \$8,066,64 \$869,82 \$627,05 \$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ninilchik Village  Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin  Washoe Tribe of Nevada & California  The Osage Nation  Redding Rancheria, California  Citizen Potawatomi Nation, Oklahoma  Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation  Levelock Village  Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota  Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians  Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope  32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC):  Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$689,03 \$1,991,39 \$724,52 \$5,092,32 \$639,55 \$8,066,64 \$869,82 \$627,05 \$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin Washoe Tribe of Nevada & California The Osage Nation Redding Rancheria, California Citizen Potawatomi Nation, Oklahoma Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Levelock Village Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope 32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC): Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$1,991,39 \$724,52 \$5,092,32 \$639,55 \$8,066,64 \$869,82 \$627,05 \$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Washoe Tribe of Nevada & California The Osage Nation Redding Rancheria, California Citizen Potawatomi Nation, Oklahoma Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Levelock Village Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope 32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC): Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$724,52 \$5,092,32 \$639,55 \$8,066,64 \$869,82 \$627,05 \$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The Osage Nation Redding Rancheria, California Citizen Potawatomi Nation, Oklahoma Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Levelock Village Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope 32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC): Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$5,092,32 \$639,55 \$8,066,64 \$869,82 \$627,05 \$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Redding Rancheria, California Citizen Potawatomi Nation, Oklahoma Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Levelock Village Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope 32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC): Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$639,55 \$8,066,64 \$869,82 \$627,05 \$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
9 10 11 12 13 14	Citizen Potawatomi Nation, Oklahoma Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Levelock Village Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope 32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC): Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$8,066,64 \$869,82 \$627,05 \$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
10 11 12 13 14 15	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation Levelock Village Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope 32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC): Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$869,82 \$627,05 \$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
11 12 13 14 15	Levelock Village Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope 32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC): Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$627,05 \$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
12 13 14 15	Rosebud Sioux Tribe of the Rosebud Indian Reservation, South Dakota  Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope  32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC):  Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$7,853,17 \$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
13 14 15	Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope 32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC): Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$3,558,07 \$2,993,86
14 15	Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope  32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC):  Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	\$2,993,86
15	32 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians Economic Development Corporation (ATNI-EDC):  Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	
15	Corporation (ATNI-EDC):  Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California	723,303,20
	Blue Lāke Rancheria, California Burns Paiute Tribe Cher-Ae Heights Indian Community of the Trinidad Rancheria, California Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Oregon Hoopa Valley Tribe, California Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe Karuk Tribe Los Coyotes Band of Cahuilla and Cupeno Indians, California Makah Indian Tribe of the Makah Indian Reservation Muckleshoot Indian Tribe Nez Perce Tribe Nooksack Indian Tribe Quechan Tribe of the Fort Yuma Indian Reservation, California & Arizona Resighini Rancheria, California Scotts Valley Band of Pomo Indians of California Skokomish Indian Tribe Spokane Tribe of the Spokane Reservation Suquamish Indian Tribe of the Port Madison Reservation Tolowa Dee-ni' Nation Wiyot Tribe, California Big Valley Band of Pomo Indians, California Morongo Band of Mission Indians, California Pueblo of Taos, New Mexico Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe of the Pyramid Lake Reservation, Nevada Tule River Indian Tribe of the Tule River Reservation, California	
1.0	Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico	¢c15 70
	Cachil DeHe Band of Wintun Indians of the Colusa Indian Community of the Colusa Rancheria, California San Pasqual Band of Diegueno Mission Indians of California	\$615,72 \$620,88
18	7 Tribal governments applying jointly through the Native American Development Corporation (NADC):	\$6,454,02
	Cahto Tribe of the Laytonville Rancheria Chippewa Cree Indians of the Rocky Boy's Reservation, Montana Kashia Band of Pomo Indians of the Stewarts Point Rancheria, California Little Shell Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Montana Lower Brule Sioux Tribe of the Lower Brule Reservation, South Dakota Nansemond Indian Nation Delaware Nation. Oklahoma	
19	Miccosukee Tribe of Indians	\$656,96
20	Minnesota Chippewa – White Earth Band	\$3,883,49
	Akiak Native Community	\$647,71
22	Caddo Nation of Oklahoma	\$1,492,26
	Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin	\$1,819,90
24	Cahuilla Band of Indians	\$646,29
25	Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians of North Dakota	\$7,245,20
26	Comanche Nation, Oklahoma	\$3,882,82
27	Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	\$1,535,58
