FY 2023 Treasury Performance Validation and Verification Appendix

Introduction

This Appendix provides a detailed listing of the agency's performance measures and indicators with their respective definitions, data sources, data collection methodologies, assessments of reliability, and reporting frequency. Performance measures and indicators are listed by the strategic objective to which they align and, within each strategic objective, by component, and in the order that the component reported them.

Verification and Validation Process

The Department recognizes the importance of collecting complete, accurate, and reliable performance data since this helps determine progress toward achieving program and Department goals and objectives. Performance data are considered reliable if transactions and other data that support reported performance measures are properly recorded, processed, and summarized to permit the preparation of performance information in accordance with criteria stated by management. OMB Circular A-136, Financial Reporting Requirements, OMB Circular A-11 (A-11), and the Reports Consolidation Act of 2000 (P.L. No. 106-531) further delineate this responsibility by requiring Agency heads to attest to the completeness and reliability of the performance data they report. Treasury employs a central system of record for all publicly reported performance data and requires components to validate the data entered into that system on either a quarterly or annual basis, depending on the frequency with which the data are reported. Performance data are discussed with senior department leadership at Quarterly Performance Reviews (QPRs).

Analysis

A cross-system consistency and verification check revealed that the definition, indicator type, and all other data fields were complete for each measure. However, the review noted areas of improvement to many measures' validation and verification processes. Treasury will continue to strengthen its validation and verification practices, focusing on improved reporting of the most critical information (definitions, data capture source, and data verification and validation method).

Detailed Measure Verification and Validation Report 2023

STRATEGIC GOAL: 1 - Promote Equitable Economic Growth and Recovery

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 1.1 - Tax Administration and Policy

Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau

MEASURE: Amount of Revenue Collected Per Program Dollar

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	369	339	380	375	336	254

Definition: Represents the amount of federal excise taxes collected divided by the amount of resources expended to collect the taxes.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Taxes collected are captured by the Federal Excise Tax (FET) database; expense data are maintained in Oracle

Financials.

Data Verification and Validation: Both of these components represent information that is subject to annual audits and routine reconciliation.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans: TTB returned \$254 for every dollar invested in tax collection activities. While down compared to prior years, this result continues to indicate an efficient program. The downward trend can be attributed to a decrease in tax collections across the alcohol, tobacco, and firearms commodities, with most of the decrease due to declining tobacco collections (10 percent compared to FY 2022) due to ongoing shifts in tobacco product consumption and production. Alcohol excise tax collections also declined, as anticipated, following the permanent enactment of reduced tax rates for alcohol producers and importers in 2020. At the same time, TTB tax administration costs increased in FY 2023, largely due to

significant investments in enforcement as well as IT system security and modernization efforts, all of which were necessary to effectuate the statutory mandate to implement a new alcohol import claims program. This trend is likely to continue in FY 2024.

MEASURE: Percent of Electronically Filed Operational Reports in Pay.gov

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	50	50	50	50	50	65
Actual	42	46	50	53	56	63
Target Met?	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N

Definition: Measure of the rate at which TTB receives operational reports via its electronic filing system, Pay.gov.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: TTB relies on Pay.gov, a Fiscal Service system designed for government payments, for the electronic filing of operational reports. TTB captures data from electronic and paper operational reports in its Integrated Revenue Information System (IRIS).

Data Verification and Validation: High rates of electronic filing for operational reports reduces administrative burdens for TTB and its taxpayers, and enhances TTB's ability to efficiently and effectively detect and address non-compliance. The measure includes all monthly and quarterly operational reports for distilled spirits, wine, malt beverage, and tobacco products. All submissions of operational report forms have a system flag indicating whether it was filed on paper or electronically. TTB extracts and stores tax return data in its analytics environment and automates all calculations in dashboards. All systems are subject to TTB IT data standards and security controls for data collection and maintenance. Measure results are verified through TTB's Office of Analytics and reviewed by the Tax Services Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: The electronic filing rate for TTB operational reports in Pay.gov continued to trend positively, up 7 percent to 63 percent in FY 2023, but remained slightly below the target of 65 percent. The continued year-over-year increases are attributed to previous customer experience improvements in Pay.gov, the current TTB tax filing system, as well as associated online guidance. TTB expects to continue to achieve only incremental improvements until it modernizes its IT systems through its myTTB initiative. Planned tax modernization efforts, including introducing new tax e-filing options, will likely be postponed in FY 2024 due to competing and urgent priorities related to migrating TTB's legacy permitting system, Permits Online, to myTTB. This substantially changes the prioritization, direction, and timing of TTB's IT modernization initiative. Until the filing of operational reports is integrated in myTTB, the bureau does not anticipate a significant shift in e-filing rates.

MEASURE: Percent of Electronically Filed Tax Returns in Pay.gov

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	50	50	50	50	50	65
Actual	37	41	43	47	51	58
Target Met?	N	N	N	N	Υ	N

Definition: Measure of the rate at which TTB receives excise tax returns via its electronic filing system, Pay.gov.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: TTB relies on Pay.gov, a Fiscal Service system designed for government payments, for the electronic filing of tax returns. TTB captures data from paper tax returns in its Integrated Revenue Information System (IRIS).

Data Verification and Validation: High rates of electronic filing for tax returns reduces administrative burdens for TTB and its taxpayers, and enhances TTB's ability to efficiently and effectively detect and address non-compliance. All TTB excise tax returns for alcohol, tobacco, and firearms/ammunition have a system flag indicating whether it was filed on paper or electronically. TTB extracts and stores tax return data in its analytics environment and automates all calculations in dashboards. All systems are subject to TTB IT data standards and security controls for data collection and maintenance. Measure results are verified through TTB's Office of Analytics and reviewed by the Tax Services Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: The electronic filing rate for TTB excise tax returns via Pay.gov continued to trend positively, up 7 percent to 58 percent in FY 2023, but remained slightly below the target of 65 percent. The continued year-over-year increases are attributed to previous customer experience improvements in Pay.gov, the current TTB tax filing system, as well as associated online guidance. TTB expects to continue to achieve only incremental improvements until it modernizes its IT systems through its myTTB initiative. Planned tax modernization efforts, including introducing new tax e-filing options, will likely be postponed in FY 2024 due to the competing and urgent priorities related to migrating TTB's legacy permitting system, Permits Online, to myTTB. This substantially changes the prioritization, direction, and timing of TTB's IT modernization efforts. Until the filing of tax returns is integrated in myTTB, the bureau does not anticipate a significant shift in e-filing rates.

MEASURE: Voluntary Compliance from Large Taxpayers - Overall

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	90	95	95	95	95	95
Actual	90	91	91	93	93	94
Target Met?	Υ	N	N	N	N	N

Definition: Voluntary compliance rate of large taxpayers (\$50,000 or more in annual tax liability) based on timely filing of tax returns, operational reports, and payments. Tax filing expectations are set based on taxpayer size (i.e., large taxpayers are required to file a return and pay taxes on a semi-monthly basis and file an operational report monthly). Each filing type earns a certain number of points; points are deducted for late and missing filings. Each filing type is weighted in the overall calculation to reflect revenue risk.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: TTB taxpayer data on tax returns, operational reports, and payments are captured in the Integrated Revenue Information

System (IRIS).

Data Verification and Validation: TTB uses automated reporting tools to generate the compliance results by taxpayer and overall. TTB auditors/specialists validate compliance findings before taking any reporting and/or follow up action. The tasks involved in the data validation process include reviewing the taxpayer account, identifying filings pending corrections and/or data entry, and identifying special taxpayer circumstances (e.g., pending bankruptcy, ongoing field audit/investigation, changes in control/proprietorship, etc.). The results are reviewed by Tax Services management. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: TTB increased the voluntary compliance rate for its large taxpayers to 94 percent in FY 2023, continuing its year-over-year positive trend, nearly reaching the targeted compliance rate of 95 percent. Compliance rates represent all TTB taxpayers with annual liabilities of \$50,000 or more. Within current resources, and given competing demands related to recent statutory mandates, TTB continues to focus on addressing revenue risk presented by its largest taxpayers. Through enhanced analytics and compliance monitoring programs, TTB has increased tax filling compliance rates to nearly 99 percent for taxpayers with annual liabilities of \$1 million or more, up 3 percent in the last three years. Over the same period, as a result of program improvements, TTB has identified and assessed \$78 million in unpaid taxes, and collected \$59 million. These results represent tax filings through August 2023, the cutoff for this measure to account for tax filing due dates and lags in data entry for paper filings.

Internal Revenue Service

MEASURE: Collection Coverage (Units)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	38.6	40.1	39.7	33	36.5	33.4
Actual	41.6	41.3	34.9	41.2	38.3	34.9
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	N	Y	Υ	Υ

Definition: The volume of collection work disposed compared to the volume of collection work available.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The data comes from the Collection Activity Report (CAR).

Data Verification and Validation: 1. Changes to programming of Collection Activity Reports are generally made once a year. Those changes are tested and verified by program analysts at headquarters before the first new report is released. Monthly spot checks are also done to verify they match the data sent to the DataMart. 2. Accuracy of Automated Offer in Compromise database is validated by management checks in the operating units.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Conviction Rate (%)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	92	92	92	92	92	92

Actual	91.7	91.2	90.4	89.4	90.6	88.4
Target Met?	N	N	N	N	N	N

Definition: The percent of adjudicated criminal cases that result in convictions. The conviction rate is defined as the total number of cases with CIMIS status codes of guilty plea, nolo-contendere, judge guilty, or jury guilty divided by these status codes and nolle prosequi, judge dismissed and jury acquitted.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Cases are tracked in CIMIS with frequent updates to the status code.

Data Verification and Validation: Criminal Investigation management dictates that the lead agent assigned to the investigation and/or the agent's manager(s) input investigation data directly into CIMIS. Agents and management directs first line managers to review individual work group CIMIS reports for accuracy each month to ensure any system input errors or omissions are corrected within 30 days of the initial issuance of the monthly data tables. (Rev. 1-07) Standardized reports extract data related to the status codes sited above on a monthly basis. This calculation is performed monthly.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Cost to Collect \$100

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	0.34	0.33	0.35	0.33	0.29	0.34

Definition: The cost to collect \$100 of revenue; measure ability to emphasize the use of data analytics, in conjunction with qualitative information, to select high-priority work.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: The cost of collecting \$100 is computed as total operating costs divided by gross collection divided by 100. Total operating costs include dollars obligated, expended and disbursed against direct resources, including funds for Business Systems Modernizations and Health Insurance Tax Credit Administration; excluded are costs reimbursed by other federal agencies and private entities for services performed for these external parties. Gross collections are before refunds are issued and include penalties and interest in addition to taxes collected.

Data Verification and Validation: The data used is from the IRS Data Book prepared by Statistics of Income (SOI).

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Criminal Investigations Completed

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	3000	2800	2700	2600	2600	2500
Actual	3051	2797	2624	2766	2552	2584
Target Met?	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y

Definition: The total number of subject criminal investigations completed during the fiscal year, including those that resulted in prosecution recommendations to the Department of Justice as well as those discontinued due to a lack of prosecution potential.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Criminal Investigations Management Information System (CIMIS)

Data Verification and Validation: The guidance and direction given by upper management to first line managers is that the first line managers should review their individual work group CIMIS data tables at the beginning of each month. The use of this procedure will assure that system input errors are corrected no later than 30 days after the error is initially reported in the monthly CIMIS data tables. Additionally, national standard monthly reports and statistical information are circulated among the senior staff and headquarter analysts for their review and use. If the published information on the official critical measure appears to be out of line with what is normal or expected, headquarters analysts or senior staff request that the CI research

staff verify that the published and circulated information and/or report is accurate. If the published and circulated information is not accurate, then the CI research staff corrects the error and issues revised data for the month.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Customer Accuracy - Customer Accounts (Phones)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	95	94	94	91	89	87
Actual	96.1	94.3	93.5	93	91.8	89.2
Target Met?	Υ	Y	N	Y	Υ	Υ

Definition: The percentage of correct answers given by a live assistor on Toll-free account inquiries. The measure indicates how often customers receive the correct answer to their account inquiry and/or had their case resolved correctly based upon all available information and Internal Revenue Manual required actions.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Quality reviewers on the Centralized Quality staff complete a data collection instrument as calls are reviewed. Data is input to the Quality Review Database for product review and reporting.

Data Verification and Validation: Field 715 on the DCI is coded by the CQRS monitor as calls are reviewed. Data is input to the NQRS. The NQRS contains several levels of validation that occur as part of the review process. The input records are validated requiring entries and combinations of entries based upon the relationships inherent in different product lines or based upon an entry in a quality attribute. The national reviews conducted by CQRS site staff on telephone product lines are sampled by local management and management officials at the CQRS site. In addition, every review is available on-line to the site for verification purposes. Sites monitor their review records daily and have a small rebuttal period to contest any review.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Customer Accuracy - Tax Law Phones (%)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	95	92	92	90	89	87
Actual	95.5	91.6	91	92.8	92	91.4
Target Met?	Υ	N	N	Y	Y	Y

Definition: The percentage of correct answers given by a live assistor on Toll-free tax law inquiries. The measure indicates how often customers receive the correct answer to their tax law inquiry based upon all available information and Internal Revenue Manual required actions.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Quality reviewers on the Centralized Quality staff complete a data collection instrument as calls are reviewed. Data is input to the Quality Review Database for product review and reporting.

Data Verification and Validation: Field 715 on the DCI is coded by the CORS monitor as calls are reviewed. Data is input to the NQRS. The NQRS contains several levels of validation that occur as part of the review process. The input records are validated requiring entries and combinations of entries based upon the relationships inherent in different product lines or based upon an entry in a quality attribute. The national reviews conducted by CORS site staff on telephone product lines are sampled by local management and management officials at the CORS site. In addition, every review is available on-line to the site for verification purposes. Sites monitor their review records daily and have a small rebuttal period to contest any review.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Customer Service Representative (CSR) Level of Service (LOS) (%)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	75	63	60	32	30	60
Actual	75.9	65.4	53.1	18.5	17.4	51.8
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	N

Definition: The number of toll-free callers that either speak to a Customer Service Representative or receive automated informational messages divided by the total number of attempted calls.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Enterprise Telephone Database (ETD).

Data Verification and Validation: 1. Validation of monthly report data by W&I P&A staff. 2. The JOC validates CSR LOS data prior to publication of the weekly official Snapshot report. Independent weekly CSR LOS source data is also gathered and validated by comparing data with the data used to produce the official Snapshot report.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Enterprise Self-Assistance Participation Rate (ESAPR)

<u> </u>						
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target			82	89	91	94
Actual	82	85.4	90.6	92.3	93.9	94.2
Target Met?			Υ	Υ	Y	Υ

Definition: Data comes from Intelligent Contact Management (ICM), Integrated Customer Communications Environment (ICCE) Web Applications WMR (IRFOF), MOD I-EIN, WMAR, Get Transcripts Online (GT), Get Transcripts Mail (OAT), and Federal Student Aid – DataShare (FSA-D) = sum of FOTW (FAFSA on the Web) (FSA-D) + IDR (Income Driven Repayments) (IBR), Google Analytics (ITA), SAP Business Objects (BO) (TDS (IRTDS04)), WP&C (Income Verification Express Service (IVES) and Return and Income Verification Services RAIVS), Custodial Detail Database (CDDB) Payment Report (IMF Electronic and IMF Paper Payments), Collection Office Information System (COINS) Collections Reports (IMF Electronic Online Payment Agreements OPA and Installment Agreements), Accounts Management Information Report (AMIR) (Customer Accounts Resolved (CAR)), SAP BO (Field Assistance Management Information System2 (FAMIS2) Report) (TAC Face-to-Face Walk-ins & Non-Face-to-Face). As new self-assistance applications are provided to the public, they are added to the methodology. The ESAPR was an indicator from FY2017-FY2019.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Data comes from Intelligent Contact Management (ICM), Integrated Customer Communications Environment (ICCE) Web Applications WMR (IRFOF), MOD I-EIN, WMAR, Get Transcripts Online (GT), Get Transcripts Mail (OAT), and Federal Student Aid – DataShare (FSA-D) = sum of FOTW (FAFSA on the Web) (FSA-D) + IDR (Income Driven Repayments) (IBR), Google Analytics (ITA), SAP Business Objects (BO) (TDS (IRTDS04)), WP&C (Income Verification Express Service (IVES) and Return and Income Verification Services RAIVS), Custodial Detail Database (CDDB) Payment Report (IMF Electronic and IMF Paper Payments), Collection Office Information System (COINS) Collections Reports (IMF Electronic Online Payment Agreements OPA and Installment Agreements), Accounts Management Information Report (AMIR) (Customer Accounts Resolved (CAR)), SAP BO (Field Assistance Management Information System2 (FAMIS2) Report) (TAC Face-to-Face Walk-ins & Non-Face-to-Face). As new self-assistance applications are provided to the public, they are added to the methodology.

Data Verification and Validation: Management Controls for items on critical path:

- 1. Data is compiled from several sources (see individual components).
- 2. Each area is responsible for component accuracy. See individual component controls

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Exam Starts - High-Income Individuals

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual				2227	3625	4326

Definition: The number of high-income individual examinations with a Total Positive Income (TPI) > \$10M started or opened during the fiscal year.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: High-Income Individuals TPI > \$10M - subset of AC 281)

281 No Earned Income Tax Credit present – TPI > \$999,999

Data Verification and Validation: The Audit Information Management System (AIMS) will be the primary source of the data.

NOTE: Once the final methodology is determined, detailed data

collection & validation procedures will be input into PMM and included in

the data dictionary.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Exam Starts - Large Corporations

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual				1490	1365	1400

Definition: The number of large corporate examinations with assets > \$250M started or opened during the fiscal year.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Large Corporations (Assets > \$250M – AC 226-230)

226 \$250 million to \$500 million (valid after 12-31-2006 for all tax periods)

227 \$500 million to \$1 billion (valid after 12-31-2006 for all tax periods)

228 \$1 billion to \$5 billion (valid after 12-31-2006 for all tax periods)

229 \$5 billion to \$20 billion (valid after 12-31-2006 for all tax periods)

230 \$20 billion and over (valid after 12-31-2006 for all tax periods)

\$250 million or greater not yet assigned (valid after 12-31-2006 for all tax periods)

Data Verification and Validation: The Audit Information Management System (AIMS) will be the primary source of the data.

NOTE: Once the final methodology is determined, detailed data

collection & validation procedures will be input into PMM and included in

the data dictionary.

231

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Exam Starts - Partnerships

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual				4327	3155	6709

Definition: The number of partnership examinations started or opened during the fiscal year.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Exam Starts - Partnerships (AC 480-483)

480 Returns Processed before 1988 (no longer valid)
481 10 or less partners-gross receipts under \$100,000
482 10 or Less Partners-gross receipts \$100,000 and over

483 11 or more partners.

Data Verification and Validation: The Audit Information Management System (AIMS) will be the primary source of the data.

NOTE: Once the final methodology is determined, detailed data

collection & validation procedures will be input into PMM and included in the data dictionary.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Examination Efficiency - Individual (1040)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	134	122	115	111	100	92
Actual	131	109	76	108	101	103
Target Met?	N	N	N	N	Y	Υ

Definition: The sum of all individual 1040 returns closed by SB/SE, W&I, TEGE and LB&I (Field Exam and Correspondence Exam programs) divided by the Total Full Time Equivalents (FTE) expended in relation to those individual returns. In FY 2005, Automated Underreporter (AUR) cases were included as part of this measure.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The data comes from the Audit Information Management System (AIMS) closed case data base, the automated underreporter Management Information System for Top Level Executives (MISTLE) reports and Exams time reporting system and the Integrated Financial System.

Data Verification and Validation: Closures and AIMS Closures - 1. Case closing documents are reviewed for accuracy during sample reviews by managers and quality reviewers.

2. AIMS data is validated prior to distribution. 3.Queries used to retrieve data are reviewed for thoroughness and accuracy.

Frivolous Filers (Non-AIMS Closures): 1. Cases are reviewed by managers for accuracy, timeliness and completeness at any point in the process. 2. Headquarters Analyst reconciles WP&C data to Summary Report in order to validate data.

SB/SE AUR: Closures - 1.Managerial review samples (phone calls, open and closed cases). 2.Checks and balances exist in the AUR Control System to validate the input. 3.Sample physical review of cases closed on the AUR Control System by Program Analysis System ("PAS") for accuracy and appropriateness of actions.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percent of Aged Hardware

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	Baseline	43.8	30	20	20	20
Actual	45.5	31	16	9.3	7.1	19.9
Target Met?	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y

Definition: Quantity of hardware in operation past its useful life as a share of total hardware in use.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: KISAM OAR provides the total quantity of hardware in operation past its useful life as a share of total hardware in use as of a point in time. Each hardware category has a useful life that is periodically assessed against industry standards and IRS IT risk tolerance and approved by IT leadership. The useful life is applied to the date that the asset was received and applied against the current date to determine whether the asset is aged. Hardware that will not require refreshment funding and is no longer critical to operations because of a change in technology or how IRS delivers the IT Service (Ex. Fax Machines, Low-End Printers and Scanners) may be labeled as "Never to Refresh" and excluded from the OAR to better define the risk of aged hardware to the IT environment.

Data Verification and Validation: Data will be collected from the IRS's asset management system (HP Knowledge, Incident/Problem, Service Asset Management – KISAM).

Data Accuracy:

Data Frequency: Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percent of Closures to Receipts

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual			Baseline		116.4	93.8

Definition: Percentage of the number of IRS adjustment cases closed compared to the number of adjustment cases received.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Number of adjustment cases received divided by the number closed.

Data Verification and Validation: Percent of Closures to Receipts is from the Management Information

System for Top Level Executives (MISTLE) report.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Percent of Major IT Investments within +/-10% Cost Variance at the Investment Level

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	90	90	90	90	90	90
Actual	72.2	88.9	84.2	94.1	81.3	85.7
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ

Definition: Number of major IT investments within +/-10 percent variance between planned total cost and projected/actual cost within a fiscal year divided by the total number of major IT investments in that fiscal year. Cost variances less than or equal to +/- 10% are categorized as being within acceptable tolerance thresholds. Cost variances greater than +/- 10% of the variance are categorized as being outside of acceptable thresholds.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: On a monthly basis, leadership for each investment team reviews cost data before members add it into SPIKE. Monthly, IM&C employees and management review all SPIKE input and calculations to ensure data completeness. Once reviewed, the data is posted to a SharePoint site in the form of monthly variance reports Quarterly, multiple levels of management within S&P ACIO review and approve the investment measure data and narratives prepared by the PMT. Quarterly, the chief information officer's office reviews the investment measure data and narratives prepared by the PMT and approves for transmission to the chief financial officer.

Data Verification and Validation: The baseline data will be reviewed/ validated by the Program Performance Management (PPM) Team and Manager. To indicate the baseline is valid and approved, the manager will send a notification that the data (Excel spreadsheets) may be placed in the PPM shared library. Before the measure is reported, the PPM Team and Manager will review/ validate the report. The PPM Manager will provide the monthly report to the Deputy Associate CIO for Business Integration for approval. Concurrence will be obtained from the Associate CIO for BSM. To indicate the report is validated and approved, the manager will send a notification to store the report in the PPM shared library and report on Improvement Measure externally.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percent of Major IT Investments within +/-10% Schedule Variance at the Investment Level

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	90	90	90	90	90	90
Actual	83.3	88.9	94.7	100	87.5	92.8
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	N

Definition: Number of major IT investments within +/-10 percent variance between planned days and projected/actual days within a fiscal year divided by the total number of major IT investments in that fiscal year. Schedule variances less than or equal to +/- 10% will be categorized as being within

acceptable tolerance thresholds. If schedule variances are greater than +/- 10%, the variance will be categorized as being outside of acceptable thresholds.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: On a monthly basis, leadership for each investment team reviews cost data before members add it into SPIKE. Monthly, IM&C employees and management review all SPIKE input and calculations to ensure data completeness. Once reviewed, the data is posted to a SharePoint site in the form of monthly variance reports Quarterly, multiple levels of management within S&P ACIO review and approve the investment measure data and narratives prepared by the PMT. Quarterly, the chief information officer's office reviews the investment measure data and narratives prepared by the PMT and approves for transmission to the chief financial officer.

Data Verification and Validation: The baseline data will be reviewed/ validated by the Program Performance Management (PPM) Team and Manager. To indicate the baseline is valid and approved, the manager will send a notification that the data (Excel spreadsheets) may be placed in the PPM shared library. Before the measure is reported, the PPM Team and Manager will review/ validate the report. The PPM Manager will provide the monthly report to the Deputy Associate CIO for Business Integration for approval. Concurrence will be obtained from the Associate CIO for BSM. To indicate the report is validated and approved, the manager will send a notification to store the report in the PPM shared library and report on Improvement Measure externally.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Rentable Square Feet Per Person

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target			298	280	270	261
Actual	301*	298	278	278	264	248
Target Met?			N	N	N	N

Definition: The amount of Rentable Square Feet the IRS maintains per Personnel requiring space.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Data is from GDI – RSF data, personnel count. Data Limitations: RSF – IRS uses the GSA square footage based on the rent bill. If not billed for a particular month it may affect the totals for a particular location.

Data Verification and Validation: Measures Owners review measure results and work with the measures team on the analysis.

Associate Directors - Review, validate and approve the measures results and subsequent analysis.

FMSS Chief - Review and approve the measure results and analysis. Provide directions for further analysis.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Repeat Non-Compliance Rate

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	Baseline	31.4	35.6	30.7	28.1	18.9

Definition: The percentage of individual taxpayers in a Fiscal Year with additional non-compliance two years after the initial tax year that contains a filing, payment, or reporting compliance issue, compared to total taxpayers.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: The percentage of individual taxpayers with repeat non-compliance two years after the initial tax year for filing, payment, or reporting compliance. Reporting data includes data from Exam tax assessments, Automatic Underreporter (AUR) taxpayers who had a positive assessment after getting a "Proposed Amount Due" or "Your tax return doesn't match the information we have on file" notice resulting in a positive assessment, and Math Error cases that result in \$100+ owed.

Data Verification and Validation: Data used for this calculation is from the Enforcement Revenue Information Systems (ERIS) and Compliance Data Warehouse (CDW) databases.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Taxpayers Satisfied with the IRS

_	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	Baseline	73	74	70	69	

Definition: The percentage of Taxpayers Satisfied with the IRS According to the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) Survey.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: This measure is calculated from separate ACSI Individual Paper Filer and Electronic Filer Customer Satisfaction Index Scores (Paper filer customer satisfaction score X percentage of paper returns) + (E-filer customer satisfaction score X percentage of e-filed returns) using the number of individual tax filers from Document 6187 Fall Update.

Data Verification and Validation: The American Customer Satisfaction Index (ACSI) is the only uniform, cross-industry/government measure of customer satisfaction with the quality of goods and services available to U.S. residents. It covers ten economic sectors, 43 industries, more than 200 private sector companies, two types of local government services, the U.S. Postal Service, and a substantial portion of the federal government.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Telephone Level of Service (Automated) LOS(A)

Tarabana Edvard	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline				
Actual						66.4

Target Met?

Definition: The purpose of this measure is to determine the relative success rate of taxpayers that call for AM services seeking assistance from a Customer Service Representative (CSR), or through an Automated application during open hours.

CSR LOS(A) includes:

- 1. Telephone lines answered by Accounts Management Customer Service Representatives only.
- 2. Automated AM calls answered in the Integrated Customer Communication Environment (ICCE), and
- 3. AM informational messages completed in Interactive Applications (IAs) that permits taxpayers using a touch-tone telephone to select an application to resolve tax account issues, obtain tax information or otherwise direct themselves to the appropriate source of assistance.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type:

Data Capture and Source: Telephone LOS(A) =

Assistor Calls Answered + Automated Calls Answered & Informational Messages

divided by

Assistor Calls Answered + Automated Calls Answered & Informational Messages + Emergency Closed + Secondary Abandons + (Add either Calculated Busy Signals OR Network Incompletes) * + (Add either Calculated Network Disconnects OR Total Disconnects) *see note below

*Note: If the sum of Variable Call Routing (VCR) Answered + Informational Messages + Integrated Customer Communications Environment (ICCE) completed is greater than or equal to one, use Calculated Busy Signals and Calculated Network Disconnects to determine Telephone LOS. Otherwise, use Total Busy Signals (Network Incompletes) and Total Disconnects.

Data Verification and Validation: To calculate the Telephone LOS, all call data is accumulated by Verizon reports, ICM and ICCE data transmitted nightly to the ETD System. Report data is extracted weekly from the database and used to create the official Snapshot Report, which is published on the ETD website. To measure the Practitioner Priority Service PPS Telephone LOS, the calculation includes only the calls handled by the PPS Product Line and associated components.

Critical Path:

1. ICCE, Verizon, and ICM data is collected on each system.

- 2. Raw data is transmitted nightly from Verizon, ICCE and ICM system to ETD.
- 3. Raw data is then compiled and organized in the ETD database.
- 4. Using this data, ETD calculates the weekly, planning period, and FY Telephone LOS(A) that is reflected on the official Snapshot.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Time to Resolve Compliance Issue After Filing

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	Baseline	469	491	484	404	372

Definition: The average (mean) time it takes to close all individual income tax enforcement cases in days. This is an annual measure based on all cases closed in a Fiscal Year.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

Wedsure Status. ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Mean time to resolve compliance issue after filing.

Data Verification and Validation: Data collection will come from the Enforcement Revenue Information System, and comprises of three primary

compliance workstreams: examination, underreporter, and collection.