	Minnesota Chippewa - Bois Forte Band	\$904,48
	Minnesota Chippewa - Fond Du Lac Band Minnesota Chippewa - Grand Portage Band	\$956,63 \$695,78

	Jurisdiction	Total Allocation
31	The Seminole Nation of Oklahoma	\$4,139,833
32	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe of the Cheyenne River Reservation, South Dakota	\$4,937,944
33	The Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma	\$44,981,736
34	Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes, Oklahoma	\$2,869,249
35	Navajo Nation, Arizona, New Mexico, & Utah	\$88,739,540
36	Seneca-Cayuga Nation	\$1,196,612
37	Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah)	\$721,863
38	Oglala Sioux Tribe	\$10,150,666
39	Coushatta Tribe of Louisiana	\$687,039
40	Summit Lake Paiute Tribe of Nevada	\$621,389
41	Saint Paul Island	\$740,107
42	Spirit Lake Tribe, North Dakota	\$1,677,967
43	6 Tribal Governments applying jointly through the Navajo Community Development Financial Institution Incorporation (Navajo CDFI):  Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	\$5,307,619
	Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation Klawock Cooperative Association Mesa Grande Band of Diegueno Mission Indians of the Mesa Grande Reservation, California Pauma Band of Luiseno Mission Indians of the Pauma & Yuima Reservation, California Shinnecock Indian Nation	
44	Ketchikan Indian Community	\$1,426,515
45	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation	\$20,168,057
46	Winnemucca Indian Colony of Nevada	\$609,643
47	Oneida Nation	\$3,839,291
48	Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe	\$3,595,613
49	Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians, Minnesota	\$3,347,495
50	Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Indians, Oklahoma	\$879,653
51	Gila River Indian Community of the Gila River Indian Reservation, Arizona	\$5,052,342
52	Manzanita Band of Diegueno Mission Indians of the Manzanita Reservation, California	\$619,889
53	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe of North & South Dakota	\$3,576,734
54	Lower Sioux Indian Community in the State of Minnesota	\$705,201
55	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma	\$909,145
56	Bad River Band of the Lake Superior Tribe of Chippewa Indians of the Bad River Reservation, Wisconsin	\$1,923,643
57	Lummi Tribe of the Lummi Reservation	\$1,175,953
58	Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians, Michigan	\$10,017,610
59	Duckwater Shoshone Tribe of the Duckwater Reservation, Nevada	\$642,217
60	Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Mission Indians of the Santa Ynez Reservation, California	\$616,641
61	Santee Sioux Nation, Nebraska	\$849,328

Jurisdiction	Total Allocation		
62 125 Tribal goverments applying jointly through the University of Alaska	\$83,142,887		
(Alaska SBDC): Agdaagux Tribe of King Cove	Alaska SBDC):  Igdaagux Tribe of King Cove   Native Village of Noatak		
Akiachak Native Community	Native Village of Nuiqsut (aka Nooiksut)		
Alatna Village	Native Village of Nunapitchuk		
Alutiiq Tribe of Old Harbor	Native Village of Ouzinkie		
Asa'Carsarmiut Cheesh-Na Tribe	Native Village of Perryville Native Village of Pilot Point		
Chickaloon Native Village	Native Village of Port Heiden		
Chignik Bay Tribal Council	Native Village of Port Lions		
Chignik Lake Village Yes	Native Village of Saint Michael		
Chilkat Indian Village (Klukwan)	Native Village of Savoonga		
Chinik Eskimo Community (Golovin) Circle Native Community	Native Village of Scammon Bay Native Village of Selawik		
Curyung Tribal Council Yes	Native Village of Shaktoolik		
Douglas Indian Association	Native Village of Shishmaref		
Egegik Village	Native Village of Tanana		
Eklutna Native Village	Native Village of Teller Native Village of Unalakleet		
Emmonak Village   Galena Village (aka Louden Village)	Native Village of Unga		
Hoonah Indian Association	Native Village of Wales		
Hydaburg Cooperative Association	Native Village of White Mountain		
Iqugmiut Traditional Council	New Koliganek Village Council		
Ivanof Bay Tribe   King Island Native Community	New Stuyahok Village Newhalen Village		
King Salmon Tribe	Newtok Village		
Knik Tribe	Nome Eskimo Community		
Kokhanok Village	Nondalton Village		
Manokotak Village	Noorvik Native Community		
Metlakatla Indian Community, Annette Island Reserve Naknek Native Village	Northway Village   Organized Village of Kake		
Native Village of Afognak	Organized Village of Rake		
Native Village of Akhiok	Orutsararmiut Traditional Native Council		
Native Village of Aleknagik	Pauloff Harbor Village		
Native Village of Ambler	Pedro Bay Village		
Native Village of Barrow Inupiat Traditional Government Native Village of Belkofski	Petersburg Indian Association Pilot Station Traditional Village		
Native Village of Brevig Mission	Platinum Traditional Village		
Native Village of Chignik Lagoon Yes	Portage Creek Village (aka Ohgsenakale)		
Native Village of Council	Saint George Island		
Native Village of Diemode (aka Inalik)	Qawalangin Tribe of Unalaska   Salamatof Tribe		
Native Village of Diomede (aka Inalik)   Native Village of Eagle	Shageluk Native Village		
Native Village of Ekuk	Sitka Tribe of Alaska		
Native Village of Ekwok	Skagway Village		
Native Village of Elim	South Naknek Village		
Native Village of Eyak (Cordova)   Native Village of False Pass	Stebbins Community Association Sun'ag Tribe of Kodiak		
Native Village of False Fass Native Village of Gakona	Tangirnaq Native Village		
Native Village of Gambell	Traditional Village of Togiak		
Native Village of Georgetown	Twin Hills Village		
Native Village of Kanatak	Ugashik Village		
Native Village of Karluk Native Village of Kiana	Village of Atmautluak Village of Bill Moore's Slough		
Native Village of Klaha Native Village of Kobuk	Village of Iliamna		
Native Village of Kongiganak	Village of Kotlik		
Native Village of Koyuk	Village of Lower Kalskag		
Native Village of Kwigillingok Native Village of Mary's Igloo	Village of Ohogamiut Village of Sleetmute		
Native village of Mary's igloo   Native Village of Minto	Village of Solomon		
Native Village of Manaimute	Village of Wainwright		
Native Village of Napakiak	Wrangell Cooperative Association		
Native Village of Nelson Lagoon	Yakutat Tlingit Tribe		
Native Village of Nightmute   Native Village of Nikolski	Yupiit of Andreafski		
63 Shivwits Band of Paiutes		\$684,373	
64 Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Michigan	\$672,876		
65 Coquille Indian Tribe	\$701,618		
66 Cherokee Nation	\$86,853,214		
67 Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate of the Lake Traverse Reservation, South Dak	\$3,176,232		
68 Tohono O'Odham Nation of Arizona		\$7,856,731	
Total		\$522,380,008	