Data Accuracy:

Data Frequency: Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Time to Start Compliance Resolution

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	Baseline	60.9	66.3	66	68	72

Definition: Time to Start measures the ability to quickly identify compliance issues.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: The percentage of all individual income tax enforcement cases started within six months of the return posting date.

Data Verification and Validation: Data collection will come from the Enforcement Revenue Information System.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Timeliness of Critical Individual Filing Season Tax Products to the Public (%)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	89	85	89	85	89	83
Actual	59.6	92.6	78.4	92	96.4	96.4
Target Met?	N	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: The percentage of Critical Individual Filing Season (CIFS) tax products available to the public seven calendar days before the official IRS start of the (individual) filing season. CIFS tax products are those tax forms, schedules, instructions, and publications required by large number of filers to prepare a complete and reasonably accurate Individual Income Tax Return.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Publishing Services Data System (PSD) and the Electronic Tax Forms Distribution Production Log (ETFDPL)

Data Verification and Validation: Nightly processes provide analysts and management with reports concerning production status, missing data

problems, and past due situations.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Timeliness of Critical TE/GE and Business Tax Products to the Public (%)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	91	85	89	85	89	85
Actual	100	96.1	96	92.9	96	86.5
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: The percentage of Critical Tax Exempt/Government Entities (TE/GE) and Business (CTB) tax products available to the public seven calendar days before the official IRS start of the individual filing season. CTB tax products are forms, schedules, instructions, and publications used by large number of TE/GE and Business filers to prepare a complete and reasonably accurate return or form by the filing date occurring during the fiscal year (e.g., income tax, excise tax, exempt organization return, etc.).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Publishing Services Data System (PSD) and the Electronic Tax Forms Distribution Production Log (ETFDPL).

Data Verification and Validation: Nightly processes provide analysts and management with reports concerning production status, missing data

problems, and past due situations.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Total Ending Inventory (Thousands)

FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	1100	1100	4100	2156	2923

Definition: The total number of IRS accounts management and correspondence inventory.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Count of the outstanding total number of accounts management and correspondence inventory.

Data Verification and Validation: Total Ending Inventory is from the Management Information System for

Top Level Executives (MISTLE) report.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 1.2 - Global Economic Leadership

Departmental Offices - S & E

MEASURE: IA - Monitor Quality and Enhance Effectiveness of International Monetary Fund (IMF) Lending Through Review of IMF Country Programs

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	100	100	100	100	100	100
Actual	100	100	100	100	100	100
Target Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y

Definition: This measure tracks efforts by International Affairs (IA) staff to monitor quality of IMF country programs and ensure the application of appropriately high standards. IA staff endeavors to review each country program and provide a synopsis, analysis, and recommendation for action at least one day before the IMF Board voting date. The measure tracks the percentage of times the staff review is completed in a timely manner (at least one day before Board action) to allow for alterations in language or policy position if deemed necessary.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: International Affairs staff tracks and accounts for actions undertaken during the reporting period.

Data Verification and Validation: Publicly available accounts of meetings (press, etc.), communiqués issued following multilateral or bilateral

meetings.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: IA - Monitor Quality and Enhance Effectiveness of MDB Lending Through Review of MDB Grant and Loan Proposals

	,		<u> </u>			
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	100	100	100	100	100	100
Actual	100	100	100	100	100	100
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Treasury tracks the percentage of multilateral development bank grant and loan proposals it reviews to help ensure that proposed projects will have a measurable development impact, support long-term U.S. objectives, and are consistent with congressional mandates.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Loan Review Database and Weekly Operations Agenda.

Data Verification and Validation: Weekly review by Loan Review Staff.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: IA - Percentage of MDB Grant and Loan Proposals Containing Satisfactory Frameworks for Results Measurement

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	95	95	95	95	95	95
Actual	97.6	95	96	97	97.1	98.5
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: The percentage of grant and loan project proposals that contain a satisfactory framework for measuring project results (such as outcome indicators, quantifiable and time-bound targets, etc.) This information is measured on an annual basis.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: MDB monthly operational report, special requests to MDBs for loan and grant approvals, MDB annual reports and U.S.

voting positions

Data Verification and Validation: Data provided by the MDB is compared with Treasury MDB Office vote history database and internal supporting

memoranda.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: OTA - Program Engagement

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Actual	3.8	3.8	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.5
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	N	Y	Υ	N

Definition: The degree to which a OTA's foreign counterparts are engaging proactively and constructively with OTA advisors, at the working and policy levels. Counterpart engagement is both a key outcome of OTA efforts to structure and execute effective technical assistance projects that support host country ownership as well as the most crucial input to the successful achievement of the intermediate goals and ultimate outcomes described in the project's terms of reference and work plan during the fiscal year – such as passage of law or regulation, an increase in government revenues, an improvement in a government's credit rating, or a reduction in economic crimes.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Generated by the Financial Technical Assistant Advisor who manage the project in the countries were technical assistant

project exist.

Data Verification and Validation: The data is verified and validated by the five contracting office representatives, the Associate Director of OTA and

approved by the Director of OTA.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 1.3 - Economically Resilient Communities

Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau

MEASURE: Initial Error Rate on Label and Formula Applications

		- ''				
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	25	25	25	25	25	25
Actual	40	37	34	31	29	28
Target Met?	N	N	N	N	N	N

Definition: The total number of label and beverage formula applications received that required TTB to return to the applicant for corrections (missing or incomplete application fields) or revisions (noncompliance with federal labeling and/or production requirements).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: COLAs Online and Formulas Online.

Data Verification and Validation: Errors on label and formula applications add to TTB's reprocessing work and delay overall approval times for industry. This measure enables ongoing review of compliance errors to drive strategic improvements. TTB uses automated queries to extract data from its online system, which are subject to strict data controls. Results are verified through TTB's Office of Analytics and reviewed by management in the Alcohol, Labeling, and Formulation Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: TTB reduced the error rate on alcohol beverage label and formula applications to 28 percent in FY 2023, a modest year-to-year improvement, demonstrating continued incremental progress toward the target to reduce application errors to 25 percent or less. The error rate on label applications decreased 1 percent to 28 percent; the error rate on formula applications decreased 5 percent to 23 percent, exceeding the performance target for this application type. TTB achieved these reductions through multiple strategies, including industry outreach, policy changes, and guidance, using data on high frequency errors to direct these efforts. TTB is also improving internal training and cross-training opportunities to improve the quality and consistency of its application reviews.

MEASURE: Initial Error Rate on Permit Applications

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	25	25	25	25	25	25
Actual	78*	71	62	67	64	63
Target Met?	N	N	N	N	N	N

Definition: The total number of applications received that required TTB to return to the applicant for corrections (missing or incomplete application fields) or additional information (missing or incomplete documentation to support the application). A high volume of errors impedes timely review and approval as the total processing time includes all back-and-forth with applicants.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Permits Online.

Data Verification and Validation: Errors on original permit applications add to TTB's reprocessing work and delay overall approval times for industry. This measure enables ongoing review of compliance errors to drive strategic improvements. TTB uses automated queries to extract data from its online systems, which are subject to strict data controls. Results are verified through TTB's Office of Analytics and reviewed by management in the National Revenue Center. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percent of Electronically Filed Label and Formula Applications

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	98	99	99	99.5	99.6	99.6

Definition: Measure of the rate at which TTB receives alcohol beverage label and formula applications via electronic filing systems (COLAs Online and Formulas Online).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: COLAs Online and Formulas Online.

Data Verification and Validation: Increased use of e-Gov systems provides numerous advantages that increase efficiency for both internal and external users. All systems are subject to TTB IT data standards and security controls for data collection and maintenance. The method of data extraction is static and has been tested against back-up data sources. Reported results are verified by management in the Advertising, Labeling, and Formulation Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans: The electronic filing rate for alcohol beverage label and formula applications remained high at 99.6 percent. TTB expects to hold in this range until it migrates from its legacy IT systems, COLAs Online and Formulas Online, to the new myTTB system. TTB has yet to determine a timeline for these migration efforts and continues to face competing and urgent needs in its tax and permitting systems.

MEASURE: Percent of Electronically Filed Permit Applications - Amendments

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	Baseline	80	80	80	80	90
Actual	49	92	87	92	79	93
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ

Definition: Measures the rate at which TTB receives applications to amend a Federal permit or registration via its electronic filing system, Permits Online.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: TTB uses the Permits Online (PONL) system to receive and/or track all permit amendment submissions (paper and electronic).

Data Verification and Validation: High rates of electronic filing for permit amendment applications supports efficient filing and processing and timely service levels to TTB permittees who rely on these approvals. All permit amendment submissions have a system flag indicating whether it was filed on paper or electronically. TTB extracts and stores permit amendment data in its analytics environment and automates all calculations in dashboards. All systems are subject to TTB IT data standards and security controls for data collection and maintenance. Measure results are verified through TTB's Office of Analytics and reviewed by the Application Services Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: The electronic filing rate for applications to amend a TTB Federal permit or registration increased in FY 2023, up 8 percent to 87 percent in FY 2023, but remained below the target of 90 percent. This increase reverses a negative trend in e-filing rates that began in FY 2019. TTB attributes the increase to its outreach and education efforts. TTB plans to begin migrating its legacy permit filing system to the new myTTB system in FY 2024, which will enhance the user experience with amendment filing and support TTB in achieving and sustaining high e-filing rates over the long-term.

MEASURE: Percent of Electronically Filed Permit Applications - Original

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	87	90	90	95	95	95
Actual	86	89	92	95	95	95
Target Met?	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Υ

Definition: Measures the rate at which TTB receives original permit applications via its electronic filing system, Permits Online.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Permits Online; Integrated Revenue Information System (IRIS).

Data Verification and Validation: High rates of electronic filing for original permit applications supports efficient filing and processing and timely service levels to TTB permittees who rely on these approvals. TTB uses the Permits Online (PONL) system to receive and/or track all permit applications (paper and electronic). This measure includes applications for Brewer's Notices and Basic Permits under the Federal Alcohol Administration Act. All original permit applications have a system flag indicating whether it was filed on paper or electronically. TTB extracts and stores original permit application data in its analytics environment and automates all calculations in dashboards. All systems are subject to TTB IT data standards and security controls for data collection and maintenance. Measure results are verified through TTB's Office of Analytics and reviewed by the Application Services Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: The electronic filing rate for applications for a new TTB Federal permit or registration was 95 percent in FY 2023, holding at prior year levels and meeting the 95 percent target. TTB plans to migrate its legacy permit filing system to the new myTTB system, starting in FY 2024, which will enhance the user experience with filing permit applications and registrations and support TTB in achieving and sustaining high e-filing rates over the long-term.

MEASURE: Percentage of Alcohol Beverage Label and Formula Applications Processed within Service Standards

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	85	85	85	85	85	85
Actual	84	48	83	92	93	93
Target Met?	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Measures the percent of alcohol beverage label and formula applications that TTB processes within the customer service standards for the respective programs (annually reviewed for workload and resources and published).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: COLAs Online and Formulas Online.

Data Verification and Validation: TTB's level of service in timely processing applications is central to its mission to facilitate compliant trade. TTB must pre-approve the label and, in many cases, formula for an alcohol beverage before it can enter domestic commerce. TTB uses automated queries to extract data from its online systems and results are verified by management in the Advertising, Labeling, and Formulation Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: TTB processed 93 percent of alcohol beverage label and formula applications within the 15-day service standard, remaining well above the 85 percent target. Through workload management, TTB was able to maintain high performance despite ongoing staff turnover and an increase in submission volume for label applications, up around 2 percent in FY 2023. Additionally, TTB continues to maintain average processing times within 7 days or less for both label and formula applications. With ongoing reductions in error rates across both application types, which minimizes processing delays due to TTB returning applications for corrections, TTB expects to continue to exceed its performance target in FY 2024.

MEASURE: Percentage of Permit Applications Processed within Service Standards

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	85	85	85	85	85	85
Actual	71.2	57.6	84.1	92	91	86
Target Met?	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Y

Definition: Measures the percentage of original permit applications and registrations that TTB processes within its established service standards (reviewed and published annually based on workload and resources).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Permits Online

Data Verification and Validation: TTB established a strategic goal to timely issue permits to qualified applicants. Timely and consistent service levels facilitate commerce, as TTB must qualify and issue permits or registrations to businesses before they can operate in the alcohol or tobacco industries. TTB uses automated reports generated from its data warehouse maintained by TTB's Office of Analytics. All performance data are vetted through the bureau's strategic management review process.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: TTB processed 86 percent of original permit applications and registrations within the 75-day service standard, down 5 percent since FY 2022, but still above the 85 percent target. The decline in FY 2023 is largely due to employee turnover and extended position vacancies, as well as continued high submission rates, up 4 percent this year. TTB addressed these challenges through crosstraining and effective workload management, redirecting staff as necessary for short surges to maintain timely processing across permit types. TTB plans to continue these strategies in FY 2024 to maintain service standards. Additionally, TTB will focus on timely filling vacancies, a critical strategy given high rates of retirement eligibility in key programs.

Community Development Financial Institutions Fund

MEASURE: ALL - Award Cycle Time (Months)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	7	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Actual	5.8	5.8	5.5	5.6	8.8	8
Target Met?	N	N	N	N	Υ	Y

Definition: Cycle time from the date when applications are received to the date of award announcement (in months). Cycle time is calculated as a weighted average across all CDFI Fund programs, weighted by the number of awards per program in each round. A value that is less than or equal to the target is required to meet the target.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Application intake dates and award announcement dates posted.

Data Verification and Validation: Verification is derived from administrative data on dates of receipt of applications and date of award

announcements.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: All - Time to Initial Disbursement (# Months)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Actual	7	7	4.4	5.1	3.5	1.7
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N

Definition: Number of months from the date when applications are received to the date of award announcement. Months is calculated as a weighted average across all CDFI Fund programs, weighted by the number of awards per program in each round.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Data on date of award announcements and date of initial disbursement are captured through the Awards Management and Information System managed by the CDFI Fund.

Data Verification and Validation: Verification is derived from administrative data on date of award announcements and date of initial disbursement.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: BEA - Bank Enterprise Award Program (BEA) Leverage Ratio is the \$ Sum of All Award Recipients Qualified Activities to the \$ Sum of All

Awards in a Given Year

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target				Baseline		
Actual				2.6		
Target Met?				Υ		

Definition: The BEA Program's new performance measure is a leverage ratio that compares the sum of all award recipients' qualified activities in eligible distressed communities and to certified CDFIs, to the sum of all awards in a given year.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: BEA awardee data is reported to the CDFI Fund's Award Management and Information system which collects geocoded transaction level reports to validate that the transaction address is located in an eligible census distressed tract or that the qualified CDFI investment was made to an certified CDFI. CDFI Fund analysts review and compile the data to ensure the records comply with program requirements.

Data Verification and Validation: BEA Program sums all qualified geocoded transactions to validate that the activities are located in eligible census tracts or made to certified CDFIs located in distressed communities and compares this sum to the sum of all awardees in the current reporting year to compute the leverage ratio.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: CDFI - Percentage of Loans & Investments Originated in Eligible Distressed or Underserved Communities by Dollar Amount of Loans (Annual %)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	60	60	60	60	60	60
Actual	73.7	75.6*	75	71.4	67.1	66
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ

Definition: Percentage of loans and investments originated in eligible distressed communities or made to underserved populations by amount of loans reported by CDFI Financial Assistance awardees during the program year. By policy all certified CDFIs must originate 60% or more of their loans and investments in eligible distressed census tracts or to underserved communities or populations. Note the target is a threshold that must be met or exceeded. The objective is to make sure that the program is meeting its basic requirements for serving distressed and underserved communities. Also

note that the threshold recognizes that CDFIs must balance their mission of serving distressed communities and underserved populations against their safety and soundness considerations so the threshold is not an increasing threshold as that might engender unacceptable financial risks.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Each awardee collects and tracks information in its own management information system(s). The information is self-reported by awardees in the Community Investment Impact System (CIIS). The geocoded transaction data on the location of the loans is then compared to eligible census tracts in distressed communities or the targeted populations to determine the percentage deployed to their target markets.

Data Verification and Validation: The Fund will collect data on loans and investments originated by awardees through the Institution Level and Transaction Level Reports. Data provided is compared to the underlying demographics and socioeconomic characteristics of the awardees' transactions and the volume of transactions is compared to their audited financial statements for accuracy and "reasonableness" as defined by the Fund. Awardees are contacted regarding any discrepancies.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: CDFI - Percentage of Loans & Investments Originated in Eligible Distressed or Underserved Communities by Number of Loans

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	60	60	60	60	60	60
Actual	72.1	78.8	79.6	75.7	77.7	71.6
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: The percentage share of loans/investments originated in eligible distressed or made to underserved populations by reporting CDFI Financial Assistance awardees during the program year. By regulation all certified CDFIs must originate 60% or more of their loans and investments in eligible distressed census tracts or to underserved populations. Note the target is a threshold that must be met or exceeded. The objective is to make sure that the program is meeting its basic requirements for serving distressed communities and underserved populations. Also note that the threshold recognizes that CDFIs must balance their mission of serving distressed communities and underserved populations against their safety and soundness considerations so the threshold is not an increasing threshold as that might engender unacceptable financial risks.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Each awardee collects and tracks information in its own management information system(s). The information is self-reported by awardees in the Community Investment Impact System (CIIS). The geocoded transaction data on the location of the loans is then compared to eligible census tracts in distressed communities or the targeted populations to determine the percentage deployed to their target markets.

Data Verification and Validation: The Fund collects data on loans and investments originated by awardees through the Institution Level and Transaction Level Reports. Data provided is compared to the underlying demographics and socioeconomic characteristics of the awardees' transactions and the volume of transactions is compared to their audited financial statements for accuracy and "reasonableness" as defined by the Fund. Awardees are contacted regarding any discrepancies.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: HFFI - Retail Outlets Created/Preserved

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	20	23	25	29	32	23

Definition: Each HFFI awardee must report the number of retail food outlets that have been created or preserved as a result of the HFFI project financed by the awardee in a low-income/low-access area designated as a "food desert" under the program criteria.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Each HFFI awardee will be required to submit an annual report on their activities. All reports are submitted electronically and the data is stored in the Fund's databases.

Data Verification and Validation: The data is self-reported by awardees but must include a complete address (or latitude and longitude) so that the investment can be verified as occurring in a qualified "food desert" as defined by program criteria.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: NACA - Percentage of NACA Loans and Investments in Native Areas (# of Loans)

			,	,		
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	50	50	50	50	50	50
Actual	94.4	86*	72.1	72.6	70.3	81
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Quantifies the extent to which eligible areas are being serviced by NACA awardees in relation to Total Loan/Investment Activity, (# of

loans).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Each awardee collects and tracks information in its own management information system(s). The information is self-reported by awardees in the Community Investment Impact System (CIIS). The geocoded transaction data on the location of the loans is then compared to eligible census tracts in distressed communities or the targeted populations to determine the percentage deployed to their target markets.

Data Verification and Validation: The Fund collects data on loans and investments originated by awardees through the Institution Level and Transaction Level Reports. Data provided is compared to the underlying demographics and socioeconomic characteristics of the awardees' transactions and the volume of transactions is compared to their audited financial statements for accuracy and "reasonableness" as defined by the Fund. Awardees are contacted regarding any discrepancies.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: NACA - Percentage of NACA Loans and Investments in Native Areas (\$ Amount of Loans)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	50	50	50	50	50	50
Actual	84.9	78*	57.2	60.1	65.3	89
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Quantifies the extent to which eligible areas are being serviced by NACA awardees in relation to Total Loan/Investment Activity, (\$ amount

of loans).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Each awardee collects and tracks information in its own management information system(s). The information is self-reported by awardees in the Community Investment Impact System (CIIS). The geocoded transaction data on the location of the loans is then compared to eligible census tracts in distressed communities or the targeted populations to determine the percentage deployed to their target markets.

Data Verification and Validation: The Fund collects data on loans and investments originated by awardees through the Institution Level and Transaction Level Reports. Data provided is compared to the underlying demographics and socioeconomic characteristics of the awardees' transactions and the volume of transactions is compared to their audited financial statements for accuracy and "reasonableness" as defined by the Fund. Awardees are contacted regarding any discrepancies.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: NMTC - Percentage of Loans and Investments That Went Into Severely Distressed Communities

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	75	75	75	75	75	75
Actual	73.6	80.3	77.2	77	79	75.4
Target Met?	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Portfolio data being reported by allocatees' at the project level is used to determine the percentage of loans going into a distressed community. A distressed community is composed of any of the following criteria:

1)Poverty > 30%

2)Median Income < 60%

3)Unemployment Rate 1.5x National Average

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Each allocatee collects and tracts their portfolio data in its own management information system(s). It is then uploaded into the CDFI Fund's Community Investment Impact System (CIIS). This information is self-reported by the awardees.

Data Verification and Validation: The CDFI Fund will collect portfolio data thru annual transaction level reports. Data provided is compared to the awardees' actual financial statements for accuracy and "reasonableness" as defined by the CDFI Fund. Awardees are contacted regarding any discrepancies.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: SDLP - Ratio of the Number of Small Dollar Loan Program (SDLP) Loans to the Number of SDLP Recipients

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual				Baseline		537919.2

Definition: Applicable to both types of SDL awards – loan loss reserves and technical assistance. Each awardee type regardless of whether they are applying the funding towards an existing or new SDL program is required to report on closed small dollar loan activity. All SDL Program Award Recipients must close small dollar loans based on the three-year projected small dollar loan total to be closed as proposed in the Application, demonstrating an increase in lending.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: The data is submitted to the Fund through the Performance Progress Report (PPR) in Awards Management Information System Database (AMIS) once a year, three (3)

Data Verification and Validation: The data is submitted to the Fund through the Performance Progress Report (PPR) in Awards Management Information System Database (AMIS) once a year, three (3) months after their fiscal year end. Recipients respond to the questions below by providing numerical figures, yes or no answers, or narrative responses, as appropriate. This report is used to determine Recipient compliance with the applicable performance goals in their assistance agreement. The Fund's Office of Compliance, Monitoring and Evaluation (OCME) tracks the reporting for noncompliance among award recipients.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

Departmental Offices - S & E

MEASURE: Percent of Procurement Dollars Spent on Small Business

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	40	39.5	39	36	39	40
Actual	42.63	40.4	46	39	38	36
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N

Definition: This goal measures the percentage of procurement dollars obligated toward small businesses (or Treasury's overall small business goal) and highlights Treasury's efforts to ensure that small businesses have the maximum practicable opportunity to provide goods and services to the federal government.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Federal Procurement Data System-Next Generation.

Data Verification and Validation: Treasury Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization reviews for reasonability each week.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 1.4 - Resilient Housing Market

Community Development Financial Institutions Fund

MEASURE: ALL - Number of Affordable Housing Units Developed or Produced

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	34083	34083	44361	58125	71615	109599

Definition: Number of affordable housing units created by CDFI Fund programs. This includes real estate construction and rehabilitation financed in part by CDFI Program financial assistance awardees, New Markets Tax Credit allocatees and CMF awardees.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Each awardee and allocatee collects and tracks information in its own management information system(s). The information is self-reported by awardees and allocatees. The Financial Strategies and Research Unit administers the Institution Level and Transaction Level Reports which contain business lending data for each CDFI Financial Assistance awardee and NMTC allocatee. CMF reporting systems will be established in 2011.

Data Verification and Validation: The Fund will collect affordable housing unit data through the annual Institution Level and Transaction Level Reports. Data provided is compared to the awardees' and allocatees' actual financial statements for accuracy and "reasonableness" as defined by the Fund. Awardees and allocatees are contacted regarding any discrepancies.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

STRATEGIC GOAL: 2 - Enhance National Security

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 2.1 - Cyber Resiliency of Financial Systems and Institutions

Cybersecurity Enhancement Account

MEASURE: CEA - Treasury Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) Adoption for Enterprise

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target						Baseline
Actual						

Target Met?

Definition: Presidential Executive Order (EO) 14028 on "Improving the Nation's Cybersecurity," issued on May 12, 2021, was in direct response to multiple high-profile cybersecurity incidents that occurred over the past year. The EO directs Federal Agencies to develop and adopt stronger cybersecurity policies and practices, including fully adopting Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA)

• Treasury outlined a goal to implement MFA to the maximum extent feasible. Treasury is migrating 35% of Enterprise Applications MFA compliance by end of Fiscal Year 2022, 90% in Fiscal Year 2023 and 99% in Fiscal Year 2024.

Measure Status: BASELINE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Use of FISMA-reportable systems and data is reported monthly.

Data Verification and Validation: Percent of Enterprise reported systems for MFA.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: OCIO CEA - Logging

FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023

Target Baseline

Actual

Target Met?

Definition: OCIO used the Cybersecurity Enhancement Account (CEA) to modernize the Treasury Shared Services Security Operations Center (TSSSOC) to be able to intake additional logs from Bureaus as required by OMB M-21-31. This measure will track Treasury's progress in transitioning enterprise logging data from on-premises locations to the cloud.

Treasury's goals are to send 75% of enterprise logging data to the cloud by the end of FY22 and at 95% by the end of FY23. For FY24 and beyond, the performance measure is set to 99% to account for new assets and new requirements.

(For the table) Percentage of enterprise logging data from on premise to the cloud.

Measure Status: BASELINE
FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: There is a set amount of data currently on premise at the TSSSOC. TSSSOC is copying its current log data from on premise to move and store in the cloud. TSSSOC is validating the log data flows; when complete, all current log data flows will move directly to the cloud.

Moving enterprise logging data as the Enterprise Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) system moves to the cloud.

Data Verification and Validation: There is a set amount of data currently on premise at the TSSSOC. TSSSOC is copying its current log data from on premise to move and store in the cloud. TSSSOC is validating the log data flows; when complete, all current log data flows will move directly to the cloud.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percent of High and/or Critical Findings from Risk and Vulnerability Assessments (RVAs) or Security Architecture Reviews (SARs) on

Tier 1 High Value Assets (HVAs) that are Closed by the end of the Fiscal Year

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline	65	75	75	80
Actual		57	80	80	100*	92
Target Met?		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: This is a measure of how Treasury addresses the vulnerabilities and potentially-exploitable weaknesses of its most important systems, based on its recurring HVA review and assessment process. In the past three years, Treasury's CEA performance targets were based upon the percentage of HVA system assessments/reviews that were conducted in a timely manner. Treasury has consistently recorded a 100 percent completion rate, even though it faced increasing challenges over the mid- and long-term period. Treasury will now focus on steps to address findings resulting from these assessments. This focus will assure that the proper Plans of Action and Milestones (POAMs) are both in place for all reviewed systems and that the POAMs have been acted upon in a timely manner. The investment will focus on remediation of vulnerabilities, as well as increased review and reporting on corrective actions to resolve all findings and recommendations discerned during the assessment process.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The number of High and or Critical vulnerabilities closed throughout the year divided by the total number identified. The Department of Homeland Security determines the rating based on impact and ease of exploitation. If a vulnerability is not closed by the end of the year it's included in the roll up of open findings.

Data Verification and Validation: Each Bureau identifies and reports the High and Critical vulnerabilities. The respective Bureau CISO validates and signs off when the vulnerability is closed out.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Tier I High Value Assets (HVA) with an overdue Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (RVA) or Security Architecture Review

(SAR)

FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023

Target	Baseline					
Actual	100	100	100	100	100	100
Target Met?	Υ					

Definition: The percentage of Treasury's top tier high value assets scheduled for a third party risk assessment, but that did not undergo one on time. This is a measure of how often Treasury's most important systems are being actively reviewed and assessed for weaknesses that could be exploited by an adversary.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Number of overdue HVA RVAs / number of scheduled HVA RVAs.

Data Verification and Validation: A schedule denoting which HVAs will undergo an RVA will be established each year. If a scheduled system does

not undergo an RVA in a given FY, it will be counted as overdue.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 2.2 - Economic Measures to Advance National Security

Departmental Offices - S & E

MEASURE: IA - Timely Review of CFIUS Cases

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	100	100	100	100	100	100
Actual	100	100	100	100	100	100
Target Met?	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ

Definition: Treasury tracks compliance with statutory deadlines for completing national security reviews of transactions notified to CFIUS to ensure that the CFIUS process is timely and efficient.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Manual updates of electronic tracker recording all cases reviewed. **Data Verification and Validation:** Weekly review by Deputy Assistant Secretary and staff.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Terrorism and Financial Intelligence

Target Met?

MEASURE: Number of Outreach Events for Other US Government Agencies, the Public, the Business Sector, and Foreign Governments Per Calendar Year

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target			Baseline	60	60	60
Actual				26	46	87

Ν

Ν

Definition: For TFI to be successful in their mission involvement and buy-in with the private sector and government officials is necessary. This performance measure tracks the amount of outreach events conducted by TFI.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Number of Outreach Events for Other US Government Agencies, the Public, the Business Sector, and Foreign

Governments Per Calendar Year.

Data Verification and Validation: The data is collected and verfied by TFFC.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Number of Roundtables with Private Sector on ML/TF Threats, Vulnerabilities, and Risk

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual			13	13	16	22

Definition: Measures the number of roundtables with the private sector that TFFC takes part in. Roundtables contribute to the success of TFI mission since private sector buy-in support compliance. The data is collected by TFFC.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: TFFC tracks number of roundtables with private sector. **Data Verification and Validation:** The data is collected and verified by TFFC.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Participation in International Mutual Evaluations (FATF) and Follow up Reports

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual			32	32	42	44

Definition: FATF Mutual Evaluations are peer evaluations of countries' levels of effectiveness and implementation of the FATF AML/CFT Standards. Additionally, the metric includes reviews and comments on reports produced by the nine FSRBs; and, work assessing and reviewing countries as part of the ICRG. Participation on mutual evaluations usually requires several extended on-site visits in country, extensive analysis of a country's AML/CFT system.

Measure Status: NONE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: TFFC tracks how many mutual evaluations the U.S. participates in and the follow-up reports produced in response to

evaluations by the nine FSRBs.

Data Verification and Validation: The data is collected and verified by TTFC.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 2.3 - Modernize Sanctions Regime

Terrorism and Financial Intelligence

MEASURE: Number of New or Modified Sanctions Programs Modified by EO or Congressional Mandate During the Fiscal Year

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual			7	8	11	2

Definition: Measures new actions or expansion of existing sanction programs. The creation of sanctions programs requires a significant upfront dedication of policy, legal, and regulatory resources to ensure proper coordination and documentation of the changes.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Number of New Sanctions Programs Modified by EO or Congressional Mandate

Data Verification and Validation: The data is collected and verified by OFAC

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 2.4 - Transparency in the Financial System

Financial Crimes Enforcement Network

MEASURE: Percentage of Law Enforcement and Regulators who Assert that they Queried BSA Data that Led to Detection and Deterrence of Illicit Activity

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	86	84	88	90	90	90
Actual	85	92	90	93	92	92
Target Met?	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y

Definition: This measure examines the survey responses of domestic law enforcement users directly querying the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) data in support of financial crime investigations. It also includes responses from regulators querying the system for their BSA compliance examinations. FinCEN has over 11,000 external users who rely on BSA data to identify financial crime. The survey looks at the value of BSA data, such as whether the data provided unknown information, supplemented or expanded known information, verified information, helped identify new leads, opened a new investigation or examination, supported an existing investigation or examination, and provided information for an investigative or examination report. This is a meaningful measure as valuable and useful BSA data contribute to the detection and deterrence of financial crime and improve BSA consistency and compliance.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Data are captured via a survey. The vendor survey team developed questionnaires for the customers, with FinCEN input. They conducted e-mail surveys of FinCEN's customers in law enforcement and regulatory agencies accessing BSA information.

Data Verification and Validation: Raw data are received from the survey vendor and results are calculated and verified.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Users Satisfied with FinCEN Information Sharing Systems

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	84	84	84	85	85	85
Actual	86	89	88	85	87	88
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ

Definition: This measure is a composite survey measure and represents the users' satisfaction with the technology systems. This measure tracks satisfaction with BSA E-Filing, FinCEN Query, and the Egmont Secure Web questions. Starting with industry, FinCEN collects and maintains BSA reports filed by financial institutions and other filers. In turn, FinCEN provides internal users (including Treasury and TFI), and external law enforcement and regulatory users access to a query system containing 11 years of BSA data; provides internal users with a tool to conduct advanced analysis; and provides foreign FIUs in the Egmont Group with a secure system for exchanging financial intelligence to combat money laundering and terrorist financing. This measure is meaningful because the technology allows authorized persons to more readily access BSA information and better enable them to conduct investigations more efficiently and effectively.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Data are captured via a survey: Foreign FIU survey, the BSA E-filing survey, and the FinCEN portal/query satisfaction

survey

Data Verification and Validation: Raw data are received from the survey vendor and results are calculated and verified.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

STRATEGIC GOAL: 3 - Protect Financial Stability and Resiliency

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 3.3 - Financial Innovation

Fiscal Service

MEASURE: FYTD Percentage of Total Federal Government Receipts Initiated Electronically (in volume) [%]

				, , , , , ,		
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target						Baseline
Actual						84.8
Target Met?						Υ

Definition: Measures the percentage of revenue collection transactions initiated

electronically, where initiated means how the payer first submits the payment to the government. The comparison is with the total revenue collection transactions.

Measure Status: BASELINE
FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: (# of FYTD electronically initiated revenue collection transactions/ Total

FYTD # of revenue collection transactions) * 100

Data Verification and Validation: Collections Information Repository

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Treasury Payments Made Electronically

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	95.2	95.3	95.8	96.1	96.4	96.56
Actual	95.4	95.6	96.04	96.2	96.4	96.45
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N

Definition: The portion of the total volume of payments that is made electronically by FMS. Electronic payments include transfers through the automated clearinghouse and wire transfer payments through the FEDWIRE system.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The volume of payments is tracked through FMS' Production Reporting System. The amount and number of payments are also maintained under accounting control.

Data Verification and Validation: Accounting controls provide verification that the number of payments, both checks and EFT, is accurately tracked and reported. The number of inquires made against Federal check payments, whether disbursed by FMS or by other agencies, is separately tracked and reported. Additionally, payment files are balanced with payment authorizations that are electronically certified and submitted to FMS by Federal program agencies. The Federal Reserve Banks also validate the payment files.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

STRATEGIC GOAL: 5 - Modernize Treasury Operations

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 5.2 - Future Work Routines

Bureau of Engraving and Printing

MEASURE: FEVS Satisfaction

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		65	65	65	65	65
Actual		74	74	71	73	73
Target Met?		Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: BEP's Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS) results provide a window into how engaged the Federal workforce is and the level of satisfaction. Having an engaged workforce is critical to each agency's ability to achieve its mission of providing excellent service to the American people.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: FEVS(OPM) published results. **Data Verification and Validation:** OPM survey results.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Departmental Offices - S & E

MEASURE: Treasury-wide Engagement Index of the FEVS

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	69	69	70	75	75	75
Actual	68	70	75	74	74	74
Target Met?	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	N

Definition: The FEVS Engagement Index concentrates on factors that lead to an engaged workforce. OMB M-15-04, dated December 23, 2014, sets a top-line goal for federal agencies to achieve 67 percent rating in the Engagement Index on the 2016 survey.

Engagement is made up of Leaders Lead, Supervisors, and Intrinsic Work Experiences indices.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Treasury employees provide responses to the Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS) questions; source FEVS. **Data Verification and Validation:** The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) verifies and validates the employee responses to FEVS questions.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Treasury-wide Footprint (Square Footage)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	33600	33100	32895	32341	31948	31734
Actual	33766	33209	32517	32006	31691	31540
Target Met?	Y	Y	N	N	N	N

Definition: This goal measures the total square footage occupied by Treasury's owned and leased buildings. To reduce the Department's real property footprint and maximize the use of existing real property assets, the Department maximized space utilization by undertaking space realignments, consolidations, and through improved work station standards.

NOTE: Actuals are determine at the end of the calendar year.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: 1. GSA annually provides detailed inventory spreadsheet for the Department's GSA controlled space.

2. Treasury-controlled space inventory data is pulled from the Federal Real Property Profile.

Data Verification and Validation: Treasury Operations and the Bureau Real Property Officer's Working Group reviews for reasonability at the end of

each calendar year.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Treasury-wide Leaders Lead Index of the Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS)

•			· ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	69	69	70	65	67	66
Actual	56	58	65	66	64	65
Target Met?	N	N	N	Υ	N	N

Definition: Employees' perceptions of leadership's integrity as well as leadership behaviors such as communication and workforce motivation (Q. 53,

54, 56, 60, and 61).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Treasury employees provide responses to the Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey (FEVS) questions; source FEVS.

Data Verification and Validation: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) verifies and validates the employee responses to FEVS questions.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 5.3 - Better Use of Data

Cybersecurity Enhancement Account

MEASURE: CEA - Treasury Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) Adoption for Enterprise

III DE TOUR	Trododry N	Treadally Mail: 1 deter / deficit food and for Enterprise								
		FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023			
	Target						Baseline			
	Actual									

Target Met?

Definition: Presidential Executive Order (EO) 14028 on "Improving the Nation's Cybersecurity," issued on May 12, 2021, was in direct response to multiple high-profile cybersecurity incidents that occurred over the past year. The EO directs Federal Agencies to develop and adopt stronger cybersecurity policies and practices, including fully adopting Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA)

• Treasury outlined a goal to implement MFA to the maximum extent feasible. Treasury is migrating 35% of Enterprise Applications MFA compliance by end of Fiscal Year 2022, 90% in Fiscal Year 2023 and 99% in Fiscal Year 2024.

Measure Status: BASELINE
FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Use of FISMA-reportable systems and data is reported monthly.

Data Verification and Validation: Percent of Enterprise reported systems for MFA.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: OCIO CEA - Logging

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target						Baseline
Actual						

Target Met?

Definition: OCIO used the Cybersecurity Enhancement Account (CEA) to modernize the Treasury Shared Services Security Operations Center (TSSSOC) to be able to intake additional logs from Bureaus as required by OMB M-21-31. This measure will track Treasury's progress in transitioning enterprise logging data from on-premises locations to the cloud.

Treasury's goals are to send 75% of enterprise logging data to the cloud by the end of FY22 and at 95% by the end of FY23. For FY24 and beyond, the performance measure is set to 99% to account for new assets and new requirements.

(For the table) Percentage of enterprise logging data from on premise to the cloud.

Measure Status: BASELINE
FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: There is a set amount of data currently on premise at the TSSSOC. TSSSOC is copying its current log data from on premise to move and store in the cloud. TSSSOC is validating the log data flows; when complete, all current log data flows will move directly to the cloud.

Moving enterprise logging data as the Enterprise Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) system moves to the cloud.

Data Verification and Validation: There is a set amount of data currently on premise at the TSSSOC. TSSSOC is copying its current log data from on premise to move and store in the cloud. TSSSOC is validating the log data flows; when complete, all current log data flows will move directly to the cloud.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: 5.4 - Customer Experience Practices

Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau

MEASURE: Customer Satisfaction Rate with eGov Systems - Permits Online (%)

		•				
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	80	80	80	80	80	80
Actual	77	68	78	79	78	73
Target Met?	N	N	N	N	N	N

Definition: Results of the TTB customer survey of the permitting process, including application length, complexity, assistance received, and the electronic filing system.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: TTB Permit satisfaction monthly survey using a commercial survey tool.

Data Verification and Validation: The survey data provides an indicator of satisfaction across qualitative and quantitative aspects of customer experience, with questions designed to support analysis of the effectiveness of efforts to reduce processing times, improve guidance, and decrease filing burdens. The data is subject to the data standards and security controls of the online survey tool. Reported results are verified by the Applications Services Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: Customer satisfaction with the Permits Online electronic filing system decreased to 73 percent in FY 2023, down 5 percent compared to last year, and below the 80 percent target. TTB surveys system users on various aspects of the permitting process, including application length, complexity, assistance received, and system experience. The decline in customer satisfaction likely reflects the aging

legacy system, as TTB has had to focus on cybersecurity enhancements instead of new user features in recent years. Additional planned updates to login requirements to comply with cyber mandates may also result in confusion and potential temporary declines in user satisfaction. Longer term, TTB's permit modernization efforts, which include migrating from the legacy system to the new myTTB system, should improve the overall customer experience, including system satisfaction. However, those improvements may not be realized until late FY 2025.

MEASURE: Customer Satisfaction Rate with eGov Systems - COLAs Online

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	80	80	80	80	80	80
Actual	81	77	80	83	83	83
Target Met?	Y	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y

Definition: Results of TTB's customer survey to determine user satisfaction with the online filing system for Certificates of Label Approval (COLAs), including ease of accessing the system, submission process, online guidance, ability to complete the application without assistance, time to complete the application, and experience using the system.

The survey provides feedback on respondent opinions and perceptions. Statistical validation is dependent on response rates. If the response rates are high enough, the survey provides a snapshot of respondent opinions, which may provide a statistically valid overview of overall satisfaction.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: TTB COLA Satisfaction monthly survey using a commercial survey tool.

Data Verification and Validation: The survey data provides an indicator of satisfaction across qualitative and quantitative aspects of customer experience, with questions designed to support analysis of the effectiveness of efforts to reduce processing times, improve guidance, and decrease filing burdens. The data is subject to the data standards and security controls of the online survey tool. Reported results are verified by the Alcohol Labeling and Formulation Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: Customer satisfaction with the COLAs Online electronic filing system was 83 percent in FY 2023, holding at prior year rates and remaining above the 80 percent target. TTB surveys system users on various aspects of the label approval process, including application length, complexity, assistance received, and system experience. TTB has not added new user features in recent years due to competing IT modernization priorities across core programs. As its legacy systems age, TTB expects customer satisfaction rates to decline. Longer term, with incremental progress in its myTTB IT modernization initiative, TTB expects to improve the overall customer experience with TTB services, including system satisfaction.

MEASURE: Customer Satisfaction Rate with eGov Systems - Formulas Online

JUSTICE Castornor Cational	don rate war ee	or Cyclonic Tomic	aide Criiirie			
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	80	80	80	80	80	80
Actual	79	70	73	80	78	78
Target Met?	N	N	N	Υ	N	N

Definition: Results of TTB's customer survey to determine user satisfaction with the online filing system for beverage alcohol formula applications, including ease of accessing the system, submission process, online guidance, ability to complete the application without assistance, time to complete the application, and experience using the system.

The survey provides feedback on respondent opinions and perceptions. There are currently not enough users to generate a statistically valid sample for nonbeverage FONL users. However, TTB uses the survey results to provide a snapshot of respondent opinions, which may provide a representative view of user satisfaction (though likely biased toward negative responses).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: TTB Formula Satisfaction monthly survey using a commercial survey tool.

Data Verification and Validation: The survey data provides an indicator of satisfaction across qualitative and quantitative aspects of customer experience, with questions designed to support analysis of the effectiveness of efforts to reduce processing times, improve guidance, and decrease filing burdens. The data is subject to the data standards and security controls of the online survey tool. Reported results are verified by the Alcohol Labeling and Formulation Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: Customer satisfaction with the Formulas Online electronic filing system was 78 percent in FY 2023, holding at prior year rates but below the 80 percent target. TTB surveys system users on various aspects of the formula approval process, including application length, complexity, assistance received, and system experience. TTB has not added new user features in recent years due to competing IT modernization priorities across core programs. As its legacy systems age, TTB expects customer satisfaction rates to decline. Longer term, with incremental progress in its myTTB IT modernization initiative, TTB expects to improve the overall customer experience with TTB services, including system satisfaction.

STRATEGIC GOAL: X - Mission Critical

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE: X.1 - Mission Critical

Bureau of Engraving and Printing

MEASURE: Currency Notes Delivered Returned Due to Defects (Parts per Million)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	1	1	1	1	1	1
Actual	0.0031	0.09	0.02	0.016	16.6	25.2
Target Met?	N	N	N	N	Υ	Υ

Definition: Measured to incentivize continuous product quality improvement and reduce defect-driven returns of currency notes by the Federal

Reserve back to BEP.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: When BEP's Office of Security receives defective notes back from the Federal Reserve Banks, the notes are recorded and turned over to Office of Quality to investigate cause, determine date of issuance and possible remedy.

Data Verification and Validation: BEP's Office of Security collects and tracks the number of notes by denomination returned from the Federal

Reserve Banks.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Lost Time Accident Rate per 100 Employees

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Actual	0.78	1.48	1.01	1.59	0.95	0.77
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Purpose: To improve employee safety and minimize unnecessary safety-related costs.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Data is collected from collecting lost time hours from NFC payroll reports as well as information from Human Resources; rate per 100 employees.

Data Verification and Validation: Subject matter experts from the Office of Environmental Health and Safety will collect and verify information.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Manufacturing Costs For Currency (Dollar Costs Per Thousand Notes Produced)

				,		
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023

Target	48	51.66	59.65	64.33	65	66.24
Actual	47.41	51.01	56.19	61.81	63.96	59.81
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y

Definition: An indicator of currency manufacturing efficiency and effectiveness of program management. This standard is developed annually based on the past year's performance, contracted price factors, and anticipated productivity improvements. Actual performance comparison against the standard depends on BEP's ability to meet annual spoilage, efficiency, and capacity utilization goals established for this product line.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Cost data is collected through BEP's accrual-based cost accounting system.

Data Verification and Validation: BEP's accrual-based cost accounting system is audited annually as part of the financial statement audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Departmental Offices - S & E

MEASURE: Variance Between Estimated and Actual Receipts (Annual Forecast)(%)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25
Actual	2.33	2.5	11.1	13.82	10.8	5.3
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	N

Definition: Percentage error measures the accuracy of the Benchmark receipt forecasts produced monthly by the Office of Fiscal Projections (OFP). It measures the relative amount of error or bias in receipt forecasts.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: OFP within the Office of the Fiscal Assistant Secretary creates estimates of Federal receipts by major categories (e.g., withheld income/FICA taxes, individual/SECA taxes, corporate taxes, customs deposits, estate and gift taxes and excise taxes) as well as by collection mechanisms (e.g., electronic and paper coupons) as a part of an extensive cash flow forecast used to inform Treasury's debt management decisions. OFP is also responsible for forecasting the daily tax receipts in order to manage the federal government's cash flow. Data on daily and monthly estimated and actual federal tax receipts are compiled by the office and used to report on the United States' daily, monthly, and annual cash position.

Data Verification and Validation: The percentage error is computed by subtracting the forecast value of tax receipts from the actual (At -Ft), and dividing this error of forecast by the actual value, and then multiplying it by 100. PEt = ((At - Ft)/At) *100 At is actual value of receipts at time t, and Ft is forecasted value of receipts at time t. The average percentage error is more general measure that will be used to compare the relative error in the forecasts. This measure adds up all the percentage errors at each point and divides them by the number of time point APE = |(?t=1TPEt)|/T where PEt is the percentage error of forecasts in (1) and T is the total number of time point. The absolute value of the average percentage error will be used to measure the magnitude of error or bias in the receipts forecasts.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Financial Crimes Enforcement Network

MEASURE: Number of Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) Users

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	11679	12801	13047	13260	13651	14415

Definition: The number of BSA system users such as law enforcement, counter-terrorism agencies, financial regulators, and the intelligence community who access the BSA data technology to detect money laundering and illicit finance use.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Count

Data Verification and Validation: The number is derived from a tracking system and a count is done and validated.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Number of Suspicious Activity Reports (SARS) Filed

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	2537230	2698841	3039421	3524610	4307713	4360867

Definition: The number of SARS filed during the fiscal year. A SAR is a report made by a financial institution about suspicious activity or potentially

suspicious activity.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Count

Data Verification and Validation: Report is derived from a tracking system and a count is done and validated.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Number of Total Bank Secrecy Act (BSA) Reports Filed

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	20404002	20755285	20051834	23036995	26930703	27632521

Definition: The number of BSA reports filed during the fiscal year. The BSA requires The BSA requires depository institutions and other industries vulnerable to money laundering to take a number of precautions against financial crime. This includes filing and reporting certain data about financial transactions possibly indicative of money laundering, including cash transactions over \$10,000 and suspicious transactions.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Count

Data Verification and Validation: Report is derived from a tracking system and a count is done and validated.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

Fiscal Service

MEASURE: All Delinquent Debt Collected FYTD as a Percentage of all Delinquent Debt Referred FYTD (%)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target			14.9	14.9	15	15
Actual		14	15.73	15.9	16.58	16.8
Target Met?			Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Tracks Fiscal Service's performance in reducing total delinquent federal debt growth. Measure tracks the total debt collections during a FY (regardless of originating FY) against the total delinquent debt referred in the fiscal year (dollars).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: FYTD Cross-Servicing collections + FYTD TOP collections / FYTD Cross-Servicing referrals + FYTD TOP referrals.

Data Verification and Validation: The data for this measure is pulled from the CSNG database. The data is then reviewed for accuracy and completeness by the Business Analytics branch.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Amount of Delinquent Debt Collected Through All Available Tools (\$ billions)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	7.44	9.65	10.68	5.04	5.52	4.3

Definition: This indicator provides information on the total amount collected, in billions, through debt collection tools operated by Debt Management

Services.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: The process of collecting and reporting the debt collection data is performed on a monthly basis. The methodology and the origin of the data are consistent from month to month. The collection data is generated by the program systems (TOP and DMSC) and is reported on a monthly basis. The tools include: tax refund offset, administrative offset, private collection agencies, demand letters, and credit bureau reporting. FMS also collects debt through the State debt program and tax levy.

Data Verification and Validation: The data from the program systems is validated against the data contained in the Debt Management Account

System (DMAS).

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Percentage of Auction Results Released Accurately

		•				
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	100	100	100	100	100	100
Actual	98.9	98.8	100	100	100	100
Target Met?	N	N	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ

Definition: This measures the elapsed time from the auction close to the public release of the auction results. The annual percentage of auctions meeting the release time target of 2 minutes plus or minus 30 seconds is calculated for the fiscal year.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: BPD's automated auction processing systems.

Data Verification and Validation: For each auction, analysts verify and validate the system time stamps that record the auction close and auction

posting times.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Auctions Successfully Completed by the Scheduled Close Date %

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline	100	100	100	100
Actual		100	100	100	99.75	99.52
Target Met?		Y	Υ	Y	N	N

Definition: This indicates that all auctions will be conducted successfully, timely, and without any issues.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: (# of auctions successfully completed by the close date / # of auctions scheduled) * 100.

Data Verification and Validation: Use the press releases posted to TD.gov. The "auction announcement" provides the announced auction close date. The "auction results" provide when the auction actually closed. If there is a difference between those two, then we would miss the measure. Validation will be done by having two people calculate the measure. Excluded are instances where the auction close is moved by the Department for policy reasons.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Government-Wide Accounting Reports Issued Timely

		<u> </u>				
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	100	98	99.5	99.5	99	99
Actual	100	99.6	100	100	100	99.6
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: All Governmentwide financial data that FMS publishes relating to U.S. Treasury cash-based accounting reports (i.e., the Daily Treasury Statement, the Monthly Treasury Statement, and the Annual Combined Report) will be on time 100% of the time.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: A monthly reporting system is used to track the release dates to the public of all of the various governmentwide

statements

Data Verification and Validation: Procedures are in place to validate that the statements are released on time to the public 100% of the time.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Retail Securities Transactions That Are Unassisted

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline	70	71		73
Actual		69	70	63	77	82
Target Met?		Υ	Υ	N		Υ

Definition: The percent of total closed retail customer service transactions that are processed without the assistance of customer service staff.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Unassisted retail customer service transactions/Total retail transactions.

Data Verification and Validation: System Data

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of the Active Delinquent Debt Portfolio Collected FYTD (%)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline	7	7	6.7	6.7
Actual		6.8	9.17	7.28	6.26	3.7
Target Met?		Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N

Definition: Measures Fiscal Service's performance in collecting debts which are eligible for debt collection activities (i.e. "Active" in the portfolio). Measure tracks the total debt collected Fiscal Year To Date (FYTD) against the total active debt portfolio. The active portfolio is defined as any debt which receives at least one payment during that Fiscal Year (FY). The active portfolio resets to "\$0" at the beginning of each FY.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: FYTD Cross-Servicing collections + FYTD TOP collections / FYTD Cross-Servicing Active Debts + FYTD TOP Active

Debts.

Data Verification and Validation: The data for this measure is pulled from the CSNG database. The data is then reviewed for accuracy and

completeness by the Business Analytics branch.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Inspector General for Tax Administration

MEASURE: Percentage of Closed Investigations Resulting in a Criminal, Administrative, or Law Enforcement Action

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	79	79	81	85	85	79
Actual	89	91	92	90	89	88
Target Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ

Definition: This measurement is based on the percentage of those cases within the universe of all TIGTA cases, which align with the mission of the organization. These mission-critical cases provide the greatest impact on the protection of the integrity of tax administration.

This percentage is determined by first taking the number of investigations closed during the fiscal year, then dividing that number by defined, quantifiable, value-added results derived from those cases.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The percentage is calculated by dividing the total number of cases closed that fiscal year by the number of cases with a reportable action taken. An example would be an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) employee receiving a suspension as result of a TIGTA investigation.

Data Verification and Validation: Information comes from results provided by the IRS, concerning actions taken as result of a TIGTA investigation, reported on Form 2076. Information also comes from court documents and other judicial or administrative actions. TIGTA validates this information through quality reviews of its investigations and investigative offices.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of New Reports with Recommendations to Improve Tax Administration

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target				Baseline	70	70
Actual					84	88
Target Met?					Υ	Υ

Definition: This includes the number of new reports issued during the reporting period that contain recommendations by TIGTA to improve the economy and efficiency of IRS programs.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: This is calculated by dividing the total number of new audit reports issued to IRS management during the reporting period that contained recommendations for IRS action by the total number of all new audit reports issued to IRS management during the reporting period.

Recommended corrective actions are specifically made to improve existing operations or prevent an adverse impact and are directly linked to the audit finding identified. Actions resulting from audit recommendations results in both qualitative outcomes and quantitative savings.

Data Verification and Validation: This information to calculate will be derived directly from Final Reports issued to IRS management. This information will be tracked on management information reports for quarterly reporting.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Reports Delivered When Promised to Stakeholders

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	68	68	70	70	70	70
Actual	81	84	79	93	92	87
Target Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ

Definition: This measure tracks the percentage of TIGTA's reports delivered on or before the contract date that TIGTA has committed to. It is critical that TIGTA's work be done in time to support Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and Congressional decision making. The likelihood that our products will be used is enhanced if they are delivered on time.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Information regarding contract dates and actual delivery dates for audits is maintained on the Team Central Management Information System (TCMIS). TIGTA's Management Information System (MIS) coordinators in the Office of Audit's Operating/Business Units monitor overall data accuracy and maintain secure controls over key milestone and contract data entries.

Data Verification and Validation: Summary data used for purposes of reporting on this measure are extracted from the Office of Audit's Team Central Management Information System (TCMIS) and analyzed and summarized by personnel in TIGTA-OA's Office of Management and Policy. A qualified staff member independent of the process validates the statistics.

TCMIS data are reviewed and validated monthly by MIS coordinators, Audit Managers and Directors.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Office of Comptroller of the Currency

MEASURE: Percentage of Licensing Applications and Notices Completed within Established Timeframes

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	95	95	95	95	95	95
Actual	97	99	98	98	98	99
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: This measure reflects the extent to which OCC meets its established timeframes for reaching decisions on licensing applications and notices. The OCC's timely and effective approval of corporate applications and notices contributes to the nation's economy by enabling national banks and federal savings associations to engage in corporate transactions and introduce new financial products and services.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The Chief Counsel's office uses the Corporate Activity Information System (CAIS) and the National Applications Tracking System (NATS) to identify applications completed during the fiscal year. For each filing, the actual decision date is compared to the target action date to determine whether the application was completed within established standards. The percentage is determined by comparing the number of licensing applications processed within the required timeframes to the total number of licensing applications processed during the fiscal year. The processing time is the number of calendar days from the date of OCC receipt to the date of OCC's decision. The established processing timeframe depends on the application type and if the application qualifies for expedited processing.

Data Verification and Validation: The Licensing Department tracks processing of all applications and notices through the Corporate Activity Information System (CAIS) and the National Applications Tracking System (NATS). The analyst who is assigned the application will verify the accuracy of the CAIS/NATS data as the application is processed. The senior analyst or manager who approves the final decision also verifies the accuracy of the CAIS/NATS data.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of National Banks and Federal Savings Associations That Are Categorized As Well Capitalized

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	95	95	95	95	95	95
Actual	95	98	99	99	99	99
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: This measure reflects whether the national banking and federal savings association system is well capitalized at fiscal year-end. The Federal Deposit Insurance Act established a system of prompt corrective action (PCA) that classifies insured depository institutions into five categories (well capitalized; adequately capitalized; undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized; and critically undercapitalized) based on their relative capital levels. The purpose of PCA is to resolve the problems of insured depository institutions at the least possible long-term cost to the deposit insurance fixed.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: National banks and federal savings associations file quarterly Reports of Condition and Income with the Federal Finance Institution Examination Council through the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's data processing center. The Supervisory Information office reviews the Reports of Condition and Income (i.e., call reports) for each quarter to identify national banks and federal savings association that meet all of the criteria for a well-capitalized institution. The number of national banks and federal savings association at fiscal year-end is obtained from the Federal Reserve Board's National Information Center database. The percentage is determined by comparing the number of national banks and federal savings associations that meet all of the established criteria for being well capitalized to the total number of national banks and federal savings association at fiscal year-end.

Data Verification and Validation: The banks' and thrifts' boards of directors attest to the accuracy of the reported data. The reliability of these quarterly reports is evaluated by OCC examiners during bank and thrift examinations.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of National Banks and Federal Savings Associations with Composite CAMELS Rating 1 or 2

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	90	90	90	90	90	90
Actual	96	96	96	96	96	95
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y

Definition: Bank regulatory agencies use the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System, CAMELS, to provide a general framework for assimilating and evaluating all significant financial, operational and compliance factors inherent in a bank or Federal Savings Association. Evaluations are made on: Capital adequacy, Asset quality, Management, Earnings, Liquidity, and Sensitivity to Market Risk. The rating scale is 1 through 5 where 1 is the highest rating granted.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The Supervisory Information office identifies the current composite rating from its supervisory information systems. The percentage is determined by comparing the number of national banks with current composite CAMELS ratings of 1 and 2 to the total number of banks.

The data used is stored in the OCC's Operational Data Store system. The data is captured in the OCC's Supervisory Information Systems-Examiner View (SIS-EV), the application is used to capture all supervisory data related to national banks and federal savings associations. The data is accessed through FINDRS.

Data Verification and Validation: Validation continues throughout the life of each supervisory activity, first entered by supervisory staff, then reviewed and approved by the staff's immediate supervisor, and finally approved by the supervisory office.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of National Banks and Federal Savings Associations With Consumer Compliance Rating of 1 or 2

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	94	94	94	94	94	94
Actual	98	98	98	98	98	98
Target Met?	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: This measure reflects the national banking and federal savings association system's compliance with consumer laws and regulations. Bank regulatory agencies use the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System, Interagency Consumer Compliance Rating, to provide a general framework for assimilating and evaluating significant consumer compliance factors inherent in a bank. Each bank and thrift is assigned a consumer compliance rating based on an evaluation of its present compliance with consumer protection and civil rights statutes and regulations, and the adequacy of its operating systems designed to ensure continuing compliance. Ratings are on a scale of 1 through 5 in increasing order of supervisory concern.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The Supervisory Information office identifies the number of banks and thrifts with current consumer compliance ratings of 1 or 2 and the total number of national banks and federal savings associations from Examiner View (EV) and Supervisory Information System (SIS) subject to consumer compliance examinations at fiscal year-end. The percentage is determined by comparing the number of national banks and federal savings associations with current consumer compliance ratings of 1 or 2 to the total number of national banks and federal savings associations subject to consumer compliance examinations at fiscal year-end.

Data Verification and Validation: Consumer compliance ratings are assigned at the completion of each consumer compliance examination. These ratings are entered into OCC's management information systems, Examiner View (EV) and Supervisory Information System (SIS), by the banks' Examiner-in-Charge and reviewed and approved by the Supervisory Offices' Assistant Deputy Comptroller (Mid-Size/Community banks) or Deputy Comptroller (Large banks).

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Total OCC Costs Relative To Every \$100,000 in Bank And Federal Savings Associations Assets Regulated (\$)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	10	8.5	8.1	7.71	7.37	7.21
Actual	9.12	8.07	7.78	6.79	6.78	7.04
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ

Definition: This measure reflects the efficiency of OCC operations while meeting the increasing supervisory demands of a growing and more complex national banking and federal savings association system.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: OCC costs are those reported as total program costs on the annual audited Statement of Net Cost. Banks and thrift assets are those reported quarterly by national banks and federal savings associations on their Reports of Condition and Income.

Data Verification and Validation: OCC's financial statements and controls over the data are audited by an independent accountant each year. National banks and federal thrift associations file quarterly Reports on Condition and Income with the FFIEC through the FDIC's data processing center.

The banks' and thrifts' boards of directors attest to the accuracy of the reported data. The reliability of these quarterly reports is evaluated by OCC examiners during bank and thrift examinations.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Office of Financial Research

MEASURE: Number of LEIs Issued Cumulatively in the United States and Internationally

_	V 0040					
	V 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	EV 2022 F	EV 2022

Actual 1222643 1487695 1733473 1968283 2206195 2479595

Definition: Quarterly result equals the cumulative number of Legal Entity Identifiers (LEI) assigned in the U.S. and internationally based on registration date. Note: The Office of Financial Research (OFR) compiles the LEI data from public-access websites maintained by Local Operating Units ("pre-LOUs") that have been sponsored by public authorities across the globe. The compilation is done on a best-efforts basis, and the OFR makes no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of these underlying data.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Public LEI websites.

Data Verification and Validation: Data verified by measure manager designated by division chief or deputy director, followed by quality assurance

check by SGP, certification by measure owner (chief or deputy director), and validation by PMO.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Number of Times That Financial Data Standards Related are Incorporated in Rules and Regulations

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	1	1	3	2	0	3

Definition: Number of responses to Council data and research requests that meet delivery targets divided by the total number of data and research requests received.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Report from OFR service desk on SharePoint.

Data Verification and Validation: Data verified by measure manager designated by division chief or deputy director, followed by quality assurance check by SGP, certification by measure owner (chief or deputy director), and validation by PMO.

oneon by oor , continuation by measure owner (orner or deputy uncotor), and valuation

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Percent of Monitors Updated or Expanded During the Reporting Period

			1 3			
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target				Baseline	95	95
Actual				100	97.5	98
Target Met?				Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: The extent to which the OFR keeps its financial monitors refreshed quarterly.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Calculated by measure manager based on update schedule for each individual financial monitor.

Data Verification and Validation: Data verified by measure manager designated by division chief or deputy director, followed by quality assurance check by OFR Management Support, certification by measure owner (chief or deputy director), and validation by OFR Management Support.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Timeliness of Responses to FSOC Research and Analysis Requests.

		,				
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Targe	et .			Baseline	95	95

Actual 100 100 100 Target Met? Y Y Y

Definition: How responsive OFR is to FSOC requests; the extent to which OFR delivers, as promised, on time. It also signals OFR's focus on providing good customer service to the FSOC.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Calculated by measure manager based on FSOC requests per quarter.

Data Verification and Validation: Data verified by measure manager designated by division chief or deputy director, followed by quality assurance check by OFR Management Support, certification by measure owner (chief or deputy director), and validation by OFR Management Support.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Office of Financial Stability

MEASURE: Clean Audit Opinion on TARP Financial Statements (ensure transparency of operations to the public)

					'	
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	1	1	1	1	1	
Actual	Met	Met	Met	Met		
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ		

Definition: This measure identifies whether OFS receives a clean audit opinion from the GAO on its TARP financial statements.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The OFS Office of the Chief Financial Officer generates the TARP financial statements and will receive and communicate

the audit opinion from GAO.

Data Verification and Validation: TARP financial statements are subject to an audit conducted by GAO.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Congressional Constituent Correspondence Responses Completed within 10 Business Days of Receipt

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	100	100	100	100	100	
Actual	100	93.75	100	100		
Target Met?	Υ	N	Υ	Υ		

Definition: This measure tracks the percentage of congressional correspondence letters sent to OFS that have a response letter completed (drafted, cleared and signed) within 10 business days of receipt.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Correspondence is logged in Main Treasury's TACT system.

OFS staff maintains a subsidiary tracking report in Excel to monitor and calculate the response time.

Data Verification and Validation: The OFS team lead confirms all correspondence response times by reviewing TACT-generated and COO response emails to verify dates and lapsed time.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of FOIA Assignments On-Time or Less Than 30-Days Overdue (ensure transparency within the government)

			,	· ·	,	
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	80	95	80	100	100	
Actual	85	97.5	100	100		
Target Met?	Υ	Y	Υ	Y		

Definition: Number of assigned FOIA cases on time divided by total number of assignments. An assignment is "on time" if it is closed out within 30

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The Office of Privacy, Transparency and Records (PTR) receives FOIA requests from the public and assigns them to the appropriate UST office with an assigned due date. The responses are required to be returned to PTR within 30 days.

Data Verification and Validation: The OFS Information Disclosure Coordinator (IDC) receives the request from the Office of Privacy, Transparency and Records and assigns it to the appropriate OFS Program Area with the applicable due date. The IDC coordinates with the OFS Program Office on any related records and uploads documents into the goFOIA database; closing out the case on OFS' end for processing by PTR. This process is completed within 30 days.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of SIGTARP and GAO Oversight Recommendations Responded to On-Time (ensure transparency of operations to the public)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	100	100	100	100	100	
Actual	100	99.5	100	100		
Target Met?	Υ	N	Υ	Υ		

Definition: This measure tracks the percentage of SIGTARP and GAO oversight recommendations that were responded to within the required timeframes.

SIGTARP: 30 days. GAO: 60 days.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Upon completion of an audit, SIGTARP and GAO have the opportunity to issue recommendations to which OFS provides responses detailing actions, if any, taken by Treasury to remedy the SIGTARP and GAO recommendations.

OFS staff inputs GAO recommendations and OFS responses into Treasury's Joint Management Enterprise System (JAMES). SIGTARP inputs SIGTARP recommendations into JAMES and OFS inputs the associated responses.

Data Verification and Validation: The data in the periodic reports are compared to data entered into JAMES. The number of recommendations and their associated statuses are tallied and analyzed by OFS analysts.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Statutorily-Mandated Reports Submitted On-Time (ensure transparency of operations to the public)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	100	100	100	100	100	
Actual	100	100	100	100		
Target Met?	Y	Υ	Υ	Y		

Definition: Timely submission of statutorily and other housing transaction required reports to the Congress:

§105 Report: by the 10th of each month

Transaction Report: 2 business days following a TARP transaction (investment). Transaction Report: 2 business days following a TARP transaction (housing).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: OFS posts all statutorily-mandated and other housing transaction reports submitted to the Congress on

FinancialStability.gov.

Data Verification and Validation: The submission date for each report is posted alongside each report link on FinancialStability.gov.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Office of Inspector General

MEASURE: Number of Completed Audit Products

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	74	74	74	74	74	82
Actual	91	79	85	80	82	94
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Audits, attestation engagements, and evaluations: (1)promote economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of Treasury programs and operations; (2)prevent and detect fraud, waste, and abuse in those programs and operations; (3)keep the Secretary and the Congress fully informed; and (4)help the Federal government to be accountable to the public.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: OIG audits, attestation engagements, and evaluations result in sequentially numbered written products.

Data Verification and Validation: Official audit files support the performance data.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

MEASURE: Percent of Statutory Audits Completed by the Required Date

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	100	100	100	100	100	100
Actual	97	100	100	100	100	100
Target Met?	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Legislation mandating certain audit work generally prescribes, or authorizes OMB to prescribe, the required completion date for recurring audits and evaluations, such as those for annual audited financial statements. For other types of mandated audit work, such as a Material Loss Review

(MLR) of a failed financial institution, the legislation generally prescribes a timeframe to issue a report (6 months for an MLR, as an example) from the date of an event that triggers the audit.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The date OIG issues an audit, attestation engagement, or evaluation report is printed on the cover. The required dates may vary each year and are specified in different legislation.

Data Verification and Validation: Official audit files and the dates on the reports themselves support the performance data.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage (%) of All Cases Closed During Fiscal Year that were Referred for Criminal/Civil Prosecution or Treasury Administrative

Action

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	80	80	80	80	80	80
Actual	98.9	80.2	91	100	100	100
Target Met?	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: In order to protect the integrity and efficiency of Treasury programs it is important that findings of criminal or civil misconduct be referred to the Justice Department, state and/or local governments for prosecution and litigation in a timely manner. Criminal and civil convictions have a greater impact and carry a greater deterrent effect when they are prosecuted expeditiously. Some investigations will identify violations of the Ethical Standards of conduct, Federal Acquisition Regulations, or other administrative standards, which do not rise to the level of criminal or civil prosecution. In these cases it is important that OIG findings are reported to the bureau or office in a timely manner to allow them to take administrative action against the individuals engaging in misconduct.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: This data will be retrieved from the Investigations case management system.

Data Verification and Validation: All case files will be reviewed to ensure that the case data is correct and supported by documentation.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Special IG for TARP

MEASURE: Number of Completed Audit Products Identifying Waste, Abuse, Mismanagement, Inefficiencies, or Referrals to Investigations Divisions (Units)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	3	3	3	3	3	3
Actual	6	4	4	3	3	
Target Met?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	

Definition: This measures the number of completed audit products that Identify waste, abuse, mismanagement, inefficiencies, or referrals to SIGTARP Investigations Divisions in units.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: SIGTARP audit reports are sequentially numbered and posted on http://www.sigtarp.gov/reports.shtml.

Data Verification and Validation: Official audit files support the performance data.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Cases Accepted for Consideration by Civil or Criminal Authorities Resulting in a Positive Final Outcome

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	70	70	70	71	70	50
Actual	79	77	77	77	75	
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	

Definition: This measures the percentage of SIGTARP criminal or civil investigations that a federal, state, or local prosecutor has formally accepted to prosecute.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The Data originates from the assigned Case Agent and is stored on the SIGTARP ID Case Management System.

Data Verification and Validation: This data is entered into the CMS by the case agent and verified by the case supervisor. At the headquarters level SIGTARP Investigations staff validates the data with other Law Enforcement headquarters contacts as well as through weekly reports by the Investigative Squad's assigned ID Investigative Counsel.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Cases Presented to Civil or Criminal Authorities within Eight Months of the Case Being Opened

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	70	84	70	71	65	70
Actual	85	75	75	71	75	
Target Met?	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	

Definition: This measures the percentage of SIGTARP criminal or civil investigations that a federal, state, or local prosecutor has presented to within eight months of the case being opened.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: When an investigator or supervisor assesses the information contained in an allegation of wrongdoing, a number of factors are considered prior to determining what type of case should be opened. For example, if the allegation includes significant supporting documentation or witness testimony showing illegal activity, then a case, or "full" investigation, would be opened immediately. However, if the allegation has only brief details, a "preliminary" investigation can be opened to provide a mechanism to develop further information regarding the allegation. The data originates with the case agent and the official case file. The data used to track this metric comes from the SIGTARP investigations Division (ID) Case Management System.

Data Verification and Validation: This measure is calculated in the case management system; the system tracks the dates remaining of cases that have not yet been converted as well as historic case conversion data. A report is run to show cases converted.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Cases That are Joint Agency/Task Force Investigations

FY	Y 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023

Target	70	70	70	90	70	70
Actual	78	76	86	90	75	
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	

Definition: This is the overall percentage of cases during the reporting cycle that are joint with other law enforcement agencies.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The Data originates from the assigned Case Agent and is stored on the SIGTARP ID Case Management System.

Data Verification and Validation: This data is entered into the CMS by the case agent and verified by the case supervisor. At the headquarters level SIGTARP Investigations staff validates the data with other Law Enforcement headquarters contacts as well as through weekly reports by the Investigative Squad's assigned ID Investigative Counsel.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Terrorism and Financial Intelligence

MEASURE: Number of Analytic Products Published (Includes Number of IIRs Published, Number of Finished Intelligence Pieces Published)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual			743	638	1311	1500

Definition: TFI includes both formal analytical intelligence briefings as well as final analytical intelligence products that were published.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: OIA records number of analytical products created for TFI and CI community.

Data Verification and Validation: The data is collected and verfied by OFAC.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Number of Security Clearances Processed

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual			1131	450	283	3561

Definition: This indicator reports the number of security clearances processed by OIA's Office of Security Programs (OSP).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: OSP tracks number of security clearance they process.

Data Verification and Validation: The data is collected and verified by OSP.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Percent Customer Satisfaction with OIA Products

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target				Baseline	74	74

Actual 70
Target Met? Y

Definition: OIA products are rated by customers using a survey. The rating help OIA improve the quality of products and customer support.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: OIA surveys customers that use intelligence products.

Data Verification and Validation: Collected and verified by OIA.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percent of Designations and Identifications Released On Time to the Public Without Errors

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target			Baseline	90	90	90
Actual			97	98	100	
Target Met?			Υ	Υ	Υ	

Definition: This performance measure captures the number of actions taken to impose sanctions released to the public on time and without errors.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Calculating percent of timely release of designation to the public and without errors i.e. incorrect alias. Financial

institutions use OFAC designation lists to block the designated from using banking services and notify OFAC.

Data Verification and Validation: The data is collected and verified by OFAC.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Respond to De-Confliction Requests Received From Law Enforcement Within 7 Days

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target			Baseline	91	91	91
Actual			88	97	99	98
Target Met?			Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: OFAC is tasked with providing a timely response to law enforcement agencies regarding conflicts in law enforcement information.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: OFAC records the time de-confliction requests are received and provided response.

Data Verification and Validation: The data is collected and verfiied by OFAC.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Treasury Forfeiture Fund

MEASURE: Percent of Forfeited Cash Proceeds Resulting from High-Impact Cases

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	80	80	80	80	80	80
Actual	94.19	91	82.87	89.65	91.03	84.28
Target Met?	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: A high impact case is a case resulting in a cash forfeiture deposit equal to or greater than \$100,000. The performance percentage is calculated by dividing the amount of forfeited cash proceeds from high impact cases, by the total amount of forfeited cash proceeds from all cases.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The Treasury Forfeiture Fund is able to capture this data on a monthly basis and the source of the data is the Detailed Collection Report (DCR). The Forfeiture Fund receives the DCR from the Customs and Border Protection's (CBP's) National Finance Center.

Data Verification and Validation: The source of the data that supports our performance calculation comes from the general ledger of the Treasury Forfeiture Fund which data is audited annually pursuant to our financial statement audit. Therefore, the annual financial statement audit process serves to "verify and validate" the data used to support our performance measure on an annual basis.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Treasury Franchise Fund

MEASURE: Annual Effective Spend Rate (CTAS)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline	96	96	96	96
Actual		98	94	96	96	97
Target Met?		Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: This measures the obligation rate for CTAS programs, excluding funds that are collected for reserve programs.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The total approved CTAS budget is divided by the total CTAS obligations. Any reserve collections or obligations are excluded.

Data Verification and Validation: The denominator for this calculation is the CTAS approved budget from signed IAA's. This denominator excludes any collection for reserve funds. The numerator for this calculation is the CTAS obligations, as determined by Oracle after year-end close. This numerator excludes any spending out of a reserve fund.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MFASURF: Annual Effective Spend Rate (SSP)

WILAGORL. Amidai Ellective C	ppend reale (551)					
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline	96	96	96	96
Actual		97.1	96	96	93	99

Target Met? Y Y Y N

Definition: This measures the obligation rate for CTAS programs, excluding funds that are collected for reserve programs.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Signed customer interagency agreements; Trial balance detail from Oracle database.

Data Verification and Validation: Office of Treasury Franchise Fund Management and Oversight reviews for reasonability each quarter.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Average Cost Per FTE

Ĭ	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline	1460	1580.3	1710.5	1710.5
Actual		1436.53	1719.4	1580.3	1951	1960
Target Met?		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Measure the cost of Shared Services Programs for the Treasury customers.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Signed customer interagency agreements; Enacted FTE numbers.

Data Verification and Validation: Office of Treasury Franchise Fund Management and Oversight reviews for reasonability each quarter.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Customer Satisfaction with ARC Administrative Services

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline	80	80	80	80
Actual		93	91	93	90	85
Target Met?		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y

Definition: Business Line measure of the percentage of ARC customer satisfaction level determined by direct customer input.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Data gathered from survey results from ARC's 'OneVoice' Team led

by the Office of Agency Outreach (OAO)

Data Verification and Validation:

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Annually
Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Customer Satisfaction with Centralized Treasury Administrative Services

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline	75	80	80	80
Actual		75	75	80	78	72
Target Met?		Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N

Definition: Measure the Customers' Overall Satisfaction with the Services Received Through Centralized Treasury Administrative Services.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The percentage of survey respondents who answer a 4 or 5 on a 5 point satisfaction scale.

Data Verification and Validation: OTFFMO will send a yearly survey to its customer contact distribution list.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Customer Satisfaction with Shared Services Programs

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target		Baseline	80	80	80	80
Actual		75	80	80	73	83
Target Met?		Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ

Definition: Measure the customers' overall satisfaction with the services received through Shared Service Programs.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The percentage of survey respondents who answer a 4 or 5 on a 5 point satisfaction scale.

Data Verification and Validation: OTFFMO sends a yearly survey to its customer contact distribution list.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Customer Unmodified Audit Opinions %

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	100	100	100	100	100	100

Definition: Financial Management measure of percentage of audit opinions for items under ARC control and responsibility.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: From Financial Management staff reporting metrics using internal tracking log.Data Verification and Validation: ARC Business Management Branch reviews for reasonability each quarter.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Percent of IT Portfolio Treasury Franchise Fund (TFF) Software and Hardware Currency

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual				70	76	85

Definition: Tacks the percentage of the Treasury Franchise Fund IT Portfolio software and hardware currency, where currency is the measure of technical components (i.e. hardware, software, application frameworks) that have current vendor support and are not end-of-life).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Total number of current IT Portfolio (TFF) Software and Hardware / Total number of IT Portfolio (TFF) Software and

Hardware

Data Verification and Validation: Architecture and Engineering Division

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Percent of On-Premise Target Service Level Agreements (SLA) Met

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target				Baseline	80	80
Actual				95	94	97
Target Met?				Υ	Υ	Υ

Definition: Tracks the percentage of service level agreement targets that are met or exceeded, where on-premise is defined by the technology infrastructure housed and managed onsite in Parkersburg, WV and Kansas City, MO.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Total number of On-Premise SLAs that were met / Total number of On-Premise SLAs.

Data Verification and Validation: Service Operations Division

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Shared Services Service Level Agreement Performance Metrics Met or Exceeded [%]

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual	Baseline	89	87	94	96.8	91

Definition: Business Line measure of SLA performance metrics that met or exceeded service levels compared to the total number of performance outcomes across all ARC clients.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: (Number of SLA performance metrics met /Total number of SLA performance metrics)* 100

Data Verification and Validation: ARC Business Management Branch reviews for reasonability each quarter. All service catalog cost per units factored with changes from prior period, while weighted ensures larger more impactful services influence calculation greater as is case for customer's overall price

Data Accuracy: Reasonable

Data Frequency: Quarterly

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

United States Mint

MEASURE: Customer Satisfaction Index (%)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	90	90	90	87	84.5	85
Actual	93.6	93.6	91.7	84.5	80.2	86.9
Target Met?	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y

Definition: The United States Mint conducts a quarterly Customer Satisfaction Measure (CSM) Tracking Survey among a random sample of active customers. The CSM Survey is intended to capture customer satisfaction with the United States Mint's performance as a coin supplier and the quality of specific products. The CSI is as a single quantitative score of CSM Survey results.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: A professional survey consultant administers quarterly CSM survey to a random sample of active customers.

Data Verification and Validation: Results and data are captured and verified by the professional survey consultant.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Numismatic Sales Units (Million Units)

LACCITE: Namiomatic calco	Office (William)	,				
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	3.5	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.5	3
Actual	3.3	4.3	3.4	3.66294	4.65436	3.40043
Target Met?	N	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Y

Definition: The numismatic sales units indicates the number of coin products sold to the public from numismatic operations. It quantifies the demand for the Nation's official numismatic products. Increases in units sold allow the Mint to potentially reduce the sales prices, as fixed costs will spread among more units. More units sold will also demonstrate that the Mint is meeting demand for products.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Net Product Sales (NPS) report

Data Verification and Validation: The cumulative Net Product Sales (NPS) report is derived from Oracle, the United States Mint's financial system of record. This system is subject to annual audit by independent auditors. The financial system of record is subject to periodic reviews conducted internally according to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-123 requirements. On a monthly basis, analysts in the Numismatic and Bullion Directorate, the Accounting Division, and Policy, Planning and Budget (PPB) Division review the numismatic sales data for reasonableness and accuracy.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Safety Incident Recordable Rate

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	2.46	2.39	2.32	2.3	2.26	2.1
Actual	1.9	1.45	1.29	0.71	1.29	1.18

Target Met? N N N N N

Definition: Safety incident recordable rate measures the occurrence of work-related incidents involving death, lost time and restricted work, loss of consciousness, and medical treatment. This definition follows Part 1904 of Title 29, Code of Federal Register (29 CFR 1904).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The data is captured in the Safety and Health Information Management System (SHIMS). SHIMS is used to record, track, and management system (SHIMS) and workers' companyed to record, track, and management system (SHIMS).

and manage safety incidents and workers' compensation claims.

Data Verification and Validation: Results and data are captured and verified by Unites States Mint analysts.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Seigniorage per Dollar Issued (\$)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target	0.43	0.36	0.36	0.41	0.33	0.33
Actual	0.37	0.4	0.47	0.37	0.3	0.26
Target Met?	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	N

Definition: Seigniorage per total face value of circulated coinage shipped to Federal Reserve Banks.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The data is captured in Oracle Financials system and reported through Oracle's Discoverer Reporting system.

Data Verification and Validation: External auditors perform routine audits of financial statements. Seigniorage and the total value of circulating coinage shipped to the Federal Reserve Banks are included in the financial statements.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

Measures not Linked to Strategic Objectives

MEASURE: Debts Processed Through Cross Servicing

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual				911073	1.1	1.8

Definition: This is a year-to-year workload indicator that displays the number of new debts processed through Cross-Servicing.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: The total number of new debts referred to Cross-Servicing within a fiscal year.

Data Verification and Validation: An economist from the Business Analytics branch pulls and validates the data. This data is extracted from the CSNG system before it is submitted to be used for this measure.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Debts Processed Through Treasury Offset Program (TOP) (#M)

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual				Baseline	6.7	4.7

Definition: This is a year-to-year workload indicator that displays the number of new debts processed through the Treasury Offset Program (TOP).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: The total number of new debts referred to TOP within a fiscal.

Data Verification and Validation: A TOP data analyst pulls and validates this data. This data is extracted directly from the TOP system before it is

submitted to be used for this measure.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Count of Governmentwide Accounting Reports Issued Timely

		J 1	,			
	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Target				264		262
Actual				263	264	261
Target Met?				N		N

Definition: Indicator of a count of Governmentwide Accounting reports issued timely.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The total number of Daily Treasury Statements and Monthly Treasury Statements that are issued timely within a fiscal

year.

Data Verification and Validation: The Daily Treasury Statement (DTS) must be published by 4:00 pm every business day. Fiscal Accounting accountants conduct pre-check activities throughout the day and a final check at 4:00 pm to ensure that the DTS was published to the Fiscal Service website.

The Monthly Treasury Statement (MTS) must be published on the 8th business day of the month by 2:00 pm. Fiscal Accounting accountants conduct pre-check activities during the first 8 business days of the month and a final check on the 8th business day at 2:00pm to ensure that the report has been published to the Fiscal Service website.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percent of Payments Screened by Do Not Pay

	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
Target					Baseline	
Actual						

Target Met?

Definition: This tracks the percentage of total payments disbursed that are

screened by Do Not Pay prior to disbursement.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: Number of PAM processed payments screened by DNP/Total number of PAM

processed payments issued

Data Verification and Validation: Data provided by Do Not Pay

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Number of New or Modified Sanctions Programs Modified by EO or Congressional Mandate During the Fiscal Year

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
Actual			7	8	11	2

Definition: Measures new actions or expansion of existing sanction programs. The creation of sanctions programs requires a significant upfront dedication of policy, legal, and regulatory resources to ensure proper coordination and documentation of the changes.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Indicator

Data Capture and Source: Number of New Sanctions Programs Modified by EO or Congressional Mandate

Data Verification and Validation: The data is collected and verified by OFAC

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Annually

Explanation of Outcome/Future Plans:

MEASURE: Auto-Validation Rate for Import Claims (%)

	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
Target					50	Baseline
Actual						

Target Met?

Definition: The percentage of import claims submitted to TTB that pass all system-based validations upon initial submission.

Measure Status: BASELINE
FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The number of importer claims submitted that meet all the criteria for auto-validation divided by the number of system-submitted importer claims received (multiplied by 100). TTB excludes submissions that must use an alternate filing procedure to manually upload their claim due to discrepancies.

Data Verification and Validation: TTB captures data in myTTB Import Claims systems. TTB extracts and stores claims data in its analytics environment and automates all calculations in dashboards, which are subject to strict data controls. System data is subject to data management protocols established by TTB's OCIO. Measure results are verified through TTB's Office of Analytics and reviewed by management in the Tax Services Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percentage of Claims Processed within Service Standard

		FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
--	--	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

Target Baseline

Actual

Target Met?

Definition: To better align our claims service performance metric with the most meaningful customer outcome (i.e. when their payment is received) TTB proposes a new measure that includes both claim processing and payment time. This addition will provide a more comprehensive and customer-focused evaluation of our service efficiency. The measure is the percentage of tax refund and drawback claims that are processed and paid within the established service standards. Service time includes all time from claim receipt to review and payment, or when the claim is otherwise closed/completed. Service standards vary by claim type and are set for three categories: Import Claims, Manufacturer of Nonbeverage Product Claims (MNBP), and all Other Claims. TTB reviews and sets its service standards for each claim category annually based on workload and resources.

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: The number of claims completed that meet the respective service standard for the claim type divided by the sum of the total claims completed (multiplied by 100).

The number of claims completed includes all closed submissions with the claim amount either approved and paid (in full or in part) or rejected. The measure includes drawback claims filed by manufacturers of nonbeverage products (MNBP) and all other refund claims (including import claims as of FY 2024).

Service standards are set annually and vary by claim type. TTB publishes its service standards on TTB.gov, including in its annual budget requests.

Data Verification and Validation: TTB captures claims data in myTTB or its Integrated Revenue Information System (IRIS) (for claims submitted via paper or web form). TTB extracts and stores claims data in its analytics environment and automates all calculations in dashboards, which are subject to strict data controls. System data is subject to data management protocols established by TTB's OCIO. Measure results are verified through TTB's Office of Analytics and reviewed by management in the Tax Services Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall:

MEASURE: Percent of Electronically Filed Refund or Drawback Claims

	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Target						80
Actual						

Target Met?

Definition: The percent of tax refund and drawback claims submitted to TTB via an online filing system. The measure includes all claim types (e.g., import claims, manufacturer of nonbeverage product (MNBP) drawback claims, and all other claims).

Measure Status: ACTIVE

FY 2023 Measure Status: ACTIVE

Indicator Type: Measure

Data Capture and Source: TTB captures claims data in myTTB or its Integrated Revenue Information System (IRIS) (for claims submitted via paper or web form). TTB extracts and stores claims data in its analytics environment and automates all calculations in dashboards, which are subject to strict data controls. System data is subject to data management protocols established by TTB's OCIO. Measure results are verified through TTB's Office of Analytics and reviewed by management in the Tax Services Division. All performance data are subject to annual internal audit.

Data Verification and Validation: The number of claim submissions from the beginning of the fiscal year

to the end of the most recent quarter divided by the sum of all claim submissions (e-filed and paper) from the beginning of the fiscal year to the end of the most recent quarter (multiplied by 100).

Data Accuracy: Reasonable **Data Frequency:** Quarterly

Future Plans/Explanation for Shortfall: